

Glasgow City Integration Joint Board

IJB(M)2026-02

Minutes of a hybrid meeting held at 9.30am on Wednesday 18th March 2026

Present:

Voting Members

Cllr Allan Casey	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Martin Cawley	NHSGG&C Board Member
Cllr Chris Cunningham	Councillor, Glasgow City Council (Chair)
David Gould	NHSGG&C Board Member
Graham Haddock OBE	NHSGG&C Board Member
Jamie Kinloch BEM	NHSGG&C Board Member
Bailie Norman MacLeod	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Cllr Elaine McDougall	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Ketki Miles	NHSGG&C Board Member
Cllr Jon Molyneux	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Bailie Hanif Raja	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Cllr Davena Rankin	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Cllr Lana Reid-McConnell	Councillor, Glasgow City Council
Paul Ryan	NHSGG&C Board Member (Vice Chair)
Karen Turner	NHSGG&C Board Member
Charles Vincent	NHSGG&C Board Member

Non-Voting Members

Sheena Arthur	Third Sector Representative (substitute for Fi Grimmond)
Duncan Black	Depute Chief Officer, Finance and Resources
Josh Cairns	Staff Side Representative (NHS GG&C)
Craig Cowan	Head of Business Development / Standards Officer
Stephen Fitzpatrick	Depute Chief Officer, Strategy, Innovation & Best Value
Kelda Gaffney	Depute Chief Officer, Operations & Governance / Chief Social Work Officer
Elsbeth Gracey	Service User Representative
Dr Una Graham	Deputy Medical Director, Mental Health & Addiction Services
Stuart Graham	Trade Union Representative (GCC)
Dr Fiona Kinnon	Clinical Director (substitute for Dr Richard Groden)
Davy Milligan	Carers Representative
Michael McNamara	Service User Representative
David Reilly	Independent Sector Representative
Jennifer Sheddan	Head of Housing, Neighbourhoods, Regeneration & Sustainability (GCC)
Pat Togher	Chief Officer

In Attendance:

Gordon Bryan	Lead Head of Service, Operational & Technical Care Services, Older People's Residential & Day Care
Amanda Clarke	Assistant Chief Officer, Finance
Geraldine Collier	Assistant Chief Officer, HR & Organisational Development
Julie Kirkland	Senior Officer (Governance Support)
Karen Lockhart	Assistant Chief Officer, Adult Services
Claire Maclachlan	Governance Support Officer – Minutes
Caroline Sinclair	Assistant Chief Officer, Older People Services & Primary Care
Lynsey Smith	Assistant Chief Officer, Operations & Governance

OFFICIAL

Apologies:

Lorraine Cribbin
Fi Grimmond
Dr Richard Groden

Interim Chief Nurse
Third Sector Representative
Clinical Director

Actions

1. Glasgow City Integration Joint Board Membership

Craig Cowan presented a report updating on changes to the membership of Glasgow City Integration Joint Board (GCIJB) and seeking approval of an appointment to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee (FASC) and the role of Vice Chair. The report updates on a Scottish Statutory Instrument to extend IJB voting rights to service user, unpaid carer and third sector representatives.

The Chair welcomed Councillor Rankin.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) Noted the appointment to GCIJB outlined at paragraph 3.1;
- b) Approved the appointment to IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee at paragraph 4.2;
- c) Approved the appointment of Vice Chair to IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee at paragraph 4.3; and
- d) Noted the update on extension of IJB voting rights.

2. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

3. Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were noted as above.

4. Minutes

The minutes of 21st January 2026 were approved as an accurate record, subject to the amendment under Item No. 7 – Chief Officer Update:

Delayed Discharges - Change 'demand overall has increased' to 'performance overall has improved.'

5. Matters Arising

There were no matters arising.

6. Integration Joint Board Rolling Action List

Craig Cowan presented the Rolling Action List advising of the following.

Action Ref No. 86 – Officers to provide an update on the wider costs of people with refugee status. This action has a timescale of May 2026.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

Action Ref No. 88 – The Chief Officer to provide a briefing which includes recommendations on the appropriateness, timing, and financial implications of a Mental Health Summit. The briefing was circulated to Members on 18th February 2026, and this action is now closed. The Chief Officer updated that Officers would develop a programme and share with members when available. Councillor Lana Reid-McConnell noted her interest in continuing to engage with Officers when finalising the plans for the summit.

7. Chief Officer Update

Pat Togher provided an update, which is available on the HSCP [website](#).

The Service User Representative questioned if individuals presenting at A&E are ever turned away. The Chief Officer confirmed that this aligns with the ongoing programme of work which consists of HSCP senior managers being located in the Glasgow Royal Infirmary (GRI) to test effectiveness of pathways. There have been no issues reported that have been escalated regarding the current pathways, although it was acknowledged that further awareness-raising is required.

Members questioned if Glasgow City HSCP care for individuals with mental health and complex needs on behalf of the wider West of Scotland, noting the challenges of establishing the right service model, particularly given emerging variations in approaches across England, and highlighted the importance of linking with other areas to understand their models of care. The Chief Officer advised that Glasgow City IJB holds responsibility for performance relating to all services and functions within its delegated remit. Glasgow hosts Mental Health Services and as Chair of the Mental Health Strategy Group, the Chief Officer noted that overall performance sits with the respective HSCPs. GCHSCP remains open to learning from other approaches, and a review of current responses across mental health, addictions, homelessness, poverty, and justice had recently been agreed. Officers reassured Members that although there are individuals from other Health Board areas within local beds, the numbers remain low, with four people across NHSGGC at present, and there is ongoing joint working with the Health Board in managing this.

The Local Authority Trade Union Representative questioned what discussions are taking place with the Home Office to secure additional funding, given that many of the pressures stem from their policies and initiatives and highlighted that current caseload figures have reached approximately 7,000, the highest level recorded and is impacting the homelessness workforce. There is also an increasing number of individuals presenting with complex needs who are more difficult to rehouse.

The Chief Officer provided assurance that extensive engagement and lobbying activity is ongoing. Senior managers meet regularly with the Scottish Government (SG), including fortnightly discussions, and there was a recent round-table session with other local authorities to highlight the significant pressures being faced. Officers also engaged with a leading academic in relation to legislation and have submitted a comprehensive report to the SG to support amendments to legislation in this area. The HSCP continues to raise and escalate issues with both the SG and the Home Office and work in this space remains active.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

Councillor Allan Casey advised that political discussions have been ongoing however engagement from the UK Government has been unsatisfactory with numerous attempts to meet with relevant Government Ministers being refused.

Members asked whether temporary accommodation figures could be broken down to show how many of those currently placed are children and young people. The Chief Officer confirmed that the service monitors these figures closely and the figures remain in single figures and typically represents less than 10%.

8. IJB Financial Allocations and Budgets for 2026-27

Duncan Black presented a report outlining the revenue budget for Glasgow City Integration Joint Board for 2026-27 and the budget available for services commissioned from Glasgow City Council and NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde.

Members commended Officers for the significant work undertaken to address the financial position, noting the improvement from last year, and for their responsiveness to Members' feedback throughout the process.

It was noted that the Health Board has confirmed that no additional funding will be provided for the outcome of the District Nurses' job evaluation which resulted in the re-bandings and Members questioned whether absorbing associated costs may impact on service delivery in some areas. Officers advised that the pay and banding review provides an opportunity to work with the team to look at what a modern district nursing service will look like, including maximising digital solutions. There is enthusiasm in the team to look at redesign work with minimal service impact anticipated.

Members sought assurance that the saving proposal arising from the review of budgeted establishment within Support Services would not impact on staffing for Complaints, Subject Access Requests (SARs), and Freedom of Information (FOI) requests. Officers confirmed that the proposal will not impact these functions and additional resource has been invested into that team.

Pressures on the Homelessness Service was noted, driven by a significant increase in presentations from individuals who have recently received Leave to Remain (LTR), and Members asked Officers to review the terminology used within the report, highlighting that the current references to "asylum" may cause confusion and that the language should accurately reflect their status as equal citizens. Officers agreed and confirmed that the accurate terminology will be used going forward.

Assurance was sought from Members regarding the proposed use of £10m from reserves, and whether this would be replenished once the Service Prioritisation (SP) programme has concluded. Officers confirmed that it is a timing issue in relation to when the programme commenced. Reserves are being used temporarily to allow SP to deliver full savings in 2026/27. By end of 2026/27, this will result in reserves of £19m (1% of net expenditure). The target of 2% remains the same and the intention would be to achieve that by the end of the Service Prioritisation 3-year programme.

The Local Authority Trade Union Representative raised concerns about the overall scale of reductions, noting that £10m from reserves and £10m from SP represents

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

£20m in cuts, and highlighted the impact to preventative services and the risk of escalation with cases becoming more complex. Concerns were also raised regarding workload pressures due to increased caseloads.

Members highlighted the ongoing challenges relating to caseloads and staff sickness absence and requested that a deep-dive analysis of sickness absence be undertaken to understand the position in the context of the savings programme and asked that this be brought to a future meeting. Officers agreed to take forward.

Officers

Clarity was sought on what consultation had taken place with Trade Unions regarding impacts on the workforce and what measures are in place to manage the 25% shortfall in the reduced working week. The Depute Chief Officer, Finance and Resources confirmed that weekly meetings took place with Trade Unions and details of all proposals were shared with them. In relation to the reduced working week, work is underway with ACOs and Trade Unions with individual working groups being established to work through any challenges.

Officers confirmed that the overall reduction in staff equates to 0.4% of a large workforce, and that protecting frontline services remains a priority. The Chief Officer provided assurance that the SP programme includes robust governance and engagement with the workforce through large staff reference groups.

More information was sought on the risks if SP is unable to deliver on the savings. Officers confirmed that the paper includes a section on risk and uncertainties. Officers acknowledged the challenging budget environment and emphasised that SP is looking at something more sustainable over the medium term, with prevention being a key element. The programme will also look at where money can be invested.

Members raised concerns about approving savings under Service Prioritisation that they may not be sighted on under the current governance arrangements. The lack of a sustainable funding framework across partner bodies was also highlighted.

Officers advised that the £3.8m direct savings have been fully scrutinised and are deliverable, and that governance arrangements for monitoring SP are in place, with progress reports to each IJB meeting and the commitment to a review of the governance arrangements taking place after the summer. The Chief Officer highlighted that the HSCP has a track record in delivering a savings programme with the latest position now showing 95% delivery of prior year savings, demonstrating strong governance and oversight, and reiterated that efforts continue to prioritise and protect frontline services.

Clarification was sought on the commitment from the Scottish Government to abolish social care charging and what conversations were taking place with SG regarding this. The Chair agreed to take this forward.

Chair

The Chief Social Work Officer acknowledged the comments from Members regarding the use of reserves, noting that while the risks are recognised, the current proposals represent an improvement on the earlier, more extensive savings options previously considered, and highlighted that the budget, as presented, poses minimal risk to statutory services. It was noted that a range of services will be considered through the forthcoming SP reviews, including work on safer staffing, and assurance

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

was given that the SP process will take an evidence based approach and will involve engagement with staff and professional advisers.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) **Noted the contents of the report;**
- b) **Noted the funding allocation from Glasgow City Council and the assurances provided in relation to the funding for the pressures in asylum;**
- c) **Noted the indicative funding allocation from NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, subject to confirmation when the out-turn for the 2025-26 financial year has been finalised including totality and distribution of pay awards and other funding have been determined;**
- d) **Agreed the balanced budget outlined in section 7 including the savings programme detailed in section 7.5;**
- e) **Referred the proposed increases to non-residential charges to the Glasgow City Council City Administration Committee for approval;**
- f) **Delegated a budget to NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde of £1,205,514,000 and direct that this budget is spent in line with the strategic plan of the IJB and the proposals included within this paper at section 7 and as amended per these recommendations; and**
- g) **Delegated a budget to Glasgow City Council of £623,976,800 and direct that this budget is spent in line with the strategic plan of the IJB and the proposals included within this paper at section 7 and as amended per these recommendations.**

9. Medium Term Financial Outlook 2026-2029

Duncan Black presented a report outlining the Medium Term Financial Outlook for the Integration Joint Board and has been prepared to support financial planning and delivery of the IJB's Strategic Plan.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) **Approved the Medium Term Financial Outlook 2026-2029.**

Councillor Jon Molyneux, seconded by Councillor Lana Reid-McConnell proposed the following motion, which was circulated to IJB Members.

"Motion:

IJB expresses its concern at the failure of funding to keep pace with demand; notes that this is an issue affecting IJBs all over Scotland with many having entirely depleted their reserves placing them at significant risk of entering financial distress; agrees that even with the mitigation actions planned such as service prioritisation this is unsustainable and will further deplete the capacity of the IJB to meet the needs of Glaswegians; instructs the Chair to write to the Health Secretary outlining the strength of the IJB's concerns; and further instructs the Depute Chief Officer, Finance and Resources, to engage with the Council and Health Board to develop a new financial framework for the IJB which includes the expectation that a proportionate share of any undirected funding uplifts should be passed across to the IJB in addition to any directed funding and that increased employment costs

Chair /
Officers

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

resulting from decisions made by those bodies or national negotiations, including those arising from job evaluation, should be fully funded.”

The Carer and Service User Representatives noted their support for the motion.

Members accepted the motion.

10. Adults With Incapacity Delayed Discharge Funding and Action Plan

Caroline Sinclair presented a report advising of a funding allocation to the IJB from the Scottish Government (SG) to be used to provide additional resources aimed at reducing the impact of mental incapacity related delays in hospital discharges. Members were asked to note the Adults with Incapacity (AWI) Delayed Discharge Action Plan that has been developed and agreed by the Scottish Government and approve the funding for this use.

Members welcomed the report and sought clarification on the SG’s expectations regarding anticipated outcomes and delivery. Officers advised that the SG has not specified a formal target. The initial focus will be on identifying opportunities to accelerate pathways, supported by regular reporting and ongoing engagement with the SG. Work is underway to monitor the length of the AWI journey, and a performance framework will be developed around the bid.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) **Noted the allocation of funding from the Scottish Government of £266k (Appendix 2 of the report) to be utilised to provide additional resources aimed at reducing the impact of mental incapacity related delays in hospital discharges; and**
- b) **Approved the use of the funding to deliver the Action Plan (Appendix 3 of the report) which has been agreed by the Scottish Government.**

11. Glasgow City IJB Budget Monitoring for Month 10 and Period 11 2025-26

Duncan Black presented a report outlining the financial position of the Glasgow City Integration Joint Board as at 9th January for Council and 31st January 2026 for Health and highlights any areas of budget pressures and actions to mitigate these pressures.

The Service User Representative raised concerns regarding the overtime requirements to cover staff absence in Children’s Houses and asked what actions were being taken to reduce this pressure. Officers advised that absence within Children’s Houses has been an ongoing challenge. Work is underway to strengthen staff wellbeing and training. Despite the pressures, Children’s Houses continue to be well-rated by the Care Inspectorate across the estate. Officers emphasised the increasing complexity of the children and young people, which necessitates maintaining full staffing levels at all times to ensure safety and appropriate care. The Local Authority Trade Union Representative highlighted an increased level of violence and assaults on staff and stressed the importance of maintaining safer staffing levels. Officers advised that while some absences can relate to incidents in the Children’s Houses, many also arise from general illness and other causes.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

Officers also acknowledged the significant trauma experienced by young people in their care and confirmed that staff are supported following incidents.

Members asked what specific measures were being taken to reduce reliance on Bed & Breakfast (B&B) accommodation and what progress had been achieved to date. Officers advised that there is close joint working between the Homelessness Team, Registered Social Landlords (RSLs), and Officers in Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability (NRS), with the position being closely monitored to maximise all opportunities to reduce B&B use. Officers reported a significant increase in RSL lets, which has already contributed to a £4m reduction in B&B expenditure earlier in the year. The Chief Officer noted that a more detailed update will be provided as part of the forthcoming 10-year Homelessness Temporary Accommodation Strategy, covering wider partnership activity.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) Noted the contents of this report;**
- b) Approved the budget changes noted in Section 3; and**
- c) Noted the summary of current Directions (Appendix 2 of the report).**

12. Glasgow City HSCP Draft Locality Plan 2026-28

Karen Lockhart presented the draft Locality Plan 2026-28 for consideration and approval.

Members welcomed the report and acknowledged the work undertaken to date and queried how targets relating to gender-based violence and domestic abuse are being set and measured. Officers advised that work on gender-based and domestic violence is embedded within the Strategic Plan, with a focus on supporting individuals to feel confident engaging with services. It was noted that the Chief Officer has led substantial work on performance, data analysis, and practice improvement, which is providing assurance on areas of strength while also identifying gaps requiring further attention. Officers confirmed an update will be provided to the IJB on the Domestic Abuse Strategy, including progress made and actions taken in response to existing recommendations.

Officers responded to a query from Members regarding potential workforce pressures that could impact delivery of the Locality Plan, acknowledging that successful implementation is dependent on adequate staffing capacity. Locality engagement is led by Planning Officers, who provide dedicated support to each locality and Officers confirmed that additional resources are available for staff to draw upon where required.

Members sought clarification on whether the Locality Plan was being presented for approval to proceed to consultation or for publication, noting that this was not clear within the report. Officers confirmed, at its meeting in November 2025, the IJB Public Engagement Committee (PEC) received a paper summarising a wide range of City-wide and locality community engagement activities undertaken over the last year, relevant to the development of the draft Locality Plan. A draft version of the Locality Plan was presented to PEC in February 2026. The report was therefore being presented to the IJB for approval to publish the Plan.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

It was agreed that for the avoidance of doubt and following discussion regarding the consultation process, Officers committed to seeking clarification that the process was complete and that no further feedback was expected. It was further agreed that Officers would confirm with the Chair that publication could proceed.

Officers

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) Noted the issues and engagement approaches outlined in the paper relevant to the draft Locality Plan;**
- b) Noted the content of the draft Locality Plan and offered feedback as necessary to inform its finalisation; and**
- c) Approved that the final Locality Plan be published in April 2026, taking into account feedback offered by the IJB, subject to confirmation with the Chair that the consultation process is complete.**

13. Service Prioritisation Programme Update

Stephen Fitzpatrick presented a report updating on progress with the Service Prioritisation (SP) programme since the report to the IJB on 21st January 2026.

Officers advised that no SP reviews have been concluded yet, and therefore no recommendations have been submitted to the Executive Steering Group (ESG) at this stage. The first 9 reviews are going through the methodology process and will be reported to the ESG on 24th March. The ESG will also approve the programme for 2026/27 which includes 25 separate reviews. Officers will continue to report back to the IJB as reviews are completed and considered by the ESG.

As agreed at the November IJB, governance arrangements will be kept under review and may be subject to future amendment by the IJB based on their effectiveness in practice. The initial review is planned for the first IJB meeting following the 2026 summer recess. A specific report on the Communication and Engagement Plan was presented to the IJB Public Engagement Committee on 18th February 2026.

The Local Authority Trade Union Representative sought clarity regarding the expectation of Trade Union agreement on any proposals and the position should such agreement not be provided. Officers reaffirmed their strong commitment to ongoing engagement and transparency with Trade Unions and noted that, where arrangements are not working well, efforts would be made to improve them. Officers confirmed that the decision-making status of Trade Unions would not change and that governance processes would continue to develop. In relation to future outcomes, Officers advised that they would not speculate and that there was no presumption that all service areas would result in savings. The agreed methodology will be applied consistently, and the outcome will be based on evidence. Members sought clarification on the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and milestones that would be used to measure progress over the three-year period, including how concerns would be captured and how instances of failure to reach agreement would be reported to the IJB. Officers advised that specific KPIs had been developed for each individual service review, alongside programme-level indicators relating to process and projected financial outcomes, including the delivery of £10m in savings and a further £10m to replenish reserves. Officers

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

emphasised that the programme would remain responsive to external factors, including geopolitical developments. In instances where agreement could not be reached, established governance arrangements would apply, and reports would be brought back to the IJB as required. Officers expressed confidence in the governance framework for the programme, noting it would continue to be reviewed and refined, with updates reported back to the IJB, as necessary.

The Chair requested that an additional recommendation is added to confirm the IJB formally approved that initial review of the governance arrangements would take place following the 2026 summer recess.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) **Noted the update on the service prioritisation programme; and**
- b) **Approved the initial review of the Service Prioritisation governance arrangements to take place following the 2026 summer recess.**

Charles Vincent, seconded by Councillor Jon Molyneux proposed the following motion, which was circulated to IJB Members.

Motion:

To adjust the wording of the service prioritisation governance as originally specified in section 3.1 of 26th November paper (Item No 10).

From:

Full IJB to formally note review recommendations on a rolling programme at each meeting where necessary. Given the potential of 400+ detailed review reports to swamp normal IJB business the expectation would be that in most cases the IJB will be satisfied there has been sufficient due diligence applied through the application of the agreed approach/methodology when reviewing the cost effectiveness of services against specific criteria and critical success factors. As Chair of the Executive Steering Group the HSCP Chief Officer will make determinations on where individual service reviews require the formal approval of the IJB.

To:

Full IJB to formally **approve** review recommendations on a rolling programme at each meeting where necessary. Given the potential of 400+ detailed review reports to swamp normal IJB business the expectation would be that in most cases the IJB will be satisfied there has been sufficient due diligence applied through the application of the agreed approach/methodology when reviewing the cost effectiveness of services against specific criteria and critical success factors. As Chair of the Executive Steering Group the HSCP Chief Officer will make determinations on where individual service reviews **should be proactively provided to the IJB prior to being requested, for formal approval of the IJB.**"

Charles Vincent expressed support for the Service Prioritisation (SP) programme, over the previous "salami-slicing" approach. He emphasised the importance of ensuring the success of SP and highlighted the collective experience within the IJB to identify when issues are controversial. He stated that the proposed change relates to accountability, as the IJB is ultimately responsible to the people of Glasgow for

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

decisions involving reductions to services. Charles acknowledged concerns raised about potential delays but concluded that maintaining appropriate accountability with the IJB is essential to protect the people of Glasgow, as the responsibility for such decisions rests with IJB Members.

Councillor Jon Molyneux also expressed support for the SP approach, noting that it is both necessary and the correct direction, however, emphasised that the issue is one of accountability, as IJB Members are publicly accountable for decisions taken. Councillor Molyneux stated that he would find it difficult to support the continuation of the current governance arrangements, noting with hindsight that the decision taken in November 2025, as noted in the minute, did not fully reflect the tone or concerns raised at that meeting.

The Local Authority Trade Union Representative welcomed the intention behind the motion and expressed concern that not bringing service reviews to the IJB for approval dilutes the role of non-voting members in being able to influence and shape decisions.

The Chief Officer advised that under the existing Scheme of Delegation, decisions are already taken at Officer level on what is presented to the IJB. The SP governance framework provides a significant enhancement of accountability and transparency than there has been previously. The Chief Officer highlighted that the IJB approved the SP governance structure at its meeting on 26th November 2025 and if the motion is approved it would impact the IJB financially, strategically and reputationally. There would also be a resource impact necessitating far more frequent and intensive meetings.

Several Members expressed difficulty in supporting the motion, noting that the governance structure had been discussed and approved only months earlier, and that no SP outputs had yet been presented to the IJB for formal review. Members stressed the need to allow the agreed structure to operate as intended and cautioned that the motion could undermine the Chief Officer and Officers tasked with implementing the programme. Members emphasised the importance of trusting Officers to exercise judgement and to escalate matters when necessary. It was also noted that the Scheme of Delegation includes safeguards, such as consultation with the Chair and Vice Chair, and that requiring each review to return to the IJB would cause delay and risk delivery of the programme.

The Depute Chief Officer, Finance and Resources, outlined the financial impact of bringing all SP decisions to the IJB which would risk in-year savings not being achieved and could necessitate recovery actions, including returning to the previously identified long-list of savings. Assurance was provided that there is robust financial governance, with progress reported at each IJB meeting and Internal Audit have included SP within its audit plan for 2026/27 and will provide FASC with assurance on the compliance.

In response to a query around the number of reviews being undertaken, Officers clarified that the reference to 400 reviews represents 400 individual budget lines. There is a range of different scales of review, and some will be clustered thematically where possible. The workload however remains the same.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

The Chair called a vote on the motion, inviting Members to approve or reject. The motion was rejected, with 11 votes against and 4 votes in favour. One Member did not respond when called upon to vote.

14. Homelessness Services – Temporary Homelessness Accommodation Charges 2026-27

Duncan Black presented a report advising that the charges for homelessness temporary accommodation are set annually by the Council's City Administration Committee. The report informs Glasgow City Integration Joint Board of the approved charges for 2026-27.

The Integration Joint Board:

- a) **Noted the proposed average weekly charge for temporary furnished accommodation of £198.77, an increase of 5.5%; and**
- b) **Noted the 5.5% proposed increase to supported accommodation rents, being a weekly charge of £453.16 for the Chara, Elder Street, James Mclean, Rodney Street, Maxwell Drive and Portman Street Centres.**

15. Update from Chair of Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee (04-02-26)

Graham Haddock provided an update, which is available on the HSCP [website](#). Graham confirmed that he had written to the various teams to thank and congratulate them for their excellent inspection results.

16. Update from Chair of Public Engagement Committee (18-02-26)

The [papers](#) and [draft minute](#) are available on the HSCP website.

17. Glasgow City IJB – Future Agenda Items

Agenda items for future meetings of the IJB were noted.

18. Next Meeting

The next meeting will be held at 9.30am on Wednesday 13th May 2026.

OFFICIAL