

Meeting Date

24 June 2020

Glasgow City Integration Joint Board

PRE-AGENDA QUESTIONS AND POINTS OF CLARITY ON DETAIL

Paper no.	Question	Question from	Response	Response from
8	 5.3 refers to partial completion of the self-assessment questionnaire relating to internal control. Could we know how partial this is please? If, as in many organisations, this is a pyramidal process with one layer informing assurance given by senior officers how far has this been disrupted? 	Councillor Jane Morgan	 The annual governance self-assessment exercise consisted of 260 questions related to 18 difference categories. The HSCP requested and secured an extension to the original deadline (13th March 2020) as the relevant officers were engaged in the Covid-19 response. We submitted our partial return on 9th April. Of the 260 questions we were unable to provide a confirmed response from the relevant officer for 21, covering 6 different areas (Integrity, HR, Health and Safety, Risk Management, Emergency Planning and Project Management). 	Allison Eccles, Head of Business Development
8	 7.2 refers to funding for homecare. Have discussions taken place with GCC or have they been paused? 	Councillor Jane Morgan	When the IJB approved its budget in March 2020, it agreed to fund the remaining balance of the Homecare deficit. The IJB report also recommended that funding should continue to be pursued with the	Margaret Hogg, Assistant Chief Officer : Finance

OFFICIAL

Paper no.	Question	Question from	Response	Response from
	 Is there a need for these to be concluded before year (20-21) end and what are current expectations on timing and result? 		Council through the Chair. Due to the current pandemic this discussion has been paused.	
			There is no requirement for this to be concluded before the end of 2020-21 as full budget provision has been made by the IJB. It is expected that this will be discussed by the Chair as part of the 2021-22 budget exercise.	
10 and 12	 The risk register, and review of it, is referred to in the Recovery Plan (Item12) and in the Unaudited Accounts commentary (Item10). Is it considered that review of the Register by FASC and the IJB is wholly for officers under temporary arrangements? If so, it would seem appropriate and helpful to allow members to see the Register (rather than just the extract in the accounts) for information. Can this be provided please? 	Councillor Jane Morgan	 Although not specifically referred to in the temporary arrangements it is considered that the review of the IJB Risk Register would be treated in the same way as other areas of work not specifically referred to, and would be reviewed by officers. The IJB Risk Register, which details the risks to the IJB achieving its strategic objectives, has been updated to reflect the impact of the response to the COVID-19 pandemic as well as considering the changed probability of achieving those strategic objectives. This has changed the overall risk profile. 	Allison Eccles, Head of Business Development

OFFICIAL

Paper no.	Question	Question from	Response	Response from
	While noting the formal position on allowable delegation, could consideration be given to including review in any future meeting under temporary arrangements, whether in any meeting of Chairs and Vice Chairs or at the full IJB?		It would therefore be appropriate for members to see the IJB Risk Register in order to remain informed of the current position in relation to the changed risk profile of the IJB as a result of the pandemic. This will be circulated in due course.	
			In a similar vein, consideration absolutely can be given to facilitating a review of the IJB Risk Register at a future meeting under temporary arrangements.	