

# OFFICIAL NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Equality Impact Assessment Tool

Equality Impact Assessment is a legal requirement as set out in the Equality Act (2010) and the Equality Act 2010 (Specific Duties) (Scotland) regulations 2012 and may be used as evidence for cases referred for further investigation for compliance issues. Evidence returned should also align to Specific Outcomes as stated in your local Equality Outcomes Report. Please note that prior to starting an EQIA all Lead Reviewers are required to attend a Lead Reviewer training session or arrange to meet with a member of the Equality and Human Rights Team to discuss the process. Please contact Equality@ggc.scot.nhs.uk for further details or call 0141 2014560.

Name of Policy/Service Review/Service Development/Service Redesign/New Service:
Glasgow Mental Health and Huntington's Service
Is this a: Current Service X Service Development Service Redesign New Service New Policy Policy Review
Description of the service & rationale for selection for EQIA: (Please state if this is part of a Board-wide service or is locally driven).
This EQIA aligns with the IJB Financial Allocations and Budgets 2025-26 paper, being presented to IJB members in March 2025.
The service was originally known as the Social Opportunities Service commissioned over 20 years ago to provide flexible community supports for people at early to mid stage Huntington's disease. In March 2021, the service was renamed as Glasgow Mental Health and Huntington's Service (GMHHS). The service is registered with the Care Inspectorate to provide Housing Support and a Care at Home Service to people who experience complex and enduring mental health difficulties, and individuals who experience symptomatic Huntington's disease. In addition to the Personalisation service which delivers Self Directed Support, GMHHS also receives an annual funding award from a Mental Health block funding allocation to deliver a total 84 hours of support to a maximum of 15 individuals. The historical and current referral route for this part of the service, namely individuals with Huntington's disease, comes solely from the Scottish Huntington's Association (SHA). The aim of the review was to demonstrate 'fit for purpose' and 'best value' for the partnership.
The review has identified the service is consistently under utilised and has had continous low referrals over a number of years. The service is no longer appropriate in meeting previously targetted suppport with now more complex demands. Current funding ends on March 31 <sup>st</sup> 2025. The review identified that the service isn't cost effective, and as a consequence of the review findings finance are considering their final recommendation to discontinue the service and anticipate the budget would go towards savings.
For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or

transfer to alternative supports. Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.

Who is the lead reviewer and when did they attend Lead reviewer Training? (Please note the lead reviewer must be someone in a position to authorise any actions identified as a result of the EQIA)

Name:	Date of Lead Reviewer Training:
Lorraine Taylor	21st February 2024

Please list the staff involved in carrying out this EQIA

(Where non-NHS staff are involved e.g. third sector reps or patients, please record their organisation or reason for inclusion):

Sonia Chadha, Senior officer

		Example	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
1.	What equalities information is routinely collected from people currently using the service or affected by the policy? If this is a new service proposal, what data do you have on proposed service user groups. Please note any barriers to collecting this data in your submitted evidence and an explanation for any protected characteristic data omitted.	A sexual health service collects service user data covering all 9 protected characteristics to enable them to monitor patterns of use.	Historically, limited equality data has been routinely gathered. Socioeconomic is not available. However, of the current 10 service users the following is noted:  • 6 are female and 4 are male.  • 3 people (30 to 50 yrs), 3 people (50-60yrs), 2 people in their 70's and 1 person in their 80's.  • 1 person using the service for more than 10yrs, 1 more than 9 years, 1 more than 7 years, 3, more than 3 years, 2 more than 2 years and 1 person less than a year.  • 3 people are based in North West of the city, 3 people in North East and 4 people supported in the South  There are no age restrictions to age to support people over 16 yrs of age and over 65 yrs.	
		Example	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
2.	Please provide details of how data captured has been/will be used to inform policy content or service design.  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been	A physical activity programme for people with long term conditions reviewed service user data and found very low uptake by BME (Black and Minority Ethnic) people. Engagement activity found	The historical and current referral route for this part of the service, namely individuals with Huntington's disease, comes solely from the Scottish Huntington's Association (SHA).  All of the people supported have the experience of early to mid-stage Huntington's (stage 1 or 2) and may also experience a range of other mental health difficulties	Subject to individual needs and person- centred assessment.

	1		011101/\E	
	considered (tick relevant	promotional material for	Information used to gain access to the service is	
	boxes).	the interventions was not	undertaken on a 'case by case' basis and may	
		representative. As a	also be subject to s/w assessment.	
	1) Remove discrimination,	result an adapted range		
	harassment and	of materials were		
	victimisation	introduced with ongoing		
		monitoring of uptake.		
	2) Promote equality of	(Due regard promoting		
	opportunity	equality of opportunity)		
		equanty of opportunity)		
	3) Foster good relations			
	between protected			
	characteristics.			
	4) Not applicable			
		Example	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional
				Mitigating Action Required
3.	How have you applied	Looked after and	The service was commissioned with maximum	Multi-party meetings involving commissioning staff,
	learning from research	accommodated care	capacity for up to 15 individuals per week to	the provider and the advisory service, have
	evidence about the	services reviewed a	deliver a total of 84hrs per week. The review has	frequently taken place over last few years to address
	experience of equality	range of research	evidenced the service has been under achieving	concerns and low referrals into the service.
	groups to the service or	evidence to help promote	in its aims of targeted support with an average of	However, evidence would indicate this has had little
	Policy?	a more inclusive care	9 people utilising approx 5/6 hours per week.	impact in maximising capacity to support up to
		environment, Research	The last Service Review report was	commissioned resource of 15 people per week.
	Your evidence should show	suggested that young	completed in May 2016 and concluded	
	which of the 3 parts of the	LGBT+ people had a	services were not operating as initially	
	General Duty have been	disproportionately	envisaged in 2001.	
	considered (tick relevant	difficult time through	Past records also highlight the need for	
	boxes).	exposure to bullying and	the service to be prioritsed for further	
		harassment. As a result	review.	
	1) Remove discrimination,	staff were trained in	The service has always been funded by	
	harassment and	LGBT+ issues and were	an annual award since 2011. This	
	victimisation	more confident in asking		
		related questions to	predates the personalisation model and	
	2) Promote equality of	-	consequently, there was no mechanism	
		young people.	to fund the service via personalisation at	

			OTTIOIAL	
	opportunity  3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics  4) Not applicable	(Due regard to removing discrimination, harassment and victimisation and fostering good relations).	<ul> <li>that time.</li> <li>A recent trends analysis has shown under capacity and decreasing demand. for the last few years.</li> </ul>	
		Example	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
4.	Can you give details of how you have engaged with equality groups with regard to the service review or policy development? What did this engagement tell you about user experience and how was this information used? The Patient Experience and Public Involvement team (PEPI) support NHSGGC to listen and understand what matters to people and can offer support.  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation	A money advice service spoke to lone parents (predominantly women) to better understand barriers to accessing the service. Feedback included concerns about waiting times at the drop in service, made more difficult due to child care issues. As a result the service introduced a home visit and telephone service which significantly increased uptake.  (Due regard to promoting equality of opportunity)  * The Child Poverty (Scotland) Act 2017 requires organisations to take actions to reduce poverty for children in	Due to the sensitivity of the proposal consultation and engagement has not yet taken place. The service seeks feedback via quality service tool, 6 monthly reviews, file audits (monthly) and quarterly service practice audits. They also undertake a "You said, we did" exercise to gather feedback to improve service quality. The service manager states that they are passionate to support genuine service user involvement, and view having a suitable office space for service users to meet in aiding them with this action. Service users	The GMHHS manager has been fully involved in the review and agreement reached. Given the vulnerability of service users to increased heightened anxiety about potential changes to the service direct engagement has not been possible.  However, once recommendations have been approved transitional planning will ensure service users and their significant others are fully engaged to ensure any identified needs for continued / future support including advocacy support are appropriately sourced.

	2) Promote equality of opportunity	households at risk of low incomes.		
	Оррогили	low incomes.		
	3) Foster good relations			
	between protected			
	characteristics			
	4) Not applicable			
		Example	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
5.	Is your service physically	An access audit of an	The service is delivered on an outreach basis. It	Subject to individual physical and environmental
	accessible to everyone? If	outpatient physiotherapy	is provided to adults diagnosed with Huntington's	assessment.
	this is a policy that impacts	department found that	disease with support needs met in their home	
	on movement of service	users were required to	and/or in the community. Any continued or future	
	users through areas are	negotiate 2 sets of heavy	support needs will be subject to a social work	
	there potential barriers that	manual pull doors to	needs assessment and consider all aspects of	
	need to be addressed?	access the service. A	each person's needs; physical, social, emotional	
	V	request was placed to	and environmental.	
	Your evidence should show	have the doors retained	The current provider has not identified any	
	which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been	by magnets that could deactivate in the event of	The current provider has not identified any barriers to support in each person's home and	
	considered (tick relevant	a fire.	overcome any inaccessible in their immediate	
	boxes).	(Due regard to remove	community-based setting.	
	<i>50,</i> 000).	discrimination,	Sommanity based setting.	
	1) Remove discrimination,	harassment and		
	harassment and	victimisation).		
	victimisation	,		
	2) Promote equality of			
	opportunity			
	3) Foster good relations			
	between protected			
	characteristics.			

	4) Not applicable			
		Example	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
6.	How will the service change or policy development ensure it does not discriminate in the way it communicates with service users and staff?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation  2) Promote equality of opportunity  3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics  4) Not applicable   The British Sign Language (Scotland) Act 2017 aims to raise awareness of British Sign Language and improve	Following a service review, an information video to explain new procedures was hosted on the organisation's YouTube site. This was accompanied by a BSL signer to explain service changes to Deaf service users.  Written materials were offered in other languages and formats.  (Due regard to remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation and promote equality of opportunity).	The transitional plan will outline how the changes will be communicated with service users and carers, who will be involved, and the format utilised within a multi-disciplinary approach. This will aim to ensure support is in place and identify and specify any additional resources required including advocacy and carers support if needed. The provider has indicated there are no current communication issues. However, in line with usual business rearrangements, there will be access to interpreters, translations, alternative formats and advocacy support if required.	Subject to individual needs and person- centred assessment. On a 'case by case' basis.

	access to services for those using the language. Specific attention should be paid in your evidence to show how the service review or policy has taken note of this.		
7	Protected Characteristic	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
(a)	Could the service design or policy content have a disproportionate impact on people due to differences in age? (Consider any age cut-offs that exist in the service design or policy content. You will need to objectively justify in the evidence section any segregation on the grounds of age promoted by the policy or included in the service design).  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation	● 4 individuals (30 to 50 yrs), 3 individuals (50-60yrs), 2 individuals in their 70's and 1 person in their 80's.  No impact identified at this stage. However, any identified age barrier will be taken into consideration as part of the individual referral/ and or s/w assessment. Given the degenerative nature of the disease due consideration will be given to anticipated future needs.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
(b)	Disability	The potential for impact on disability as the service is targeted to people with Huntington's	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years,

	Could the service design or policy content have a disproportionate impact on people due to the protected characteristic of disability?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation  2) Promote equality of opportunity  3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics.	disease which impacts on daily living. The service users will be referred for a social work assessment to identify any significant need for supports. Alternative supports will be sought within existing community-based services. There is potential that this will not directly align with current service provision.	despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
	Protected Characteristic	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
(c)	Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on people with the protected characteristic of Gender Reassignment?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation	No impact identified at this stage. However, any identified gender reassignment issue raised will be taken into consideration as part of the individual referral/ and or s/w assessment.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison
			with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct

	characteristics		
	4) Not applicable		
	Protected Characteristic	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
(d)	Marriage and Civil Partnership  Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristics of Marriage and Civil Partnership?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation	No impact identified at this stage. However, any marriage or cohabitation issue will be taken into consideration as part of the individual referral/s/w assessment.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.
	2) Promote equality of opportunity  3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics  4) Not applicable		Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
(e)	Pregnancy and Maternity	No impact identified at this stage and service user profile substantiates this. However, any	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years,
	Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristics of Pregnancy and Maternity?	pregnancy or issue identified will be taken into consideration as part of the individual referral/ and or s/w assessment.	despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.
	Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant		For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by

	boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment  victimisation  2) Promote equality of opportunity    3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics.    4) Not applicable		locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
	Protected Characteristic	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
(f)	Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on people with the protected characteristics of Race?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation  2) Promote equality of opportunity  3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics  4) Not applicable	No impact identified at this stage. The majority of service users are white Scottish and the provider has indicated there are no current communication issues. However, in line with usual business rearrangements, there will be access to interpreters, translations, alternative formats and advocacy support if required.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
(g)	Religion and Belief	Limited equality data has not been routinely gathered. No impact identified at this stage.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years,

3) Foster good relations between protected with Scotti	al planning arrangements will consider all nd specialist supports and include liaison sh Huntington's Association for direct upport and appropriate sign posting.
Protected Characteristic Service Evidence Provided Possi	ible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristic of Sex?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation  2) Promote equality of opportunity  are female and 4 are male.  service hat despite tar staff, the proferrals in currently a will be understand.  Service us welfare be required on Following the staff and a them with the mount of the staff. It is not care to a service hat the protected the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff and a them with the mount of the staff. It is not care to the staff and a staff and a them with the mount of the staff. It is not care to the staff and a	pated that impact will be mitigated as the s been underutilised over several years, regeted interventions by commissioning provider and advisory staff, with low ato the service. For those service users accessing the service, an individual review dertaken by locality based social work recognised that a disproportionate number are female and potentially on low incomes. Sers and carers will be supported with any enefit checks and income maximisation or requested by the carer or service user. This they will be supported by operational any identified relevant person to support the transition of the service ending or alternative supports.

	characteristics.  4) Not applicable		Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
(i)	Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristic of Sexual Orientation?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).  1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation	No impact identified at this stage.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
	Protected Characteristic	Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
(j)	Socio – Economic Status & Social Class		It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the
	Could the proposed comities shown an malieu baye a	People who currently utilise the service	service has been underutilised over several years,
	Could the proposed service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on people because of their	are not personally charged under current	despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low
	social class or experience of poverty and what	block funding arrangements. Potential socio-economic impact for those whose	referrals into the service. For those service users
	mitigating action have you taken/planned?	assessed need does not match current	currently accessing the service, an individual review
	ininganing action have you taken/planned:	provision.	will be undertaken by locality based social work
	The Fairer Scotland Duty (2018) places a duty on public	Any change in service provision that	staff.
	The Fairer occuration buty (2010) places a duty off public	Any change in service provision that	Jun.

bodies in Scotland to actively consider how they can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socioeconomic disadvantage when making strategic decisions. If relevant, you should evidence here what steps have been taken to assess and mitigate risk of exacerbating inequality on the ground of socioeconomic status. Additional information available

**here:** Fairer Scotland Duty: guidance for public bodies - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Seven useful questions to consider when seeking to demonstrate 'due regard' in relation to the Duty:

- 1. What evidence has been considered in preparing for the decision, and are there any gaps in the evidence?
- 2. What are the voices of people and communities telling us, and how has this been determined (particularly those with lived experience of socioeconomic disadvantage)?
- 3. What does the evidence suggest about the actual or likely impacts of different options or measures on inequalities of outcome that are associated with socioeconomic disadvantage?
- 4. Are some communities of interest or communities of place more affected by disadvantage in this case than others?
- 5. What does our Duty assessment tell us about socioeconomic disadvantage experienced disproportionately according to sex, race, disability and other protected characteristics that we may need to factor into our decisions?
- 6. How has the evidence been weighed up in reaching our final decision?
- 7. What plans are in place to monitor or evaluate the impact of the proposals on inequalities of outcome

incurred a change in location could involve additional travel costs and change in service level

Service users and carers will be supported with all aspects of income maximisation and application to find alternative source of funding for any additional service costs.

Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.

Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.

		UFFICIAL	
	that are associated with socio-economic disadvantage? 'Making Fair Financial Decisions' (EHRC, 2019)21 provides useful information about the 'Brown Principles' which can be used to determine whether due regard has been given. When engaging with communities the National Standards for Community Engagement22 should be followed. Those engaged with should also be advised subsequently on how their contributions were factored into the final decision.		
(k)	Other marginalised groups  How have you considered the specific impact on other groups including homeless people, prisoners and exoffenders, ex-service personnel, people with addictions, people involved in prostitution, asylum seekers & refugees and travellers?	No impact identified at this stage. However, any issue identified in relation to a person feeing marginalised will be taken into consideration as part of the individual referral/ and or s/w assessment.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.  For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
8.	Does the service change or policy development include an element of cost savings? How have you managed this in a way that will not disproportionately impact on protected characteristic groups?  Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the	This EQIA aligns with the IJB Financial Allocations and Budgets 2025-26 paper, being presented to IJB members in March 2025. However, achieving a saving was not the aim of the review.	It is anticipated that impact will be mitigated as the service has been underutilised over several years, despite targeted interventions by commissioning staff, the provider and advisory staff, with low referrals into the service.
	General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes).	To meet the aims of the Strategy for Mental Health Services in Greater Glasgow & Clyde	For those service users currently accessing the service, an individual review will be undertaken by
		OFFICIAL	

	1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation  2) Promote equality of opportunity  3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics.  4) Not applicable	2023 – 2028. The review has been undertaken as part of a systematic programme of review in accordance with contract management framework requirements. To ensure community services are person-centred, outcome focussed and achieve best value for the HSCP.	locality based social work staff. Following this they will be supported by operational staff and any identified relevant person to support them with the transition of the service ending or transfer to alternative supports.  Transitional planning arrangements will consider all relevant and specialist supports and include liaison with Scottish Huntington's Association for direct advisory support and appropriate sign posting.
		Service Evidence Provided	Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required
9.	What investment in learning has been made to prevent discrimination, promote equality of opportunity and foster good relations between protected characteristic groups? As a minimum include recorded completion rates of statutory and mandatory learning programmes (or local equivalent) covering equality, diversity and human rights.	All staff who would be undertaking assessments would require to complete Equality and Human Rights training as part of their mandatory training requirements.	

10. In addition to understanding and responding to legal responsibilities set out in Equality Act (2010), services must pay due regard to ensure a person's human rights are protected in all aspects of health and social care provision. This may be more obvious in some areas than others. For instance, mental health inpatient care or older people's residential care may be considered higher risk in terms of potential human rights breach due to potential removal of liberty, seclusion or application of restraint. However risk may also involve fundamental gaps like not providing access to communication support, not involving patients/service users in decisions relating to their care, making decisions that infringe the rights of carers to participate in society or not respecting someone's right to dignity or privacy.

The Human Rights Act sets out rights in a series of articles – right to Life, right to freedom from torture and inhumane and degrading treatment, freedom from slavery and forced labour, right to liberty and security, right to a fair trial, no punishment without law, right to respect for private and family life, right to freedom of thought, belief and religion, right to freedom of expression, right to freedom of assembly and association, right to marry, right to protection from discrimination.

Please explain in the field below if any risks in relation to the service design or policy were identified which could impact on the human rights of patients, service users or staff.

_			_		_
$\boldsymbol{\frown}$	_	_	C		
	_	_			
u			•	_	_

N/A
-----

Please explain in the field below any human rights based approaches undertaken to better understand rights and responsibilities resulting from the service or policy development and what measures have been taken as a result e.g. applying the PANEL Principles to maximise Participation, Accountability, Non-discrimination and Equality, Empowerment and Legality or FAIR\*.

*	

- Facts: What is the experience of the individuals involved and what are the important facts to understand?
- Analyse rights: Develop an analysis of the human rights at stake
- Identify responsibilities: Identify what needs to be done and who is responsible for doing it
- Review actions: Make recommendations for action and later recall and evaluate what has happened as a result.

_	g completed the EQIA template, please tick which option you (Lead Reviewer) perceive best reflects the findings of the assessment. This can be cross-checked Quality Assurance process:
	Option 1: No major change (where no impact or potential for improvement is found, no action is required)
	Option 2: Adjust (where a potential or actual negative impact or potential for a more positive impact is found, make changes to mitigate risks or make improvements)
	Option 3: Continue (where a potential or actual negative impact or potential for a more positive impact is found but a decision not to make a change can be objectively justified, continue without making changes)
	Option 4: Stop and remove (where a serious risk of negative impact is found, the plans, policies etc. being assessed should be halted until these issues can be addressed)

11. If you believe your service is doing something that 'stands out' as an example of good practice - for instance you are routinely collecting patient data
on sexual orientation, faith etc please use the box below to describe the activity and the benefits this has brought to the service. This information will
help others consider opportunities for developments in their own services.

Actions – from the additional mitigating action requirements boxes completed above, please summarise the actions this service will be taking forward.	Date for Who is responsible (initials)	
Commissioning will share information with social work locality teams to support individual reviews of the people currently supported by the service.	4/02/25	SC
<ul> <li>Decommissioning Plan being developed in collaboration with GMHHS staff and locality managers.</li> </ul>	21/2/25	SC
Operational lead to be identified	10/2/25	MR
Timeline for anticipated service end with alternative supports to be identified	20/3/25	MR
Commissioning will seek advice from legal to terminate the block contract	TBC	LT

Ongoing 6 Monthly Review please write your 6 monthly EQIA review date:

Lead Reviewer: Name

Lorraine Taylor Service Manager Commissioning (Mental Health) **EQIA Sign Off:** Job Title

**Signature Lorraine Taylor** 

Date 11/2/25

**Quality Assurance Sign Off:** Name Noreen Shields

Planning and Development Manager Noreen Shields Job Title

Signature

24/2/25 Date



## NHS GREATER GLASGOW AND CLYDE EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL MEETING THE NEEDS OF DIVERSE COMMUNITIES 6 MONTHLY REVIEW SHEET

Name of Policy/Current Service/Service Development/Service Redesign:

	Со	Completed	
	Date	Initial	
Action:			
Status:			
Action:			
Status:			
Action:			
Status:			
Action:			
ACHOH.			
Status:			
Status:  Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required	I actions highlighted in the original EQIA process for this Serv	ice/Policy an	
Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required reason for non-completion			
Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required eason for non-completion	To be C	ompleted by	
Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required eason for non-completion  Action:	To be C	ompleted by	
Status:	To be C	ompleted by	

Action: Reason: Action:	Date	Initial
Reason: Action:		
Action:		1
Reason:		
Please detail any discontinued actions that were originally planned and reasons:  Action:		
Reason:		
Action:		
Reason:		
Please write your next 6-month review date		
Name of completing officer:		
D. ( ) 14 1		
Date submitted:		
If you would like to have your 6 month report reviewed by a Quality Assuror please e-mail to: ala	ootois love@aaa ooot she iili	