



GLASGOW CITY HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE PARTNERSHIP

REF NO:

TITLE: SOCIAL WORK COMPLAINTS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

Purpose:

To provide a full description of policy and procedures within GCHSCP for the management of complaints regarding social work services based upon a model complaints handling procedure defined by The Scottish Public Services Ombudsman

Status:

Issue Date: March 2017

Implementation Date: 1st April 2017

Supersedes: GCP1/15

Contact for further information: Principal Officer Rights and Enquiries

Circulation: All Social Work Staff and Staff of the Glasgow City Health & Social Care Partnership.

Issued by – Allison Eccles

Head of Business Development, Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership

SOCIAL WORK COMPLAINTS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

Foreword

Our complaints handling procedure reflects Glasgow Health and Social Care Partnership's commitment to valuing complaints. It seeks to resolve customer dissatisfaction as close as possible to the point of service delivery and to conduct thorough, impartial and fair investigations of customer complaints so that, where appropriate, we can make evidence-based decisions on the facts of the case.

The policy and procedure has been developed by social work experts and third sector organisations working closely with the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO). This procedure has been developed specifically for our social work services but the structure of procedure and processes tie in very closely with those of the Local Authority and National Health Service complaints handling procedures, so where complaints cut across services, they can be handled in much the same way and joint responses can be issued where appropriate.

We aim to provide high quality social work services that protect children and adults from harm, promote independence and deliver positive outcomes for Glasgow citizens. In doing so we seek to ensure transparency, equity and fairness in the allocation of finite resources and to build a culture of continuous improvement with the aim of driving up the quality of services. A good complaints policy and procedure can help us achieve these goals by upholding the right of citizens to seek redress where they feel that services are not of the highest quality or that they have been unfairly treated. Complaints can give us valuable information we can use to improve service provision and customer satisfaction. Our complaints procedure will enable us to address dissatisfaction and, if grounded in real problems with our services, may help us prevent the same problems from happening again.

For our staff, complaints provide a first-hand account of the customers' views and experience, and can highlight problems and experiences we may otherwise miss. We believe that the vast majority of our customers have a positive experience of the support we offer to them but we cannot underestimate the impact on services users who have a less positive experience, given that our service users are often amongst the most vulnerable members of society. It is important therefore that we fully recognise and record those experiences. Where real problems are identified these should be acknowledged fully, honestly and transparently and rectified swiftly,

Resolving complaints helps maintain good relationships with our service users, their families, carers and wider support networks, particularly if resolving issues close to the point of service delivery. Complaints that we do not resolve swiftly can greatly add to our workload and are more costly to administer. I am therefore asking all Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership staff to embrace this process, to apply it to all situations where complaints are expressed to them and to ensure we use it to record our customers' experiences, to resolve problems and to learn from these complaints.

David Williams

Chief Officer Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership

April 2017

Contents

The Scope and purpose of this complaints policy and procedure.....	3
What is a complaint?	4
Who can make a complaint?	5
Handling anonymous complaints	5
What if the customer does not want to complain?	6
Supporting the customer	6
Complaints and appeals	6
Complaints involving social work services and another service or organisation	7
Complaints about services commissioned by us	8
Complaints for the Care Inspectorate.....	9
Complaints relevant to other agencies	10
The complaints handling process	11
Stage one: frontline resolution	12
What to do when you receive a complaint.....	12
Timelines	13
Extension to the timeline	14
Closing the complaint at the frontline resolution stage	15
When to escalate to the investigation stage	16
Stage two: Investigation.....	17
What to do when you receive a complaint for investigation	17
Timelines	17
Extension to the timeline	18
Alternative resolution and mediation	18
Closing the complaint at the investigation stage.....	19
Signposting to the SPSO	19
Governance of the complaints handling procedure	20
Roles and responsibilities	20
Complaints about senior staff.....	22
Recording, reporting, learning from and publicising complaints.....	23
Maintaining confidentiality	24
Managing unacceptable behaviour.....	25
Time limit for making complaints	25
Appendix 1: Frontline Resolution Complaints	26
Appendix 2: Complex Social Work Scenarios.....	28
Appendix 3: Timelines.....	33
Appendix 4: Unacceptable Actions Policy of GCC	37
Appendix 5: Contact details for complaints team and local coordinators	43
Appendix 6: The complaints Handling Procedure Flowchart	44

The scope and purpose of this Complaints Policy and Procedure

This document explains to staff how to handle complaints. Our website and a separate document specifically for customers as to the complaints procedure for Glasgow City Council (also referencing the social work procedure) provides basic information on the process for customers. Together, these form our complaints handling procedure.

It is designed to be an internal document for social work services to adopt. It contains references and links to more details on parts of the procedure, such as how to record complaints, and the criteria for signing off and agreeing time extensions. These explain how to process, manage and reach decisions on different types of complaint.

This is based upon a model procedure developed by The Scottish Public Services Ombudsman. Further information on complaints handling may be found in the 'SPSO Statement of Complaints Handling Principles' and best practice guidance on complaints handling from the Complaints Standards Authority at the SPSO: www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk

What is a complaint?

Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership's (GCHSCP) definition of a complaint is:

'An expression of dissatisfaction by one or more members of the public about the social work service's action or lack of action, or about the standard of service provided by or on behalf of the social work service.'

Any complaints about other Council services will be handled under Glasgow City Council's complaints handling procedure (CHP). Any complaints about Health Services delivered by GCHSCP will be handled under the NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Complaints Policy and Procedure (1 April 2017).

A complaint may relate to the following, but is not restricted to this list:

- failure or refusal to provide a service
- inadequate quality or standard of service
- dissatisfaction with one of our policies or its impact on the individual
- failure to properly apply law, procedure or guidance when delivering services
- failure of administrative processes
- delays in service provision
- treatment by or attitude of a member of staff
- disagreement with a decision made in relation to social work services.

[Appendix 1](#) provides a range of examples of complaints we may receive, and how these may be handled.

A complaint is not:

- a routine first-time request for a service
- a claim for compensation only
- a disagreement with decisions or conditions that are based upon social work recommendations, but determined by a court or other statutory body, for example decisions made by a children's panel, parole board or mental health tribunal
- an attempt to reopen a previously concluded complaint or to have a complaint reconsidered where we have already given our final decision.

You must not treat these issues as complaints, and should instead direct customers to use the appropriate procedures.

[Appendix 2](#) gives examples of more complex complaints, some of which are not appropriate for this CHP. The section on **Complaints relevant to other agencies** provides information about some of the other agencies that may be able to assist customers if their complaint is not appropriate for this CHP.

Who can make a complaint?

Anyone who receives, requests, or is affected by our social work services can make a complaint. This is not restricted to 'service users' and their relatives or representatives, but may also include people who come into contact with or are affected by these services, for example people who live in close proximity to a social work service provision, such as a care home or day centre. In this procedure these people are termed 'customers', regardless of whether they are or were using a service.

Sometimes a customer may be unable or reluctant to make a complaint on their own. We will accept complaints from third parties, which may include relatives, friends and advocates. The third party should normally obtain the customer's consent. This can include complaints brought by parents on behalf of their child, if the child is considered to have capacity to make decisions for themselves. However, in certain circumstances, the third party may raise a complaint without receiving consent, such as when there are concerns over someone's wellbeing. The complaint should still be investigated, but the response may be limited by considerations of confidentiality. You must ensure that you follow GCHSCP policies on gaining consent and information sharing.

Independent advocates may bring complaints on behalf of social work service users or other customers, if they are unable to raise an issue themselves, or if they are unable to identify when something is wrong. More information about using advocates to support customers is available in the section on **Supporting the customer**.

If you have concerns that a complaint has been submitted by a third party without appropriate authority from the customer, you should seek advice from a more senior member of staff or The Rights and Enquiries Team. The provision of a signed mandate from the customer will normally be sufficient for us to investigate a complaint. However, the timing of when we require this mandate may vary depending on the circumstances. If the complaint raises concerns that require immediate investigation, this should not be delayed while a mandate is sought. It will, however, be required before the provision of a full response to the third party.

Handling anonymous complaints

We value all complaints. This means we treat all complaints, including anonymous complaints, seriously and will take action to consider them further, wherever this is appropriate. Generally, we will consider anonymous complaints if there is enough information in the complaint to enable us to make further enquiries. If, however, an anonymous complaint does not provide enough information to enable us to take further action, we may decide not to pursue it further. Any decision not to pursue an anonymous complaint must be authorised by a senior manager.

If an anonymous complaint makes serious allegations, these should be dealt with in a timely manner under relevant procedures. This may not be the complaints procedure and could instead be relevant child protection, adult protection or disciplinary procedures.

If we pursue an anonymous complaint further, we will record the issues as an anonymous complaint on the complaints system. This will help to ensure the completeness of the complaints data we record and allow us to take corrective action where appropriate.

What if the customer does not want to complain?

If a customer has expressed dissatisfaction in line with our definition of a complaint but does not want to complain, tell them that we do consider all expressions of dissatisfaction, and that complaints offer us the opportunity to improve services where things have gone wrong. Encourage the customer to submit their complaint and allow us to handle it through the CHP. This will ensure that the customer is updated on the action taken and gets a response to their complaint.

If, however, the customer insists they do not wish to complain, you should record the complaint as an anonymous complaint. This will ensure that the customer's details are not recorded on the complaints database and that they receive no further contact about the matter. It will also help to ensure the completeness of the complaints data recorded and will still allow us to fully consider the matter and take corrective action where appropriate.

Supporting the customer

All members of the community have the right to equal access to our complaints procedure. It is important to recognise the barriers that some customers may face complaining. These may be physical, sensory, communication or language barriers, but can also include their anxieties and concerns. Customers may need independent support to overcome these barriers to accessing the complaints system.

Customers who do not have English as a first language, including British Sign Language users, may need help with interpretation and translation services. Other customers may need other forms of communication support, including documents written in accessible language such as easy read format. Some may need support workers or advocates to help them understand their rights, and help them to communicate their complaints.

We must always take into account our commitment and responsibilities to equality. This includes making reasonable adjustments to ensure that all customers can access our services.

The Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 gives anyone with a 'mental disorder' (including mental health issues, learning difficulties, dementia and autism) a right to access independent advocacy. This legislation says that independent advocacy must be delivered by independent organisations that only provide advocacy. They help people to know and understand their rights, make informed decisions and have a voice. The Scottish Independent Advocacy Alliance website has information about local advocacy organisations throughout Scotland.

Wherever possible we will identify what additional needs a customer may have and help them find appropriate support or refer them to their local independent advocacy organisation to help them in pursuing a complaint.

Complaints and appeals

While some social work decisions may be reviewed under alternative arrangements at a local level (for example through appeal or peer review), the SPSO has the power to consider professional social work decisions. The customer should not be required to seek a reconsideration of a decision under both appeal and complaint processes, nor should they be required to make further complaint if dissatisfied with the outcome of an appeal.

Therefore, whilst we have discretion to operate appeals procedures, these must be regarded as a special form of complaint investigation (stage 2 of this CHP). Such appeals processes must be compliant with this procedure in terms of the rigour and documentation of the process, must be concluded within 20 working days with a written response to the customer, and must be recorded as a stage 2 complaint on the relevant complaints database. If the customer raises additional issues of dissatisfaction as well as challenging a professional decision, then the process must consider and respond to every element of the customer's dissatisfaction so that no additional complaint process is required.

The final response letter must provide relevant text advising the customer of their right to refer the matter to the SPSO for independent consideration. The SPSO will then investigate matters in full, in line with their standard procedures.

Complaints involving social work services and another service or organisation

A complaint may relate to social work services provided by GCHSCP and also a health service provided by GCHSCP, or another service provided by Glasgow City Council, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde or by another organisation such as a housing association. Initially, these complaints should all be handled in the same way. They must be logged as a complaint, and the content of the complaint must be considered, to identify which services are involved, which parts of the complaint we can respond to and which parts are appropriate for another organisation. How these complaints are then handled will depend on delegation arrangements and on the other organisation involved, as follows:

Complaints relating to a social work service and another service provided by GCHSCP (either another aspect of social care provision or one spanning social care and health): Where a complaint relates to two services provided by us, or a joint service spanning health and social care, managers must work across the service to resolve the complaint. A decision must be taken as to which service will lead on the response and whether it will be primarily recorded as a social care or a health complaint. You must ensure that all parties are clear about this decision. It is important to give a joint response from the lead service, and also ensure that both parts of the service contribute to this.

Complaints relating to a social work service and another service provided by Glasgow City Council, such as Education Services: The aim with such complaints is still to provide a joint response, though this may not always be possible. Again managers should work across the services to resolve the complaint. A decision must be taken as to which service will lead on the response and whether it will be primarily recorded as a social care or a GCC complaint. You must ensure that all parties are clear about this decision. It is important to give a joint response from the lead service, and also ensure that both parts of the service contribute to this.

Complaints relating to a social work service and another service provided by another organisation, such as a housing association or acute NHS service: You should aim to provide a joint response if possible, though this may not always be possible. Contact must be made with the customer to explain that their complaint partly relates to services which are delivered by another organisation, and that to resolve their complaint, we will need to share information with this organisation.

You must check whether you need specific consent from the customer before you can share their information with the other services, and take appropriate action where necessary, bearing in mind any data protection requirements. If it is possible to give a joint response, a decision must be taken as to which service will lead the process. We must ensure that all parties are clear about this decision. The response must cover all parts of the complaint, explain the role of both services, and (for investigation stage complaints) confirm that it is the final response from both services.

If a joint response is not possible, you should explain to the person making the complaint the reasons why they will receive two separate responses, and who they can get in contact with about the other aspects of their complaint. You must also write to both the customer and the other services involved (assuming consent to share data has been given), setting out which parts of the complaint you will be able to respond to.

If you need to make enquiries to another organisation in relation to a complaint, always take account of data protection legislation and our guidance on handling our customers' personal information. The Information Commissioner has detailed guidance on data sharing and has issued a data sharing code of practice.

Complaints about services commissioned by us

As part of the service provider's contractual obligations, they must provide a robust complaints process which complies with this Complaints Handling Procedure, and this obligation must be set out in their contract. This applies to all contracted services, including care services. The expectations around complaints handling by the provider should also be explained to service users in their service agreement with the provider. At the end of the investigation stage of any such complaints the provider must ensure that the customer is signposted to the SPSO, as with any other complaint made to GCHSCP.

Contracts with commissioned services should reflect the following good practice:

It is important that a complaint is resolved as quickly and as close as possible to the time when the event being complained about occurred. The contracted service provider should be given the opportunity to respond to a complaint first, even if the customer has initially approached GCHSCP, unless there is good reason why this would not be appropriate. However, GCHSCP will have discretion to investigate complaints about providers contracted to deliver services on its behalf.

These services may also be registered as a care service with the Care Inspectorate to deliver a care or support service. If this is the case, customers have the right to complain directly to the Care Inspectorate or to make use of the provider's Complaints Handling Procedure and thereafter make a complaint to the Care Inspectorate, regardless of any investigations undertaken by GCHSCP.

Where services are commissioned on behalf of the GCHSCP, customers can make complaints under this procedure in relation to the assessment of need, the commissioning or recommendation process, and any element of the service that has been publicly funded. Complaints about any part of service that has been privately funded cannot be considered through this procedure.

Service providers who are not registered with the Care Inspectorate as a care or support service but who are contracted to deliver other services on behalf of GCHSCP must still comply with this procedure.

Complaints for the Care Inspectorate

Local authorities and any contractors that provide care services must be registered with the Care Inspectorate. This is the independent scrutiny and improvement body for care and social work across Scotland, which regulates, inspects and supports improvement of care services.

The Care Inspectorate has a procedure for receiving information, concerns and investigating complaints, from members of the public or their representatives, about the care services they use. The Care Inspectorate's complaints procedure is available even when the service provider has an alternative complaints procedure in place.

The Care Inspectorate encourages people to complain directly to the organisation they receive a service from. However, some people are not comfortable doing this and to support them, the Care Inspectorate will take complaints about care services directly.

When complaints are brought to us about registered care services, we have the right to share complaint information about the registered care provider with the Care Inspectorate, to decide who is best placed to investigate the complaint. We can also share the outcome of complaints about contracted and registered services with the Care Inspectorate.

Contact details for the Care Inspectorate can be found on their website:

www.careinspectorate.com/

Or:

telephone 0845 600 9527

fax 01382 207 289

complete an online complaints form at www.careinspectorate.com/ or

email enquiries@careinspectorate.com

Complaints about Personal Assistants

Where an individual directly employs a Personal Assistant to provide their support, using a Direct Payment (as part of a Self-directed Support package), they are not subject to registration with the Care Inspectorate under the Public Services Reform (Scotland) Act 2011, its regulations and amendments. The employer remains responsible for the management of their employee, including their performance management. The Care Inspectorate would only be able to take complaints about such support workers if they work for a registered care agency.

Complaints relevant to other agencies

Customers may raise concerns about issues which cannot be handled through this procedure, but which other agencies may be able to provide assistance with or may have an interest in. This may include:

The Mental Welfare Commission:

Email: enquiries@mwscot.org.uk

Tel: 0800 389 6809 (service users and carers only)

Website: www.mwscot.org.uk

The Children's Commissioner:

Email: inbox@cypcs.org.uk

Tel: 0800 019 1179

Website: www.cypcs.org.uk

The Scottish Social Services Council:

Email: via their website

Tel: 0345 60 30 891

Website: www.sssc.uk.com

Customers may also raise concerns that information has not been provided in line with information sharing and data protection legislation, in which case they should be signposted to The Rights and Enquiries Team in the first instance. Any correspondence they have received from GCHSCP on such issues will also specify the next steps to take if there are ongoing concerns, including signposting to the Information Commissioner:

Email: scotland@ico.org.uk

Tel: 0131 244 9001

Website: www.ico.org.uk


This list is not exhaustive, and it is important to consider the circumstances of each case, and whether another organisation may also have a role to play.

The complaints handling process

Our CHP (Complaints Handling Procedure) aims to provide a quick, simple and streamlined process for resolving complaints early and locally by capable, well-trained staff.

Our complaints process provides two opportunities to resolve complaints internally:

- **frontline resolution**, and
- **investigation**.



For clarity, the term 'frontline resolution' refers to the first stage of the complaints process. It does not reflect any job description within GCHSCP but means seeking to resolve complaints at the initial point of contact where possible.

Stage one: frontline resolution

Frontline resolution aims to quickly resolve straightforward customer complaints that require little or no investigation. Any member of staff may deal with complaints at this stage.

The main principle is to seek early resolution, resolving complaints at the earliest opportunity and as close to the point of service delivery as possible. This may mean a face-to-face discussion with the customer, or asking an appropriate member of staff to handle the complaint.

[Appendix 1](#) gives examples of the types of complaint we may consider at this stage, with suggestions on how to resolve them, as well as those that may be more appropriate to escalate immediately to the investigation stage.

In practice, frontline resolution means resolving the complaint at the first point of contact, wherever possible, or within five working days of this contact. This may be taken forward by the member of staff receiving the complaint or, where appropriate, another member of staff.

In either case, you may resolve the complaint by providing an on-the-spot apology where appropriate, or explaining why the issue occurred and, where possible, what will be done to stop this happening again. You may also explain that, as an organisation that values complaints, we may use the information given when we review service standards in the future.

A customer can make a complaint in writing, in person, by telephone, by email or online, or by having someone complain on their behalf. You must always consider if it is appropriate to attempt frontline resolution, regardless of how you have received the customer's complaint.

What to do when you receive a complaint

- 1 On receiving a complaint, you must first decide whether the issue can be defined as a complaint. The customer may express dissatisfaction about more than one issue. This may mean you treat one part as a complaint, while directing the customer to pursue another part through an alternative route (see [Appendix 2](#)).
- 2 If you have received and identified a complaint, you should pass a copy of the complaint to your local complaint coordinator or to the Rights and Enquiries Team as soon as possible so that it can be recorded in the complaint database at the earliest opportunity. If the complaint was received verbally, in person or by phone, then the details of the complaint may be forwarded by email through the internal outlook facility with as much detail as possible of the complaint itself, the date, time and circumstances of complaint, details of the service complained of and any information required to link the complainer and any other relevant persons named in the complaint to the relevant client records. The date of receipt of the complaint is always 'day 1', regardless of when the complaint is recorded.
- 3 Decide whether or not the complaint is suitable for frontline resolution. Some complaints will need more extensive investigation before you can give the customer a suitable response. You must escalate these complaints immediately to the investigation stage to the rights and enquiries team rather than local coordinator.

The Rights and Enquiries Team is currently based at: Commonwealth House, 32 Albion Street, Glasgow G1 1LH. Outlook in-box for emails: SWComplaints (Social Work) <SWComplaints@glasgow.gov.uk>. Phone numbers for the team can be found at: <http://connect.glasgow.gov.uk/article/13136/Complaints>

- 4 Where you think frontline resolution is appropriate, you must consider four key questions:
- what exactly is the customer's complaint (or complaints)?
 - what does the customer want to achieve by complaining?
 - can I achieve this, or explain why not? and
 - if I cannot resolve this, who can help with frontline resolution?

What exactly is the customer's complaint (or complaints)?

It is important to be clear about exactly what the customer is complaining about. You may need to ask the customer for more information and probe further to get a full understanding.

What does the customer want to achieve by complaining?

At the outset, clarify the outcome the customer wants. Of course, the customer may not be clear about this, and you may need to probe further to find out what they expect, and whether they can be satisfied.

Can I achieve this, or explain why not?

If you can achieve the expected outcome, for example by providing an on-the-spot apology or explain why you cannot achieve it, you should do so. If you consider an apology is appropriate, you may wish to follow the SPSO's guidance on the subject:

[SPSO guidance on apology](#)

The customer may expect more than we can provide. If so, you must tell them as soon as possible. An example would be where the customer is very dissatisfied that their child has not been assigned to the social worker they were expecting, when this worker is no longer available.

You are likely to have to convey the decision face-to-face or on the telephone. If you do so face-to-face or by telephone, you are not required to write to the customer as well, although you may choose to do so. It is important, however, to keep a full and accurate record of the decision reached and given to the customer.

If I cannot resolve this, who can help with frontline resolution?

If you cannot deal with the complaint because, for example, you are unfamiliar with the issues or area of service involved, pass the complaint to someone who can attempt to resolve it.

Timelines

Frontline resolution must be completed within **five working days**, although in practice we would often expect to resolve the complaint much sooner.

You may need to get more information to resolve the complaint at this stage. However, it is important to respond to the customer within five working days, either resolving the matter or explaining that their complaint is to be investigated.

Extension to the timeline

In exceptional circumstances, where there are clear and justifiable reasons for doing so, you may agree an extension of up to ten further working days with the customer (that is to say a total maximum of 15 working days). This must only happen when an extension will make it more likely that the complaint will be resolved at the frontline resolution stage.

When you are considering an extension, you must get authorisation from the appropriate manager, who will usually be at least a Service Manager or Principal Officer. They will decide whether you need an extension to effectively resolve the complaint. Examples of when this may be appropriate include staff or contractors being temporarily unavailable, or when awaiting responses from third parties or commissioned services. If it is clear from the outset that the complaint is so complex that it clearly cannot be resolved as a frontline complaint, it should be handled directly at the investigation stage. Where an extension is authorised, you must tell the customer about the reasons for the extension, and when they can expect a response.

All attempts to resolve the complaint at this stage must take no longer than **15 working days** from the date you receive the complaint. The proportion of complaints that exceed the five working day timeline will be evident from reported statistics, and should be kept to a minimum. These statistics must go to our senior management team on a quarterly basis.

It is both good practice and a matter of some importance to resolve complaints quickly in the interests of both customer and service. Our performance in this regard will be a key performance indicator. However it is not the sole consideration in the management of complaints and does not take precedence over the principle that, whenever possible, complaints should be resolved to the customer's satisfaction close to the point of service delivery by the staff and managers responsible for delivering services to them. This will help maintain trust in services and a good working relationship between staff, service users, carers and others.

Examples of when it would be appropriate to seek an extension are as follows:

- You believe that you can resolve the issue at a local level but not within 5 working days only because some key information is not available to you within that timeframe. This may be, for example, because a member of staff who dealt is on leave and not returning until the next week or information requires to be gathered from a partner agency or service provider who cannot reasonably be expected to provide the information in 5 working days due to similar considerations.
- The complainer is not themselves available within the timeframe to discuss and resolve the matter in person or gather further information from because they are on holiday or can only be contacted in particular circumstances. This can be a particular difficulty with service users with no fixed abode or chaotic lifestyles.
- There is a planned meeting beyond 5 working days but within 15 working days which you believe may resolve the issue and allow you to discuss and resolve the complaint with the complainer immediately thereafter or write to them advising the outcome or resolution. This may be a children's hearing, care planning meeting, or child or adult protection case conference for example.

- There may be temporary restrictions on the availability of staff to address the complaint and/or meet with the complainer perhaps due to emergency situations where staff are dealing only with critical issues. This can happen over the Christmas and New Year period or where there is some unforeseen crisis affecting staff availability such as a flu epidemic or critical incident when staff across Glasgow or in a particular team are impacted.

These are all examples of situations where local staff may resolve the issue but are unable to do so within 5 working days and where the reason is not that the complaint raised actually requires a more thorough and formal investigation than can be achieved in 5 working days. If that were the reason then the complaint should be escalated. In all of the examples above, escalation would bring no additional benefit as the central team would most likely be impacted by the same factors.

[Appendix 3](#) provides further information on timelines.

Closing the complaint at the frontline resolution stage

When you have informed the customer of the outcome, you are not obliged to write to the customer, although you may choose to do so. You must ensure that our response to the complaint addresses all areas that we are responsible for, explains the reasons for our decision and explains what the customer should do if they remain dissatisfied. It is also important to keep a full and accurate record of the decision reached and given to the customer. The complaint should then be closed and the local coordinator or rights and enquires team advised in order to ensure that the complaints system is updated accordingly.

Written confirmation is likely to be required when:

- The customer specifically requests it.
- The customer has some learning difficulty or difficulty in retaining information.
- The customer has expressed an intention to consider the matter further and possibly make further complaint or take the matter further after that consideration.
- The customer is a frequent complainer and has complained before that their complaints are not dealt with appropriately.
- It is a relatively complex or serious matter in respect of which some decision has been taken and communicated as part of the complaints response.

Conversely written confirmation may not be required if:

- The customer has stated that they are satisfied with the response and/or the actions taken and/or apology given.
- The customer has specifically stated that they require no written response.
- The customer has not complained previously and generally has a good working relationship with staff.
- The matter was relatively minor or straightforward and you believe that an explanation, apology or action has resolved the issue.

When to escalate to the investigation stage

A complaint **must** be escalated to the investigation stage when:

- frontline resolution was tried but the customer remains dissatisfied and requests an investigation into the complaint. This may be immediately on communicating the decision at the frontline stage or could be some time later
- the customer refuses to take part in the frontline resolution process
- the issues raised are complex and require detailed investigation, or
- the complaint relates to serious, high-risk or high-profile issues.

When a previously closed complaint is escalated from the frontline resolution stage, the complaint will be opened on the complaints system as a new stage 2 complaint. If the customer requests an investigation whilst the team are still investigating the complaint as a frontline resolution process then any complaint record created in respect of that will be closed down and a new stage 2 complaint opened. There is no facility on the complaints system operated by GCHSCP to close and re-open multiple reiterations or stages of the same complaint within the same record.

Take particular care at the outset to identify complaints that might be considered serious, high risk or high profile, as these may require particular action or raise critical issues that need senior management's direct input. The SPSO defines potential high-risk or high-profile complaints as those that may:

- involve a death or terminal illness
- involve serious service failure, for example major delays or repeated failures to provide a service
- generate significant and ongoing press interest
- pose a serious risk to our operations
- present issues of a highly sensitive nature, for example concerning:
 - immediate homelessness
 - a particularly vulnerable person
 - child protection
 - adult protection.

Other complaints likely to require escalation to stage 2 without a preceding attempt to resolve at the front line are those which:

- Involve complex financial matters such as deprivation of assets or disputes over the funding of service packages.
- Raise multiple issues or issues covering a series of events over a considerable period of time.
- Raise issues concerning the conduct of staff which, if true, would be likely to lead to suspension of the complaints process and application of disciplinary processes.
- Raise issues relating to alleged major breaches of Data Protection legislation, The Human Rights Act and/or of discrimination.

If guidance is required on whether a complaint requires to be escalated for formal investigation, this can be obtained by contacting the Rights and Enquiries Team.

Stage two: investigation

Not all complaints are suitable for frontline resolution and not all complaints will be satisfactorily resolved at that stage. Complaints handled at the investigation stage of the complaints handling procedure are typically complex or require a detailed examination before we can state our position. These complaints may already have been considered at the frontline resolution stage, or they may have been identified from the start as needing immediate investigation.

An investigation aims to establish all the facts relevant to the points made in the complaint and to give the customer a full, objective and proportionate response that represents our final position.

What to do when you receive a complaint for investigation

It is important to be clear from the start of the investigation stage exactly what you are investigating, and to ensure that both the customer and the service understand the investigation's scope.

It is often necessary to discuss and confirm these points with the customer at the outset, to establish why they are dissatisfied and whether the outcome they are looking for sounds realistic. In discussing the complaint with the customer, consider three key questions:

1. What specifically is the customer's complaint or complaints?
2. What does the customer want to achieve by complaining?
3. Are the customer's expectations realistic and achievable?

It may be that the customer expects more than we can provide. If so, you must make this clear to the customer as soon as possible.

Where possible you should also clarify what additional information you will need to investigate the complaint. The customer may need to provide more information to help us reach a decision.

You should find out the person's preferred method of communication, and communicate by this means where reasonably practicable, bearing in mind any relevant confidentiality and data protection restrictions on use of email as per the Information Security Policy of Glasgow City Council.

Details of the complaint must be recorded on the complaints system. The details must be updated when the investigation ends.

If the investigation stage follows attempted frontline resolution, you must ensure the officer responsible for the investigation has full access to all case notes and associated information, and record that you have done so.

Timelines

The following deadlines are appropriate to cases at the investigation stage:

- complaints must be acknowledged within **three working days**
- you should provide a full response to the complaint as soon as possible but not later than **20 working days** from the time you received the complaint for investigation.

Extension to the timeline

It is important that every effort is made to meet the timeline, as failure to do so may have a detrimental effect on the customer. Not all investigations will be able to meet this deadline. For example, some complaints are so complex that they require careful consideration and detailed investigation beyond the 20 working day timeline. However, these would be the exception and you must always try to deliver a final response to a complaint within 20 working days.

If there are clear and justifiable reasons for extending the timeline, senior management will agree an extension and set time limits on any extended investigation. You must keep the customer updated on the reason for the delay and give them a revised timescale for completion. The reasons for an extension might include the following:

- essential accounts or statements, crucial to establishing the circumstances of the case, are needed from staff, customers or others but the person you must contact cannot help because of long-term sickness or leave
- you cannot obtain further essential information within normal timescales, or
- the customer has agreed to mediation as a potential route for resolution.

These are only a few examples, and you must judge the matter in relation to each complaint. However, an extension would be the exception and you must always try to deliver a final response to the complaint within 20 working days.

If a joint response is being prepared to a complaint that covers more than one service, the lead service must inform the customer of the reasons for any delay and when they can expect a response, even if the delay relates to input from the other service.

As with complaints considered at the frontline stage, the proportion of complaints that exceed the 20 working day timeline will be evident from reported statistics, which are provided to senior management on a quarterly basis.

[Appendix 3](#) provides further information on timelines.

Alternative resolution and mediation

Some complex complaints, or complaints where customers and other interested parties have become entrenched in their position, may require a different approach to resolving the matter. Where appropriate, you may consider using services such as mediation or conciliation, using suitably trained and qualified mediators to try to resolve the matter.

Mediation will help both parties to understand what has caused the complaint, and so is more likely to lead to mutually satisfactory solutions.

If you and the customer agree to mediation, an extension to the timeline will need to be agreed.

Closing the complaint at the investigation stage

You must let the customer know the outcome of the investigation, in writing or by their preferred method of contact. Our response to the complaint must address all areas that we are responsible for and explain the reasons for our decision, taking an appropriate approach to any confidential information. You must record the decision, and details of how it was communicated to the customer, on the complaints system. You must also make clear to the customer:

- their right to ask the SPSO to consider the complaint
- the time limit for doing so, and
- how to contact the SPSO.

Signposting to the SPSO

Once the investigation stage has been completed, the customer has the right to approach the SPSO if they remain dissatisfied.

The SPSO considers complaints from people who remain dissatisfied at the conclusion of our complaints procedure. The SPSO looks at issues such as service failure and maladministration (administrative fault), and the way we have handled the complaint. In relation to social work decisions, they can also look at professional judgement.

The SPSO recommends that you use the wording below to inform customers of their right to ask SPSO to consider the complaint.

Information about the SPSO

The Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) is the final stage for complaints about public services in Scotland. This includes complaints about local councils and the NHS in Scotland. If you remain dissatisfied when you have had a final response from [*the organisation*], you can ask the SPSO to look at your complaint. The SPSO cannot normally look at complaints:

- where you have not gone all the way through the council's complaints handling procedure
- more than 12 months after you became aware of the matter you want to complain about, or
- that have been or are being considered in court.

The SPSO's contact details are:

SPSO
4 Melville Street
Edinburgh
EH3 7NS

Their freepost address is:
FREEPOST SPSO

Freephone: 0800 377 7330
Online contact www.spsso.org.uk/contact-us
Website: www.spsso.org.uk

Governance of the complaints handling procedure

Roles and responsibilities

Overall responsibility and accountability for the management of complaints lies with The Chief Officer, Chief Social Work Officer and Senior Management of GCHSCP.

Our final position on the complaint must be signed off by an appropriate senior officer and we will confirm that this is our final response. This ensures that our senior management own and are accountable for the decision. It also reassures the customer that their concerns have been taken seriously. Particular roles within the organisation are as follows:

The Chief Officer: The Chief Officer provides leadership and direction in ways that guide and enable us to perform effectively across all services. This includes ensuring that there is an effective complaints handling procedure, with a robust investigation process that demonstrates how we learn from the complaints we receive. The Chief Officer may take a personal interest in all or some complaints, or may delegate responsibility for the handling of complaints to senior staff. Regular management reports assure the Chief Officer of the quality of complaints performance. The Chief Officer ensures that relevant reports of social work complaints activity, outcomes and actions are reported as required to The Integration Joint Board and relevant committee of Glasgow City Council.

Chief Social Work Officer (CSWO): The CSWO has an important role in the consideration of complaints information and, on occasion, the content of individual complaints. Their role in overseeing the effective governance of social work services and monitoring these arrangements includes complaints about social work services, particularly in relation to professional practice and governance issues identified through complaints. The CSWO should also take appropriate account of complaints information in fulfilling their obligations to promote continuous improvement and best practice. Furthermore, the CSWO or their delegated officers may have specific interest in complaints relating to individuals for whom they have decision-making responsibilities.

Leadership / Senior Management / Heads of Service: On the Chief Officer's behalf, senior managers and heads of service are responsible for:

- Having a relevant involvement in the investigation and determination of outcomes and actions arising from individual complaints where required, particularly those escalated to stage 2 investigation.
- Considering reports on complaints activity, outcomes and actions and ensuring that actions and service improvements are implemented as required within the service areas that they manage.
- Authorising relevant responses at the end of a stage 2 investigation in agreement with the Rights and Enquiries Team and/or delegating authority to agree complaints responses to relevant Service Managers and Principal Officers.
- Authorising extensions to stage 2 complaint investigations as requested by the Rights and Enquiries Team.
- Ensuring that local complaints coordinators within their service capture all relevant frontline resolution complaints for recording in the central complaints database and ensure escalation of stage 2 investigations to the Rights and Enquires Team in a timely manner.

Service Managers and Principal Officers: On Senior managers' behalf, Service Managers and Principal Officers are responsible for:

- Having a relevant involvement in the investigation of and determination of actions arising from individual complaints where required.
- Conducting frontline investigation of stage 1 complaints and/or meeting with complainers to resolve their complaints and/or providing written responses to frontline stage 1 complaints.
- Delegating responsibility for resolution of stage 1 complaints to senior officers, team leaders and other staff under their management and supervision as they deem appropriate.
- Agreeing extensions to the 5 working day limit for frontline resolution in exceptional circumstances and otherwise ensuring that this target is met by staff whom they manage.
- Agreeing the escalation of complaints for Stage 2 investigation in circumstances as set out above.
- Authorising relevant responses at the end of a stage 2 investigation with the delegated authority of a Head Of Service / Senior Manager in agreement with the Rights and Enquiries Team.

Head of Business Development: The Head of Business Development has oversight of the operation of the Rights and Enquiries Team, their conduct of stage 2 investigations and overall management of the complaints process. The Head of Business Development will ensure that the team's activity is well managed, that relevant reports are produced in a timely manner for the Chief Officer in respect of complaints activity and will investigate any complaints, external or internal, about that team's investigation and management of complaints.

Principal Officer Rights and Enquiries: As lead officer for the Rights and Enquiries Team, the Principal Officer will:

- Manage the work of the officers with the Rights and Enquiries Team to ensure the efficient capture of complaints information, timely and thorough investigations of social care complaints at stage 2 and production of reports to Senior Management, the Chief Officer and CSWO, including the identification of service improvements and governance issues identified by complaints.
- Have a relevant involvement in the investigation of and determination of actions arising from individual complaints where required, including directly investigating and responding to stage 2 complaints as appropriate.
- Agree the extension of stage 2 investigations in exceptional circumstances.
- Act as liaison officer to the SPSO providing complaints information in an orderly, structured way within requested timescales, providing comments on factual accuracy on behalf of GCHSCP in response to SPSO reports, and confirming and verifying that recommendations have been implemented.
- Provide training, advice and support to the Rights and Enquiries Team, local complaints coordinators and all Managers and staff as to the appropriate handling of complaints.
- Ensure that complaints procedures and information for staff and the public is kept up to date and write new procedures and guidance as required.

Officers within the Rights and Enquiries Team: The officers will have the lead specialist complaints investigation and management role within GCHSCP and in particular will:

- Investigate and respond to stage 2 complaints and agree actions and improvement with Heads of Service, Service Managers and Principal Officers.
- Manage the complaints database and produce statistical information and reports under the direction of the Principal Officer Rights and Enquiries
- Provide training, advice and support to local complaints coordinators and all Managers and staff on issues of complaints management.
- In particular, liaise with staff as to the appropriate handling of complaints at stage 1 and the suitability or otherwise of escalation to stage 2.
- Liaise with SPSO on cases referred to SPSO that they have investigated at stage 2 and on other cases as directed by the Principal Officer.

Local complaints coordinators: It is essential that each of the three geographic sectors of GCHSCP have local coordinators to whom stage 1 complaints can be referred by frontline staff for appropriate recording. These staff will be responsible for:

- logging complaints in the central database and closing them down when resolved.
- ensuring staff deal with complaints within the 5 working days or else seek relevant extension.
- identifying with service managers/ heads of service complaints requiring immediate escalation to stage 2 investigation and quickly moving them on to the Rights and Enquiries Team.

Central business units who receive significant numbers of complaints, such as the Homelessness, Families for Children (Fostering and Adoption), Children's Services (Residential care) and Older People (Residential and Day Care) teams should have such a nominated coordinator. Contact details of those individuals are given for ease of reference for staff as Appendix 6 and will be updated when required.

All GCHSCP staff: A complaint may be made to any member of staff in the organisation. All staff must therefore be aware of the complaints procedures and how to handle and ensure recording of complaints at the frontline stage. They should also be aware of who to refer a complaint to, in case they are not able to handle the matter. We encourage all staff to try to resolve complaints early, as close to the point of service delivery as possible, to prevent escalation, and to make a record of that complaint and forward it to the relevant person for recording within the central database of complaints.

Complaints about senior staff

Complaints about senior staff can be difficult to handle, as there may be a conflict of interest for the staff investigating the complaint. When serious complaints are raised against senior staff, it is particularly important that the investigation is conducted by an individual who is independent of the situation. We must ensure we have strong governance arrangements in place that set out clear procedures for handling such complaints.

Any such complaint should be referred to The Principal Officer Rights and Enquiries who will liaise as appropriate with the Chief Officer, CSWO or relevant senior manager to determine the manner of investigation and investigating officer. If it is the Principal Officer Rights or Enquiries who is the subject of complaint then the matter will be referred to the Head of Business Development.

Recording, reporting, learning from and publicising complaints

Complaints provide valuable customer feedback. One of the aims of the CHP is to identify opportunities to improve services across GCHSCP. We must record all complaints in a systematic way so that we can use the complaints data for analysis and management reporting. By recording and using complaints information in this way, we can identify and address the causes of complaints and, where appropriate, identify training opportunities and introduce service improvements.

Recording complaints

To collect suitable data it is essential to record all complaints in line with SPSO minimum requirements, as follows:

- the customer's name and address
- the date the complaint was received
- the nature of the complaint
- how the complaint was received
- the service the complaint refers to
- the date the complaint was closed at the frontline resolution stage (where appropriate)
- the date the complaint was escalated to the investigation stage (where appropriate)
- action taken at the investigation stage (where appropriate)
- the date the complaint was closed at the investigation stage (where appropriate)
- the outcome of the complaint at each stage, and
- the underlying cause of the complaint and any remedial action taken.

We have structured systems for recording complaints, their outcomes and any resulting action. These provide a detailed record of services that have failed to satisfy customer has. The system we use is the 'C4' system. This is an in-house developed system which is intranet-based and requires no user licence. The system is not overly sophisticated and can be readily rolled out to additional staff at no additional cost by provision of an intranet link. To preserve data integrity and prevent over-burdening the system however, use within GCHSCP will be restricted to the Rights and Enquiries Team and local coordinators, who will be individually trained by Rights and enquiries officers in use of the system.

Reporting of complaints

Details of complaints are analysed for trend information to ensure we identify service failures and take appropriate action. Regularly reporting the analysis of complaints information helps to inform management of where services need to improve.

Having previously reported on a twice-yearly basis, we will under the terms of this new procedure publish on a quarterly basis the outcome of complaints and the actions we have taken in response. This demonstrates the improvements resulting from complaints and shows that complaints can influence our services. It also helps ensure transparency in our complaints handling service and will help to show our customers that we value their complaints.

We must:

- publicise on a quarterly basis complaints outcomes, trends and actions taken
- use case studies and examples to demonstrate how complaints have helped improve services.

This information should be reported regularly (and at least quarterly) to our senior management team. The Rights and Enquiries Team will be responsible for producing these Quarterly reports. Given the need to report on the outcomes of completed complaints and that a complaint may take maximum of 35 working days to complete both stages of the process, the reporting will lag by several months. The first report under the new procedure will be produced in August 2017 and presented to Senior Management in September 2017 for the period April – June 2017 and will thereafter be produced at 3-monthly intervals.

Learning from complaints

At the earliest opportunity after the closure of the complaint, the complaint handler should always make sure that the customer and staff of the department involved understand the findings of the investigation and any recommendations made.

Senior management will review the information gathered from complaints regularly and consider whether our services could be improved or internal policies and procedures updated.

As a minimum, we must:

- use complaints data to identify the root cause of complaints
- take action to reduce the risk of recurrence where possible
- record the details of corrective action in the complaints file, and
- systematically review complaints performance reports to improve service delivery.

Where we have identified the need for service improvement:

- the action needed to improve services must be authorised
- an officer (or team) should be designated the 'owner' of the issue, with responsibility for ensuring the action is taken
- a target date must be set for the action to be completed
- the designated individual must follow up to ensure that the action is taken within the agreed timescale
- where appropriate, performance in the service area should be monitored to ensure that the issue has been resolved
- we must ensure that GCHSCP staff learn from complaints.

Publicising complaints performance information

We also report on our performance in handling complaints annually in line with SPSO requirements. This includes performance statistics showing the volumes and types of complaint and key performance details, for example on the time taken and the stage at which complaints were resolved.

Maintaining confidentiality

Confidentiality is important in complaints handling. This includes maintaining the customer's confidentiality and explaining to them the importance of confidentiality generally. We must always bear in mind legal requirements, for example data protection legislation, as well as internal policies on confidentiality and the use of customer information.

Managing unacceptable behaviour

People may act out of character in times of trouble or distress. The circumstances leading to a complaint may result in the customer acting in an unacceptable way. Customers who have a history of challenging or inappropriate behaviour, or have difficulty expressing themselves, may still have a legitimate grievance.

A customer's reasons for complaining may contribute to the way in which they present their complaint. Regardless of this, we must treat all complaints seriously and properly assess them. However, we also recognise that the actions of customers who are angry, demanding or persistent may result in unreasonable demands on time and resources or unacceptable behaviour towards our staff. We will, therefore, apply our policies and procedures to protect staff from unacceptable behaviour such as unreasonable persistence, threats or offensive behaviour from customers. Where we decide to restrict access to a customer under the terms of an unacceptable actions policy, we have a procedure in place to communicate that decision, notify the customer of their right of appeal, and review any decision to restrict contact with us. This will allow the customer to demonstrate a more reasonable approach later.

GCHSCP applies the Unacceptable Actions Policy of Glasgow City Council in relation to the management of social work services customers / complainers whose actions fall within the definition of unacceptable actions as set out in that policy. A full copy of this policy is given at Appendix 5.

Time limit for making complaints

This procedure sets a time limit of six months from when the customer first knew of the problem, within which time they may ask us to consider the complaint, unless there are special circumstances for considering complaints beyond this time.

We will apply this time limit with discretion. In making decisions we will take account of the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman Act 2002 (Section 10(1)), which sets out the time limit within which a member of the public can normally ask the SPSO to consider complaints. The limit is one year from when the person first knew of the problem they are complaining about, unless there are special circumstances for considering complaints beyond this time.

If it is clear that a decision not to investigate a customer's complaint will lead to a request for external consideration of the matter, we may decide that this satisfies the special circumstances criteria. This would enable us to consider the complaint and try to resolve it, without the complaint going straight to the SPSO.

Appendix 1 – Frontline resolution complaints

The following tables give examples of complaints that may be considered at the frontline stage, and suggest possible actions to achieve resolution. These were provided by SPSO as part of the model procedure.

Complaint	Possible actions to achieve resolution
A service user complains that a social worker did not turn up for a planned visit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apologise to the service user • explain that you will look into the matter • contact the social worker/manager to find out the reason for the missed appointment, then • explain the reasons and offer a new appointment.
A member of the public complains that a home carer parked in a private resident's car parking place.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take the customer's details and explain that you will look into the matter • contact the home care service to find out if this is the case • if so, request that this does not happen again, and • contact the customer, apologise and advise that the worker has been asked to find alternative parking.
A member of public complains that his neighbours (residents of a children's house) have been playing football in the street where they live and are being abusive to passers-by.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain to the customer that you will look into the matter and call them back • contact the manager of the children's house to verify the facts • request that the manager meet with the neighbour to apologise and engender good relations, then • call back the customer to update them.
A complaint about a service provider commissioned by social work services.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss with the customer the different ways for this complaint to be handled, ie by a complaint to the Care Inspectorate or through the provider's own CHP, and • ensure, whatever process is agreed, that the customer is clear how they can progress their complaint to the next stage, should they remain dissatisfied. This may be within the provider's CHP, to GCHSCP, or to the Care Inspectorate. The customer should be advised that they can come back to GCHSCP for further advice if they need to at any stage.

<p>A service user complains that their care needs assessment does not accurately reflect their needs, or that the care package proposed would not meet the needs identified in their assessment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify with the customer whether the complaint relates to an assessment of needs or a proposed care package. Establish specifically what the customer is complaining about and what has happened so far. Ask them what they are seeking from their complaint, and explain that you will look into the matter • make internal enquiries to establish what stage the assessment and care planning processes are at • while considering the complaint, if the team indicate that a new assessment or care planning meeting may be offered, pass this offer onto the customer, and ask the team to contact the customer to take this forward, and • if the team are not prepared to look at the matter again, explain why the assessment or care package decision is considered to be adequate, and signpost to the next stage of the CHP.
<p>A customer complains about social work services impacting on their discharge from hospital.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check with the hospital social work team about the customer's care planning in relation to discharge from hospital, and the timing of medical decisions and social work input • it may become apparent at that stage that the discharge process was complicated by a range of issues, in which case it may be appropriate to escalate the complaint to investigation • it may also become apparent that the customer is still in hospital, and may or may not be considered ready for discharge. If they are ready, then pass the complaint onto the team directly involved to respond to as quickly as possible • if the situation is not current, and there were delays from social work services, find out why these happened, and • respond to the customer by their preferred method, to inform them of the outcome of their complaint. Offer an apology if appropriate, and outline what steps have been put in place to prevent a recurrence of the situation.

Appendix 2 - Complex social work scenarios

A concern may not necessarily be a complaint. In some cases a measure of discretion or further clarification is required in determining whether something is a complaint that should be handled through this procedure or another matter which should be handled through another process. There are also some specific circumstances when complaints should be handled in a particular manner. Issues that commonly arise include:

1. Child or adult protection concerns

Customers may express concerns that a child or adult is at risk, but frame their concern in terms of dissatisfaction that 'nothing has been done about this'. The member of staff will need to consider whether the person is authorised to make complaints on behalf of the child or adult in question, whether they expect the matter to be handled as a complaint and whether the professional view is that these matters are best addressed through initiating the applicable protection procedures. Where the need to initiate protection procedures and investigate concerns within those procedures is identified, this will usually represent GCHSCP's final response to the complaint, and the complaint should be closed. The person making the complaint should be advised that this is the outcome of the complaint and signposted to the SPSO.

Where a complaint is received about some aspect of protection processes that have already been initiated, for example in relation to the way the processes was applied, this should be considered a complaint, and progressed within the complaints handling procedure.

2. Complaints about professional decisions

A customer may wish to complain about or appeal against a social work decision. Such decisions must be considered in line with the timescales for complaints as specified in the CHP.

Some decisions may be considered through an internal appeal procedure. However, any such appeal route must be considered as constituting a special form of stage 2 of this procedure, in that it will result in a thorough response **to all concerns** and onward referral to the SPSO.

3. Legal action

Legal action takes several forms and each must be handled in a distinctive way:

- (a) **Judicial Review:** If a person wishes to seek judicial review of a social work decision then they should be encouraged to seek legal advice but this will not necessarily prevent the complaint being first considered under this procedure.
- (b) **Litigation:** Where a customer says that they are seeking compensation and that legal action is being actively pursued, this is not a complaint. Where a customer indicates that they intend to litigate but have not yet commenced legal action, they should be informed that if they take such action, they should notify the complaints team and that the complaints process will be closed. If it becomes apparent that legal action is being pursued, the complaints team must clarify with the customer if all the issues they have raised will be considered through legal action; any outstanding issues must still be addressed through the CHP.

- (c) Legal tribunals, etc: Sometimes the matter complained of may be the subject of ongoing consideration by a relevant legal body, for example where a customer complains of lack of contact with their child who is being looked after by GCC, when that matter falls to be determined by the Children's Panel. In such cases the customer should be directed to raise the matter either directly or through their legal representatives within that other defined process and the matter should not be accepted as a complaint.

This is distinct from a complaint that GCHSCP and its staff have failed to properly carry out their roles and responsibilities. In the example above, a Children's Panel may have set contact frequency but it is not being properly facilitated by social work staff due to staffing shortages or some other factor. That is a matter of legitimate complaint under this procedure.

4. Complaints about the content of reports submitted to legal bodies

GCHSCP may receive complaints about the accuracy of reports by professional social work staff submitted to Courts or other bodies such as Children's Panels, Parole Boards or Mental Health Tribunals. In such circumstances, the report is provided as a service to the court or tribunal, not as a service to the customer. The customer has no right to veto such reports or insist that content is subject to their approval but they can complain about the content of the report.

GCHSCP should consider each complaint and it will usually be necessary to undertake a short screening process to establish whether the issue is appropriate for the CHP. This will depend on the nature and seriousness of alleged inaccuracy, and the status of the report in relation to the progress of court or other proceedings. In particular GCHSCP should consider whether the complaint relates to accuracy of facts, to opinion or to the standard and quality of the work carried out by the professional concerned, and should take one of three actions accordingly:

1. advise the customer that, due to the timescales involved, the issue should be raised when the report is presented in court/to the relevant body, as that is the appropriate forum for deciding on the matter
2. advise the customer that the complaint raises issues that will be considered under the CHP (such as issues of fact), and progress accordingly, or
3. advise the customer that the complaint raises a mixture of issues that will be considered under the CHP and other issues that should be raised within the relevant forum when the report is submitted.

If you refuse to consider some or all issues as per 1 or 3 above and direct the customer to raise the matter within the legal process, you must still provide clear information about the reason for this decision, and signpost the customer to the SPSO for access to a review of this decision.

GCHSCP should also consider whether the complaint relates to a breach of data protection legislation, in which case it must be processed accordingly, with a potential referral to the Information Commissioner.

5. Campaigns

The introduction of a new policy or changes in service, such as the closure of a facility, may lead to a high volume of complaints being received. These should be handled under this procedure on an individual basis on their merits, addressing the issue of how that particular customer is affected by the change. It may be appropriate to provide information about the process that led to the changes, or when the policy may next be reviewed.

Occasionally, however, such complaints are evidently part of an organised campaign. Indicators may be that all complaints have identical content or are on a 'form' letter or that all complainers are known to be members of a pressure group that has made separate representations through GCHSCP's petitions process or elected members.

GCHSCP should not accept an unreasonable burden on its complaints processes produced by an organised campaign. Instead, GCHSCP may either issue a single 'form' response or may ask the organisers to nominate a single person to make a single complaint on behalf of the group. In such circumstances it would be important to be clear that all the complaints being brought to GCHSCP are identical, and setting out clearly what issues are being considered under the complaint. Any other additional concerns that individuals may have would need to be handled as new complaints.

6. Persons under investigation

GCHSCP is likely to have a role in investigating the actions of individuals towards other, more vulnerable people, for example those suspected of child or adult abuse or Guardians and Powers of Attorney who are allegedly misusing their powers.

Those individuals are still customers as defined within this procedure and any complaint from them must be considered on its individual merits. For example, a complaint about an improper exercise of investigative procedures should be looked into as a complaint. Any response should take into account any confidentiality issues, and this should be explained to the customer.

However, if it is evident that the person is not complaining about the process or the actions of staff, but is complaining that they are under investigation, this should not be accepted as a complaint. Instead it should be explained to the customer that GCHSCP has a statutory obligation to investigate such matters, and this is not conditional upon their agreement or approval. Their objection to the process is not considered to be a complaint, though they may be directed to seek appropriate legal advice to protect their rights.

7. Looked after and accommodated children/adults under local authority guardianship

GCHSCP has a special duty of care to children in its care or adults for whom it exercises decision-making powers. Special care should be taken when investigating complaints made by or on behalf of those individuals.

Artificial barriers of confidentiality should not be imposed to prevent people with a relevant interest in the affairs of an incapacitated adult from complaining on their behalf.

Children who are looked after by the Local Authority may complain. They may have little in the way of a support network and may be estranged from their family. It may also be inappropriate for the family to represent the child's interests. Particular care, therefore, should be taken to ensure that the child's complaint is understood and, particularly for younger children, that the response is understood by them.

In both cases, the need for personal contact with the customer, and the possible involvement of advocacy services, should be actively considered.

8. Grievances/Staff complaints

This procedure is for external customers of GCHSCP to complain about services received by them or affecting them or to complain on behalf of others. It is not an appropriate procedure for the handling of complaints by staff, which should be routed through the usual HR/Personnel processes.

9. Allegations of fraud/criminality/professional malpractice or incompetence

Discretion is required where the complaint is so serious as to immediately merit investigation under disciplinary processes or referral to another agency.

If it is determined that the complaint falls into this category, you should always try to respond to the complaint within the CHP timescales. Even where the outcome of the complaint leads to further internal procedures being followed, the customer can still be advised of this as an outcome, and the complaint closed, with signposting to the SPSO.

However, in some cases, particularly where the police are involved, you may have to await the outcome of another process before you can decide on the outcome of the complaint. Where such a decision is made you must inform the customer and advise them of their right to come to the SPSO if they are dissatisfied with this approach.

10. Complaints brought by foster carers

Complaints brought by foster carers can relate to the support services they receive from GCHSCP, the way our staff engage with them, or services a child in their care is or was receiving or has requested from us.

Any complaint brought by a foster carer on behalf of a foster child in the care, or formerly in their care, should be considered under this CHP. A foster carer has sufficient interest in the wellbeing of a child to complain on their behalf. Where possible, the views of the child should also be taken into account and if they are different from the views of the foster carer, this should be referred to in the response.

Foster carers who are recruited and supported by us may bring complaints about these services. However, approval and de-registration of the carer by GCHSCP may be considered through alternative appeal mechanisms. As noted under the section 'Complaints and appeals', these appeals must be handled in line with the CHP timescales and end with signposting to the SPSO.

Complaints from foster carers supported by private agencies will not be addressed within this CHP if the complaint is wholly about their own circumstances and support rather than those of the child. Such complaints should be directed to the complaints process of the relevant agency.

An agency foster carer may still complain about the way our staff have interacted with them or about any element of service that they might reasonably expect to be provided by GCHSCP, for example invitations to meetings, provision of information about the child in their care or the manner and content of communications with GCHSCP. This list is not exhaustive and such complaints should be carefully considered in terms of the role of GCHSCP's staff, before directing them to pursue their complaint with their fostering agency.

Where a complaint cannot be considered in part or in whole by GCHSCP, the customer must be given a clear explanation as to why this is, what (if any) parts of their complaint will be investigated and how they may refer the matter to the SPSO.


Appendix 3 - Timelines

General

References to timelines throughout the CHP relate to working days. When measuring performance against the required timelines, we do not count non-working days, for example weekends, public holidays and days of industrial action where our service has been interrupted.

Timelines at frontline resolution


You must aim to achieve frontline resolution within five working days. The day you receive the complaint is day 1. Where you receive it on a non-working day, for example at the weekend or on a public holiday, day 1 will be the next working day.



Mail or email received after the end of the working day (4.45 p.m in most offices) will be recorded as complaints commencing on the next working day.

Extension to the five-day timeline

If you have extended the timeline at the frontline resolution stage in line with the CHP, the revised timetable for the response must take no longer than 15 working days from the date of receiving the complaint.



Transferring cases from frontline resolution to investigation

If it is clear that frontline resolution has not resolved the matter, and the customer wants to escalate the complaint to the investigation stage, the case must be passed for investigation without delay. In practice this will mean on the same day that the customer is told this will happen.

Timelines at investigation

You may consider a complaint at the investigation stage either:

- after attempted frontline resolution, or
- immediately on receipt if you believe the matter to be sufficiently complex, serious or meriting a full investigation from the outset.

Acknowledgement


All complaints considered at the investigation stage must be acknowledged within **three working days** of receipt. The date of receipt is:

- the day the case is transferred from the frontline stage to the investigation stage, where it is clear that the case requires investigation,
- the day the customer asks for an investigation after a decision at the frontline resolution stage. You should note that a customer may not ask for an investigation immediately after attempts at frontline resolution, or
- the date you receive the complaint, if you think it sufficiently complex, serious or meriting a full investigation from the outset.


Investigation

You should respond in full to the complaint within **20 working days** of receiving it at the investigation stage.

The 20 working day limit allows time for a thorough, proportionate and consistent investigation to arrive at a decision that is objective, evidence-based and fair. This means you have 20 working days to investigate the complaint, regardless of any time taken to consider it at the frontline resolution stage.




Exceptionally you may need longer than the 20-day limit for a full response. If so, you must explain the reasons to the customer, and agree with them a revised timescale.



Timeline examples

The following illustration provides examples of the point at which we conclude our consideration of a complaint. It is intended to show the different stages and times at which a complaint may be resolved.



The circumstances of each complaint are explained below:

Complaint 1

Complaint 1 is a straightforward issue that may be resolved by an on-the-spot explanation and, where appropriate, an apology. Such a complaint can be resolved on day 1.

Complaint 2

Complaint 2 is also a straightforward matter requiring little or no investigation. In this example, resolution is reached at day three of the frontline resolution stage.

Complaint 3

Complaint 3 refers to a complaint that we considered appropriate for frontline resolution. We did not resolve it in the required timeline of five working days. However, we authorised an extension on a clear and demonstrable expectation that the complaint would be satisfactorily resolved within a further ten working days. We resolved the complaint at the frontline resolution stage in a total of eight days.

Complaint 4

Complaint 4 was suitably complex or serious enough to pass to the investigation stage from the outset. We did not try frontline resolution; rather we investigated the case immediately. We issued a final decision to the customer within the 20-day limit.

Complaint 5

We considered complaint 5 at the frontline resolution stage, where an extension of ten working days was authorised. At the end of the frontline stage the customer was still dissatisfied. At their request, we conducted an investigation and issued our final response within 20 working days. Although the end-to-end timeline was 30 working days, we still met the combined time targets for frontline resolution and investigation.

Complaint 6

Complaint 6 was considered at both the frontline resolution stage and the investigation stage. We did not complete the investigation within the 20-day limit, so we agreed a revised timescale with the customer for concluding the investigation beyond the 20-day limit.

APPENDIX 4. GCC Policy on Unacceptable Actions by Customers (October 2015)

1. Introduction

This Policy sets out Glasgow City Council's (GCC) approach to the relatively few customers whose actions or behaviour we consider unacceptable. The term also includes anyone acting on behalf of a customer or who contacts us in connection with our business. The principles set out in this Policy also apply to our dealings with customers who are complaining about us. This Policy should be read and understood along with the council's Comments, Compliments and Complaints Procedures.

2. Policy aims

2.1. In this Policy, we aim to:

- 2.1.1 deal fairly, honestly, consistently and appropriately with all customers, including those whose actions we consider unacceptable. We believe that all customers have the right to be heard, understood and respected. We also consider that our staff have these same rights.
- 2.1.2 be accessible to all our customers. However, we retain the right, where we consider a customer's actions to be unacceptable, to restrict or change access to our service.
- 2.1.3 ensure that other customers and council staff do not suffer any disadvantage from someone who acts in an unacceptable manner.

3 Unacceptable Actions by Customers

3.1 Definition

People may act out of character in times of trouble or distress. There may have been upsetting or distressing circumstances leading up to a customer coming to us. We do not view behaviour as unacceptable just because a customer is forceful or determined. However, the actions of customers who are angry, demanding or persistent may result in unreasonable demands on our resources or in unacceptable behaviour towards our staff. It is these actions that we consider unacceptable and aim to manage under this Policy. We have grouped these actions under three broad headings:

3.2 Aggressive or Abusive Behaviour

- 3.2.1 Violence is not restricted to acts of aggression that may result in physical harm. It also includes behaviour or language (whether oral or written) that may cause staff to feel afraid, threatened or abused.
- 3.2.2 Examples of behaviours grouped under this heading include threats, physical violence, personal verbal abuse, derogatory remarks, and rudeness towards our staff when they are engaged on council business. We also consider that inflammatory statements and unsubstantiated allegations can be abusive behaviour.
- 3.2.3 We expect our staff to be treated courteously and with respect. Violence or abuse towards staff is unacceptable. We understand the difference between aggression and anger. The anger felt by many customers involves the subject matter of their contact with us. However, it is not acceptable when anger escalates into aggression directed towards council staff.

3.3 Unreasonable Demands

3.3.1 Customers may make what we consider unreasonable demands on us through the amount of information they seek, the nature and scale of service they expect or the number of approaches they make. What amounts to unreasonable demands will always depend on the circumstances surrounding the behaviour and the seriousness of the issues raised by the customer.

3.3.2 Examples of actions grouped under this heading include:

- demanding responses within an unreasonable time-scale;
- insisting on seeing or speaking to a particular member of staff;
- continual phone calls or letters: and,
- repeatedly changing the substance of the issue or complaint, or raising unrelated concerns.

3.3.3 We consider these demands as unacceptable and unreasonable if they start to impact substantially on the work of the department involved, such as taking up an excessive amount of staff time to the disadvantage of other customers, services or functions.

3.4 Unreasonable Persistence

3.4.1 We recognise that some customers will not or cannot accept that we are unable to provide a level of service other than that provided already. Customers may persist in disagreeing with the action or decision taken in relation to their issue or complaint, or contact us persistently about the same issue.

3.4.2 Examples of actions grouped under this heading include:

- persistent refusal to accept a decision made in relation to an issue or complaint;
- persistent refusal to accept explanations relating to what we can or cannot do; and,
- continuing to pursue an issue or complaint without presenting any new information.

3.4.3 The way in which these customers approach us may be entirely reasonable, but it is their persistent behaviour in continuing to do so that is not.

3.4.4 We consider the actions of persistent customers to be unacceptable when they take up what we regard as being a disproportionate amount of time and resources.

3.4.5 Where appropriate, we will consider referring a persistent complainant to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) ourselves, if the complainant will not and does not do so themselves, and ask that the SPSO be the final arbiter in any dispute that has arisen.

4. Managing Unacceptable Actions by Customers

4.1 There are relatively few customers whose actions we consider unacceptable. We aim to manage these actions based on their nature and extent. If our ability to do our work and provide a service to others is affected adversely, we may need to restrict that customer's contact with us in order to manage the unacceptable action.

4.2 We aim to do this in a way, wherever possible, that allows a complaint to progress to completion through our Comments, Compliments and Complaints Procedure.

- 4.2.1 We may restrict contact in person, by telephone, fax, letter or electronically or by any combination of these.
- 4.2.2 We try to maintain at least one form of contact and this sometimes takes the form of identifying a named officer that the customer may only contact. No other officers would have direct contact with the customer in this circumstance.
- 4.2.3 In extreme situations, we tell the customer in writing that their name is on a 'no personal contact' list for specific council departments. This means that they must restrict contact to our Customer Care Team only, whether this is in writing, personal contact or through a third party.
- 4.3 The threat or use of physical violence, verbal abuse or harassment towards staff is likely to result in the ending of all direct contact immediately with the customer. Incidents may be reported to the police. This will always be the case if physical violence is used or threatened.
- 4.4 We also view the use of social media networks, such as Facebook, Twitter and YouTube to be covered by this policy.
- 4.5 We do not deal with correspondence (letter, fax or electronic) that is abusive to staff. When this happens we tell the customer that we consider their language offensive, unnecessary and unhelpful. We ask them to stop using such language and state that we will not respond to their correspondence. We may require future contact to be through a third party if they persist.
- 4.6 Staff will end telephone calls if the caller is considered aggressive, abusive, offensive, unnecessarily repetitive or the time taken by the caller is disproportionate to the issues raised. The staff member taking the call has the right to make this decision, tell the caller that the behaviour is unacceptable and end the call if the behaviour does not stop.
- 4.7 Where a customer repeatedly phones, visits, sends irrelevant documents or raises the same issues, we may decide to:
- only take telephone calls from the customers at set times on set days or put an arrangement in place for only one member of staff to deal with calls or correspondence from the complainant in future. The Corporate Customer Care Team, on behalf of the Chief Executive, will arrange this;
 - require the customer to make an appointment to see a named member of staff before visiting the office; or,
 - that the customer contacts the office in writing only;
 - return the documents to the customer or, in extreme cases, advise them that further irrelevant documents will be destroyed; or
 - take other action that we consider appropriate. We will, however, always tell the customer what action we are taking and why.
- 4.8 Where a customer continues to correspond on a wide range of issues, and this action is considered excessive, then they will be told that only a certain number of issues will be considered in a given period and asked to limit or focus their requests accordingly.
- 4.9 Customer action may be considered unreasonably persistent if all internal review mechanisms have been exhausted and the customer continues to dispute the council decision relating to their complaint or issue. The customer will be told that no future

phone calls will be accepted or interviews granted concerning this complaint or issue. Any future contact by the customer on this issue must be in writing. Future correspondence is read and filed, but only acknowledged or responded to if the customer provides significant new information relating to the complaint or issue.

5. Customer Confidentiality

- 5.1 Where appropriate, we will always respect the confidentiality of a customer. However, confidentiality is not an absolute obligation as the law has always recognised that where appropriate it is permissible to release information which would normally be confidential if this is necessary to fulfil an overriding public interest, such as protecting someone else from harm. There may be cases where the professional's view is that there is no substance behind such a threat. If someone threatens someone else, we should be able to take appropriate steps in response such as alerting that person, the police or associated agencies.

6. Deciding to Restrict Customer Contact

- 6.1 Staff that directly experience aggressive or abusive behaviour from a customer have the authority to deal immediately with that behaviour in a manner they consider appropriate to the situation and in line with this Policy and the Violence at Work Procedure.
- 6.2 With the exception of such immediate decisions taken at the time of an incident, decisions to restrict contact with the council are only taken after careful consideration of the situation by a more senior member of staff, either the Executive Director of that service or another member of the Senior Management Team, and the customer's case is then referred to the Corporate Customer Care Team. Wherever possible, we give a customer the opportunity to modify their behaviour or action before a decision is taken.
- 6.3 Customers will be issued with a warning letter containing a copy of this Policy. They will be told why their actions are deemed to be unacceptable, and asked to moderate this behaviour. If this is not done, then they will be told that a contact restriction can be put in place.
- 6.4 When a decision has been made to restrict future contact, the customer will be written to with details of the restricted contact arrangements and, if relevant, the length of time that these restrictions will be in place.
- 6.5 If a serious threat of violence is made, then a warning letter is not necessary and the customer can be issued with a restricted contact letter immediately.


7. Appealing a Decision to Restrict Contact

- 7.1 A customer can appeal a warning letter, on the grounds of factual inaccuracy. They can do so, in writing only, to the Corporate Customer Care Team, within 10 working days of receipt of the letter. This letter must contain details of the appeal process.
- 7.2 A customer can appeal a decision to restrict contact. This must be done within 10 working days of receipt of the letter. This letter must contain details of the appeals process. A senior member of staff who was not involved in the original decision considers the appeal. They advise the customer in writing that either the restricted contact arrangements still apply or a different course of action has been agreed. This should be done within 10 working days.

8. Recording and Reviewing a Decision to Restrict Contact

- 8.1 Where it is decided to restrict customer contact, an entry noting this is made in the relevant file and on appropriate computer records.
- 8.2 A decision to restrict contact may be reconsidered if the customer demonstrates a more acceptable approach. The Corporate Customer Care Team reviews the status of all customers with restricted contact arrangements on a regular basis.
- 8.3 All customers who receive correspondence under the Policy have their restrictions reviewed at the bi-annual meeting held to review all active Unacceptable Actions Policy restrictions. The outcome from any review may be to continue with the restrictions, amend the terms of the restriction, or lift the restriction.
- 8.4 All correspondence relating to the Unacceptable Actions Policy will be in writing and by letter.
- 8.5 The council publishes its Unacceptable Actions Policy on its website.
- 8.6 Changes to the Unacceptable Actions Policy are brought to the council's Operational Delivery Scrutiny Committee for approval.

Unacceptable Actions Process



Appendix 5: Contact details for complaints team and local coordinators

Team / Service Area	Complaints Co-Ordinator Contact details
Rights and Enquiries Team	Post: Commonwealth House, 32 Albion Street, Glasgow G1 1LH. Outlook: SWComplaints (Social Work) email: SWComplaints@glasgow.gov.uk Phone numbers: http://connect.glasgow.gov.uk/article/13136/Complaints Principal Officer: 0141 287 8714
North West Glasgow	
North East Glasgow	
South Glasgow	
Homelessness Team Centre	
Fostering and Adoption	
Children's Residential	
Older Persons Day Care and Residential	

Appendix 6 - The complaints handling procedure

