

Item No: 18

Meeting Date: Monday, 31st October 2016

Glasgow City Integration Joint Board

Report By:	Susanne Miller, Chief Officer Planning, Strategy and Commissioning/Chief Social Worker
Contact:	Fiona Moss, Head of Health Improvement and Equalities
Tel:	0141 287 0392

GLASGOW COMMUNITY PLANNING PARTNERSHIP ANNUAL REPORT

Purpose of Report:	To present Glasgow's Community Planning Partnership Annual Report (2016).
Recommendations:	The Integration Joint Board is asked to:
	a) note the contents of the report.

Implications for IJB:

Financial:	Integration Joint Board through staff and resources have contributed to the achievements described in the annual report.
Personnel:	Various
Legal:	None
Economic Impact:	Various
Sustainability:	Ongoing
Sustainable Procurement and Article 19:	

Equalities:	Described within the Annual Report.

Risk Implications:

Implications for Glasgow	Continued commitment and statutory requirements for
City Council:	community planning

Implications for NHS	Continued commitment and statutory requirements for
Greater Glasgow & Clyde:	community planning

Direction Required to	Direction to:	
Council, Health Board or	1. No Direction Required	
Both	2. Glasgow City Council	
	3. NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde	
	4. Glasgow City Council and NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde	

1. Background

1.1 Glasgow Community Planning Partnership publishes an annual report on progress as part of the existing governance processes. The report can be found at the following link: https://www.glasgowcpp.org.uk/index.aspx?articleid=19791

2. Annual Report

- 2.1 This is the second annual report which describes progress in relation to the Single Outcome Agreement (SOA), local areas and communities, the Integrated Grant Fund (IGF), and other key business areas. This is the first annual report that includes performance reporting in line with the performance framework implemented in 2015.
- 2.2 Scrutiny is provided through the use of partner data sources, the 'health check' survey of people involved in community planning and population data collected through the 2015 Adult Health and Well-being survey (completed by over 4000 residents, including around 500 residents in each of three thriving places). Alongside measureable information the report includes case studies on service change and the differences this had made for individuals in the city. The blend of data and stories assists in framing the range of impacts that community planning has achieved throughout the year.

3. Performance

- 3.1 There are six headline performance measures within the Single Outcome Agreement:
 - Alcohol Residents exceeding recommended weekly alcohol limit
 - Youth Employment 16-14 year olds who are economically active
 - **Homelessness** Average number of days spent in temporary or emergency accommodation

- In-work poverty Working households finding it difficult to cope on present income
- **Thriving Places** Residents who feel they can influence decisions which affect them and their neighbourhood have been updated in the past year, two of which are improving and two of which are not.
- **Partnership Working** Staff and Volunteers reporting a good understanding of Single Outcome Agreement priorities
- 3.2 The annual report provides information on each of these, with four measures having comparable data reported within the annual period; two have improved (alcohol and youth employment) and two have slipped (homelessness and partnership working).
- 3.3 Alcohol the proportion of residents reporting consumption that exceeds the weekly recommended limit has dropped from 16% in 2011 to 8% in 2015. Several other measures we use to track progress against alcohol outcomes have also seen a continued improvement in the past year.
- 3.4 Youth Employment the economic activity rate of those aged 16-24 has improved by 5% in the past year, as have a number of other key measures such as the rate of long term unemployment amongst young people.
- 3.5 Homelessness there was a 1% increase in the past year of the average time spent in temporary or emergency accommodation; the long term target is to reduce this figure by 25% by 2024.
- 3.6 The quality of partnership working the annual partnership 'Health Check' survey monitors the views of community planning stakeholders. The most recent survey (March 2016) was completed by 352 people (up from 2015); including community members, front line staff and management across partners. The survey asked about leadership, vision, communication, governance and areas such as trust. There was a 10% fall since 2015 in the proportion of respondents who reported that the Community Planning Partnership had clear strategic priorities.
- 3.7 The survey showed that respondents were more positive than in 2015 in perceptions of communication, governance and scrutiny and 56% of responders had re-aligned resources around community planning priorities. However respondents were less positive when asked about clarity of direction and purpose amongst Partners, collaborative working practices, and embedding early intervention in service design and delivery. Despite an improvement in the past year, the survey also showed that just one-in-four respondents believe that the Community Planning Partnership communicates clearly its plans to residents.

4. Community Empowerment

4.1 Community Budgeting - Glasgow City Council, its Community Planning Partnership Partners and the Scottish Government undertook a community budgeting process (sometimes referred to as participatory budgeting) for the first time. This involved community members considering spending priorities for a defined budget, applying, voting and scrutinising the value of these projects. Over 1,000 people turned out to hear the projects make their bids with almost 600 local residents voting for the winning projects. In total 217 applications were made through the 21 Area Partnerships and £209,572 was subsequently dispersed to 123 community based projects.

- 4.2 Thriving Places the report reflects on developments within the three thriving places established during the year. All have involved considerable engagement with residents which have resulted in different local service and responses. Perceptions are positive from staff and community members on the approach and methods used to connect and listen to local people with examples of genuine co-production and empowerment. 'What Works Scotland', a public service development initiative, has worked with Glasgow Community Planning Partnership to develop a more robust evaluation framework for the thriving places model.
- 4.3 Integrated Grant Funding the Integrated Grant Fund, managed through Glasgow City Council, provides grant funding to a diverse range of organisations in line with the agreed priorities of Glasgow City Council and the Glasgow Community Planning Partnership. During 2015/16, £41.3 million was allocated to over 500 projects across citywide and sectors. Projects delivered activity across six strategic themes: Alcohol and Health & Wellbeing, Youth Employment and Young People, Vulnerable People and Families, Safer, Fairer and Sustainable Communities.

5. Conclusions

- 5.1 The annual report reflects some very real improvements in outcomes, ways of working and innovations. There have been some slippage areas and areas for which information is not collected with a frequency to demonstrate change at this stage. This performance is set within the financial challenges of community planning partners and the impact of welfare reform on the vulnerability of residents.
- 5.2 The Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act (2015) replaces all previous legislative requirements for community planning bringing new ambitions, new duties and new partners. Final statutory guidance is currently awaited with implementation expectations this year and next. The future reporting requirements for Community Planning Partnership's will be articulated through the statutory guidance and transition arrangements being developed by Glasgow Community Planning Partnership.

6. Recommendations

- 6.1 The Integration Joint Board is asked to:
 - a) note the contents of the report.