NOT YET APPROVED AS A CORRECT RECORD

GLASGOW CITY INTEGRATION JOINT BOARD PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT COMMITTEE

IJB-PEC (M) 13-09-2016

Item No. 25

Minutes of meeting held in the Sir Peter Heatly Boardroom, Glasgow City HSCP, Commonwealth House, 32 Albion Street, Glasgow, G1 1LH at 1.00pm on Tuesday, 13th September 2016

PRESENT:

VOTING MEMBERS	Cllr Matt Kerr Trisha McAuley Cllr Soryia Siddique	Councillor, Glasgow City Council NHSGG&C Board Member (Chair) Councillor, Glasgow City Council (Vice-Chair)
NON-VOTING MEMBERS	Alex MacKenzie Anne Scott David Williams	Chief Officer Operations Social Users Representative Chief Officer
IN ATTENDANCE:	Kay Carmichael Stuart Donald Allison Eccles Julie Kirkland	Administration Manager Principal Officer (Planning and Governance) Head of Business Development Senior Officer (Governance Support)
APOLOGIES:	Simon Carr Susanne Millar Bailie Mohammed Razaq	NHSGG&C Board Member Chief Officer Planning, Strategy & Commissioning /CSWO Councillor, Glasgow City Council

1. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Members were invited to declare any interest in any matter on the Agenda for the meeting in which they have a financial or other interest. No declarations were made.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE AND ROLE OF THE CHAIR

David Williams spoke to a paper outlining the Terms of Reference for the IJB-Public Engagement Committee which had previously been approved by the Integration Joint Board on 21st March 2016.

The remit of the committee was noted as:

- To consider petitions and representation on matters falling within the competence of the Integration Joint Board and recommend to the Integrated Joint Board the appropriate action to be taken.
- To monitor and review the development and implementation of the Integration Joint Board's Participation and Engagement Strategy.
- To carry out any and all functions conferred on the Committee by the Integration Joint Board in keeping with the Participation and Engagement Strategy

David advised the Committee that whilst the remit of the Committee had been approved, he envisaged that it would evolve by being a front facing

	Committee with a fixed membership for decision m the Committee may wish to consider holding its me across the City and extend an invitation to individu localities to attend.	etings at different locations	
	Anne Scott stated that at a recent Voices for Chan- discussion that the Health and Social Care Partner appear to have been circulated as widely as previo he would not have expected the circulation to redu would look into this in the context of a wider review channels.	ship newsletter does not us editions. David stated ce, however Allison Eccles	
	Cllr Siddique highlighted a desire that the newsletter languages and copies made available in local libra and schools.		
	Trisha McAuley advised that due to Norman Shank member of the Health Board or Integration Joint Bo vacancy on this committee. Trisha stated that a re appointed ahead of the next meeting.	pard there was now a	
	Trisha emphasised how important it was that the C meaningfully with the public. She asked if any mer how this Committee should develop, then they sho Alex MacKenzie.	nbers have suggestions on	
	The IJB-Public Engagement Committee:		
	(a) Noted the terms of reference and role of the	chair and vice-chair.	
	(b) Requested a report be presented to its next communication channels and website deve	•	s
3.	communication channels and website deve	lopment. Allison Eccle	s
3.	communication channels and website deve . PARTICIPATION AND ENGAGEMENT STRATEC	Iopment. Allison Eccle GY – CONSULTATION nittee on the on-going	es
3.	 communication channels and website development PARTICIPATION AND ENGAGEMENT STRATEGOR UPDATE Stuart Donald spoke to a paper updating the Communication on the draft Participation and Engager 	Iopment. Allison Eccle GY - CONSULTATION Allison Eccle nittee on the on-going Allison Eccle nent Strategy for the Allison Eccle d to date for the Allison Eccle e also expected. A series Allison Eccle	es
3.	 communication channels and website development of the communication channels and website development of the communication of the communication	Iopment. Allison Eccle GY – CONSULTATION Inittee on the on-going nent Strategy for the Index of the date for the e also expected. A series g with a Citywide event Inittee event	es
3.	 communication channels and website development of the second secon	Allison Eccle Allison Eccle anittee on the on-going ment Strategy for the d to date for the e also expected. A series g with a Citywide event tion and Engagement	es
3.	 communication channels and website development of the second secon	Allison Eccle GY - CONSULTATION Inittee on the on-going Initee on the on-going In	9 5
3.	 communication channels and website development of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication on the draft Participation and Engager Glasgow City Integration Joint Board. Around 30-40 online responses have been receive Consultation, and a number of written response and of local consultation events have taken place, alon which was held on 30th June. It was highlighted that consultation on the Participation Strategy ends on Friday 16th September 2016. Four potential structural options are outlined in the documentation: Making no change at all, and maintaining existing the communication of th	Allison Eccle GY - CONSULTATION nittee on the on-going nent Strategy for the d to date for the e also expected. A series g with a Citywide event tion and Engagement consultation ng Council and Health	9 \$

4. A hybrid of options 2 and 3 above

Consultation responses received to date suggest that there are a range of views as to how engagement networks should be structured at both city-wide and local level.

Officers will review all consultation responses in more detail following the end of the consultation period, with a summary of consultation findings and the final draft Participation and Engagement Strategy being presented to the October meeting of the Integration Joint Board.

It is intended that a proposed structure for city-wide and Locality engagement will also be presented at that time, however given differing opinions likely to be put forward in this respect there may be a requirement for further discussion and consultation on this matter before a proposal can be put to the Integration Joint Board.

Alex MacKenzie highlighted the work which was undertaken in the process for engagement for the locality plans to ensure engagement with the people who are engaging with service users. A good example of this was highlighted as the recovery communities in addictions.

Alex indicated there was merit in looking at what we currently do and what is working well in the localities.

The IJB-Public Engagement Committee:

- (a) Noted the report
- (b) Requested an overview on current engagement activity within localities to be presented at next meeting

4. PETITIONS TO THE GLASGOW CITY INTEGRATION JOINT BOARD

Stuart Donald presented a paper outlining a proposed petitions process for the Glasgow City Integration Joint Board, to be operated on its behalf by the Public Engagement Committee. The process has been broadly based on the existing process used by Glasgow City Council.

Cllr Kerr asked whether there would be a way to move towards allowing petitions to be raised and submitted online. Allison Eccles stated a specification is currently being developed for a website for the Health and Social Care Partnership and agreed to explore whether this could be added as a requirement.

Trisha McAuley asked whether the Integration Joint Board are legally required to have a Petitions Process. Allison Eccles stated that this is not a legal requirement, however the terms of reference of this committee include consideration of petitions and in that sense a process is required. It was noted that raising a petition is not the only method by which people can engage with and influence planning and service delivery, examples of other methods include responding to consultations, contacting the Chief Officer or other Heads of Service, engaging with Strategic Planning Groups or using the Comments, Compliments and Complaints process.

Trisha noted that the process should include information on timescales, and signposting where a petition cannot be considered by the committee.

Alex MacKenzie

ACTION The IJB-Public Engagement Committee: (a) Approved the proposed process for petitions to the Integration Joint Board, following amendment to include timescales and signposting. (b) Instructed the Chief Officer: Finance and Resources to provide an annual report summarising the number of petitions received by the Integration Joint Board and their outcomes. 5. NEXT MEETING It was agreed a meeting would be scheduled for late November/early December. Trisha McAuley asked for any proposed agenda items to be sent to her or Alex MacKenzie, and encouraged ongoing dialogue between meetings.

The meeting ended at 1.56pm