

# Item No. 11

Meeting Date

Wednesday 10<sup>th</sup> February 2021

# Glasgow City Integration Joint Board Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee

| Report By: | Sharon Wearing, Chief Officer, Finance & Resources |
|------------|--|
| Contact:   | Allison Eccles, Head of Business Development       |
| Phone:     | 0141 287 6724                                      |

#### **Risk Management Quarterly Update**

| Purpose of Report: | The purpose of this report is to provide an update<br>to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee<br>on the status of the risk registers being<br>maintained within the Glasgow City Health and<br>Social Care Partnership. |
|--------------------|--|
|                    | This report covers the review carried out in<br>January 2021 in respect of changes to risk in the<br>quarter from 1 October 2020 to 31 December<br>2020.   |

| Background/Engagement: | The risk registers maintained within the<br>Partnership are required to be regularly reviewed<br>and updated by the relevant risk owners and risk<br>managers, and reported to this Committee on a<br>quarterly basis. |
|------------------------|--|
|------------------------|--|

| Recommendations: | The IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:   |
|------------------|--|
|                  | <ul> <li>a) note this report, and</li> <li>b) note the current highest risks on the<br/>Integration Joint Board, Social Care and<br/>Health Risk Registers.</li> </ul> |

Relevance to Integration Joint Board Strategic Plan:

Risks to the delivery of the Strategic Plan are identified in the IJB Risk Register.

# Implications for Health and Social Care Partnership:

| Reference to National Health        | The maintenance of a risk management framework within   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| & Wellbeing Outcome:                | the Partnership aligns with Outcome 9 (Resources are<br>used effectively and efficiently in the provision of health<br>and social care services). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel:                          | Risks with a potential impact on staff are identified in the risk registers.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carers:                             | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provider Organisations:             | Risks in relation to Provider Organisations are identified in   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     | the risk registers.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equalities:                         | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fairer Scotland Compliance:         | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial:                          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financiai:                          | Risks with a potential financial impact are identified in the risk registers.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal:                              | Risks with a potential legal impact are identified in the risk registers.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Impact:                    | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Impact:<br>Sustainability: | N/A<br>N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Risk Implications: | N/A |
|--------------------|-----|
|                    |     |

| Implications for Glasgow City | Risk implications to Glasgow City Council are detailed in |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Council:                      | the Social Care risk register                             |  |  |  |  |  |

| Implications for NHS Greater | Risk implications to NHS GGC are detailed in the Health |
|------------------------------|---|
| Glasgow & Clyde:             | risk register   |

#### 1. Purpose

- 1.1. The purpose of this report is to provide an update to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee on the status of the risk registers being maintained within the Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership.
- 1.2. This report covers the review carried out in January 2021 in respect of changes to risk in the quarter from 1 October 2020 to 31 December 2020

#### 2. Integration Joint Board Risk Register

- 2.1. The Integration Joint Board Risk Register is maintained, updated and reported in line with the Glasgow City IJB Risk Management Policy
- 2.2. There have been no new risks added to this register.
- 2.3. There has been 1 risk removed from this register during Q3.
  - *Ref 0515: Partners' Governance arrangements.* This risk owner advised that this risk is no longer relevant due to well established procedures now in place
- 2.4. At the conclusion of the January 2021 review there were **10** live' risks on the register, with **2** risks having a current risk level of 'Very High', **6** risks with a risk level of 'High', **1** risk with a risk level of 'Medium' and **1** risk with a risk level of 'Low'
- 2.5. All risks with a current risk level of 'Very High' or 'High' are reviewed every quarter, and displayed in Appendix A
- 2.6. Risks with a current risk level of 'Medium' or 'Low' can be reviewed less regularly in line with the risk management policy. All of these items were reviewed this quarter.
- 2.7. The final quarterly review of the IJB Risk Register in 2020/21 is scheduled to be carried out in April 2021.

#### 3. Social Care Risk Register

3.1. The Social Care Risk Register is maintained, updated and reported in line with the Glasgow City Council Risk Management Policy and Guidance. It should be noted that the Council uses different criteria for risk scoring, therefore risks assessed as Very High by the Council may not be by the IJB and NHS GGC.

OFFICIAL

- 3.2. There was 1 risk added to the register in Q3.
  - *Ref 1346:* The risk arising from delays to completion of Central Review Team and Locality assessment reviews was added to the risk register with a residual risk rating of High.
- 3.3. There have been no risks removed from this register during Q3.
- 3.4. At the conclusion of the January 2021 review there were **28** 'live' risks on the register, with **13** risks having a current risk level of 'Very High, **6** risks with a risk level of High, **6** with a risk level of Medium and **3** risks with a risk level of 'Low'.
- 3.5. All risks with a current risk level of 'Very High' or 'High' are reviewed every quarter, and displayed in Appendix A
- 3.6. Items with a current risk level of 'Medium' or 'Low' can be reviewed less regularly in line with the risk management policy. All of these items were reviewed this quarter.
- 3.7. The final quarterly review of the IJB Risk Register in 2020/21 is scheduled to be carried out in April 2021.

#### 4. Health Risk Register

- 4.1. The Glasgow City Health Risk Register is currently maintained, updated and reported in line with the NHS GGC Risk Management Policy, and collates the most significant risks as identified in locality and service risk registers. The register was last reviewed in December 2020.
- 4.2. There were no risks where the current risk level increased since the last Quarterly review.
- 4.3. There were **4** risks where the current risk level decreased since the last Quarterly review:
  - *Ref 2802: Pressures on the telephone system* has been reduced from high to moderate.
  - *Ref 1670: Medical and Nursing cover* for service provided by Archway has been reduced from high to moderate due to staffing levels improving with recruitment
  - Ref 2341 Shortage of Staff Team Leader for Homelessness has been reduced from high to moderate due to new appointment for this role commencing on 11<sup>th</sup> January 2021.
  - Ref 2414 Shortage of Health Visitor staff has been reduced to moderate following approval to and commencement of recruitment. Risk will close when HVs are in post.

# OFFICIAL

- 4.4. The Very High and High risks on the Health Risk Register that were reviewed in December 2020 are included in Appendix A.
- 4.5. The final quarterly review of the Health Risk Register in 2020/21 is scheduled to be carried out April 2021

#### 5. Recommendations

- 5.1. The IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:
  - a) note this report, and
  - b) note the current highest risks on the Integration Joint Board, Social Care and Health Risk Registers.

|     | IJB Risk Register  |  |                   |              |            |                    |              |   |                    |            |                    |              |               |  |  |
|-----|--|--|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|--|--|
|     |  |  |                   |              | Initi      | ial R              | isk Level    |   | Current Risk Level |            |                    |              |               |  |  |
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner        | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level   | Control Actions   | Consequences       | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level   | Latest Update |  |  |
| 518 | financial stability                                      | RISK: Financial challenges faced by some<br>provider organisations (in particular those<br>providing sleepovers) to pay Scottish Living Wage<br>could destablise them CAUSE: Introduction of<br>Scottish Living Wage to adult social care<br>EFFECT: Threat to continuity of service, issues in<br>availability of appropriate provision for service<br>users, serious impact on delivery of Strategic Plan    | Sharon<br>Wearing | 5            | 4          |                    | High         | <ul> <li>We are working closely with provider organisations to<br/>monitor impact and ensure continuity of services for our<br/>service users.</li> <li>We continue to ensure timeous regular payment to provider<br/>organisations</li> <li>We have offered 3 SLW increases across all of the<br/>purchased service contracts on condition of payment of the<br/>SLW including for Sleepovers.</li> <li>We continue to ensure that the payment of the additional<br/>funding for the Scottish Living Wage is made timeously</li> <li>We are developing a transformational change programme on<br/>overnight supports which will seek to offer an expanded range<br/>of options for providing overnight supports and reduce the<br/>reliance on sleepover support.</li> <li>Proof of concept work with providers will enable us to ensure<br/>that as far as possible we have lean processs in our dealings<br/>with providers and that we can co-produce new ways of<br/>working to ensure efficiency.</li> <li>Appeal of legal rulings on sleepover currently state it is not<br/>necessary to pay each hour worked at the NLW but the HMRC<br/>guidance has not yet changed.</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care<br/>Home Governance arrangements have been put in place<br/>across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board<br/>wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the<br/>NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home<br/>Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local<br/>Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the<br/>Interim Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from<br/>daily safety huddles that cover both directly provided and<br/>purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers<br/>continue to be engaged with providers and are actively<br/>involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.</li> </ul> |                    |            | 20                 | Very<br>High | December 2020 |  |  |
| 524 | Level of savings<br>required in<br>2019/20 and<br>beyond | RISK: Inability to deliver appropriate level of<br>essential services due to required level of savings<br>CAUSE: Required level of savings in the Budget<br>Service Plan in 2019/20 and beyond EFFECT:<br>Unable to meet demand for services, failing to<br>ensure safety and prevent harm to service user,<br>failing to meet statutory requirements, failing to<br>deliver part or all of the Strategic Plan | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4            | 5          | 20                 | Very<br>High | <ul> <li>Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, ITB, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB</li> <li>Transformation Programme for the HSCP in place, with a range of programmes identified to support delivery of Strategic Plan within allocated budgets</li> <li>HSCP will actively engage with Partner Bodies in budget planning process for 2019-20 and beyond identifying dependencies and risks associated with any proposals.</li> <li>Impact of responding to COVID-19 on plans for savings and delivery of transformation programmes will continue to be monitored.</li> <li>A financial tracker on covid-19 related expenditure (as part of the Local Mobilisation Plan) is updated on a 4 weekly basis, and submitted to the Scottish Government every 4 weeks.</li> </ul>  |                    | 5          | 20                 | Very<br>High | December 2020 |  |  |

| •                             |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
|                               |  |
| 20 - No Change to risk score. |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
| 20 - No Change to risk score. |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |
|                               |  |

| IJB Risk Register |  |   |                   |              |            |                    |              |   |              |            |                    |            |               |
|-------------------|--|---|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|---|--------------|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|
|                   |  |   |                   |              | Initi      | ial Ri             | isk Level    |   |              |            | ent                | Risk Level |               |
| Ref               | Title  | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner        | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level   | Control Actions   | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Latest Update |
|                   | Delivery of<br>Strategic Plan<br>within budget | RISK: The IJB is unable to budget within allocated<br>resources CAUSE: Cost of delivery is higher than<br>budgeted resources made available EFFECT: The<br>IJB is unable to deliver on the Strategic Plan | Wearing           | 4            | 5          |                    | High         | <ul> <li>The Integration Scheme details the actions to be taken in the event of this and furthermore the contingency arrangements should parent bodies be unable/unwilling to provide additional funding</li> <li>Transformation Programme for the HSCP in place, with a range of programmes identified to support delivery of Strategic Plan within allocated budgets</li> <li>Governance / reporting mechanism for Transformation Programme in development</li> <li>Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB</li> <li>The impact of responding to COVID-19 on delvery of the Strategic Plan will continue to be assessed.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each care group has established Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings with their senior staff which meet regularly. All additional emergency governance arrangements that have been put in place are line with, and engage with, emergency command and control</li> </ul> |              |            | 16                 |            | December 2020 |
| 943               |  |   | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4            | 5          | 20                 | Very<br>High | <ul> <li>Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, ITB, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB</li> <li>Delivery of savings will continue to be tarcked and monitored by the Transformation Programme Board</li> <li>HSCP will actively engage with the Scottish Government on the impact of responding to COVID-19</li> <li>Impact of responding to COVID-19 on plans for savings and delivery of transformation programmes will continue to be monitored</li> </ul>   |              | 4          | 16                 | High       | December 2020 |

## APPENDIX A

| - No Change | to | risk | score. |  |
|-------------|----|------|--------|--|

20 - No Change to risk score.

|     |  | IJB Risk Register   |            |              |            |                    |            |   |              |            |             |            |                   |
|-----|--|---|------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
|     |  |   |            |              | Initi      | ial R              | isk Level  |   |              |            |             | Risk Level |                   |
| Ref | Title                                    | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Control Actions   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk Level | Latest Update     |
|     | Resources<br>required for<br>integration | RISK: The organisation cannot support the<br>volume of resource required to establish effective<br>integrated arrangements CAUSE: Staff and key<br>individuals with existing operational roles and<br>responsibilities are diverted to integration activities<br>EFFECT: Existing organisational priorities and<br>delivery are delayed or compromised, resulting in<br>not delivering Strategic Plan   |            | 4            | 4          | 16                 | High       | <ul> <li>Workload and resource monitoring continues to be<br/>undertaken across the partnership (for example, through one-<br/>to-one supervision)</li> <li>Ongoing review of support (including work undertaken and<br/>resources being used) required for integrated arrangements</li> <li>Workforce Planning sub-group meets fortnightly to review<br/>workforce planning matters (including requests to fill<br/>vacancies)</li> <li>Implications of the need to re-divert resources to respond to<br/>COVID-19 on the ability to continue progress on integration of<br/>services will continue to be monitored.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to<br/>the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional<br/>governance arrangements to ensure senior management<br/>retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As<br/>well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the<br/>HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management<br/>meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has<br/>expended membership of this group to include key officers. A<br/>Local Resilience Management Team was established at the<br/>beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational<br/>issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from<br/>Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to<br/>recovery group. This group reviews and approves all<br/>recovery group. This group reviews and approves all<br/>recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and<br/>Staffside representation. Each care group has established<br/>Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings with their senior<br/>staff which meet regularly. All additional emergency<br/>governance arrangements that have been put in place are line<br/>with, and engage with, emergency command and control<br/>structures that have been established in NHS GGC and<br/>Glasgow City Council.</li> </ul> | 4            |            | 16          | B High     | December 2020 - I |
| 934 | Primary Care<br>Improvement Plan         | RISK: Failure to deliver transformation of Primary<br>Care services as specified in the Primary Care<br>Improvement Plan (PCIP)<br>CAUSE: Affordability, shortage of resources<br>(qualified staff, suitable accommodation), lack of<br>appropriate digital solution to support plan, unable<br>to mainatin sustainability, unable to quantify<br>evidence of impact<br>EFFECT: Impact on the delivery of the IJB's<br>Strategic Plan and priorities resulting in negative<br>impact on service users and patients and possible<br>reputational or financial impact to the IJB. |            | 4            | 4          | 16                 | High       | A number of measures being taken to mitigate the lack of<br>qualified staff include:<br>Phasing recruitment<br>Making local vacancy approval processes more efficient<br>Developing alternative skill mix models<br>Recruiting into trainee posts and supportung less experienced<br>staff to obtain necessary experience.  | 4            | 4          | 16          | 6 High     | December 2020 - I |

## APPENDIX A

| - No Change to risk score. |  |
|----------------------------|--|
|----------------------------|--|

20 - No Change to risk score.

|     |   |  |                |              |            |                    |            | IJB Risk Register   |              |            |                    |            |  |
|-----|---|--|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|------------|---|--------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--|
|     |   |  |                |              | Initi      | ial Ri             | sk Level   |   |              |            |                    | Risk Level |  |
| Ref | Title   | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner     | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Control Actions   | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Latest Update                          |
| 942 | Financial<br>Implications of<br>Responding to<br>COVID-19 | RISK: The organisation does not receive sufficient<br>funding to fully meet the costs of responding to<br>COVID-19 CAUSE: If Scottish Government<br>funding is not received at the IJB's assessed<br>required level. EFFECT: If full funding is not<br>received this will impact on the funding available<br>to deliver on the IJB's Strategic Plan and the<br>delivery of core services to service users. | Wearing        | 4            |            | 16                 | High       | <ul> <li>All costs associated with responding to COVID-19 are being tracked</li> <li>IJB is actively engaging with Scottish Government and providing regular updates on the associated costs</li> <li>Governance arrangements are in place re approval and monitoring of costs</li> <li>IJB is actively engaging with third and independent sector in relation to their associated costs.</li> <li>A financial tracker on covid-19 related expenditure (as part of the Local Mobilisation Plan) is updated on a 4 weekly basis, and submitted to the Scottish Government every 4 weeks.</li> </ul>  |              |            | 16                 | High       | December 2020 -                        |
| 514 | Service delivery<br>model uncertainty                     | RISK: Uncertainty around future service delivery<br>models CAUSE: Uncertainty arising from COVID-<br>19 EFFECT: Resistance, delay or compromise<br>resulting in necessary developments or potential<br>improvement opportunities not being fulfilled   | Susanne Millar | 3            | 4          | 12                 | High       | <ul> <li>High-level strategic vision articulated through the 2019-22<br/>Strategic Plan</li> <li>Implementation actions for 2016/17 approved by IJB on<br/>21/3/2016 provide some clarity and a framework for future<br/>service delivery.</li> <li>Other proposed transformation projects will be notified to the<br/>IJB as a matter of routine.</li> <li>Clear guidance on service development during interim<br/>period.</li> <li>Acceptance that ongoing challenges of both organisations<br/>mean standstill is not a viable option</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to<br/>the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional<br/>governance arrangements to ensure senior management<br/>retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As<br/>well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the<br/>HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management<br/>meetings (initially to daily, now meet three times weekly) and<br/>has expended membership of this group to include key<br/>officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was<br/>established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation<br/>point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included<br/>representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the<br/>response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced<br/>by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and<br/>approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade<br/>Union and Staffside representation. Each care group has<br/>established Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings with<br/>their senior staff which meet regularly. All additional<br/>emergency governance arrangements that have been put in<br/>place are line with, and engage with, emergency command<br/>and control structures that have been established in NHS<br/>GGC and Glasgow City Council.</li> </ul> | 3            |            | 12                 | High       | December 2020 -<br>reflect that the Ex |

| •  |       |
|--|-------|
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
| 0 - No Change to risk score.                           |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
| 0 - No Change to Score. Update to mitigation and contr | oi to |
| Executive Group now meet three times per week.         |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |

|     |   |   |                |              |            |             |               | Social Care Risk Register   |              |            |             |               |               |
|-----|---|---|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref | Title   | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner     |              | Init       | tial Risk   | Level         | Control Actions   |              | Curr       | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update |
|     |   |   |                | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 559 | Impact of Welfare<br>Reform on demand for<br>services | RISK: There is an increased demand for social<br>work services due to Welfare Reform including<br>emergency payments, homelessness, welfare<br>rights and general social work support.<br>CAUSE: Implementation of welfare reforms<br>including benefit cap, universal credit, child tax<br>credits and changes to housing benefits<br>EFFECT: Increased deprivation for citizens,<br>reduced ability to meet demands on our services   | Susanne Millar | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Contribution to the corporate welfare reform group</li> <li>Effective communications with service users and other stakeholders</li> <li>Information dissemination on rights to appeal</li> <li>Appeals packs for service users developed</li> <li>Welfare Reform training delivered to 3rd sector</li> <li>Key messages have been refreshed and disseminated again widely in line with the current stage of reform.</li> <li>Significant further training has been provided to voluntary sector organisations.</li> <li>Linkages with the Scottish Welfare Fund has resulted in a significant increase in the number of people appealing benefit sanctions.</li> <li>Briefings on Universal Credit arranged</li> </ul> | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | December 202  |
| 567 | Impact of National<br>Abuse Inquiry                   | RISK: that the Scottish Child Abuse Inquiry could<br>result in adverse legal, financial, reputational and<br>operational impacts to the Service. CAUSE:<br>These could arise from: - being unable to provide<br>historical information requested by the Inquiry<br>being perceived as the Service being ineffective<br>or deliberately obstructive - the level of resources<br>required to provide an appropriate response to the<br>Inquiry's initial information request not being<br>available/sustainable without impact on business<br>as usual activity - an increase in claims for<br>compensaton being made due to increased media<br>coverage of the Inquiry's processes - staff and<br>service users required to provide evidence<br>experiencing an adverse emotional impact as a<br>result of recalling experiences which were, or<br>perceived to be, traumatic. EFFECT: Reputational<br>damage, financial/cost implications |                | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Internal team established to manage our input to the Inquiry.<br/>This team will liaise with the PR office accordingly.</li> <li>Internal team includes legal representatives in order that we manage any claims.</li> <li>Ongoing monitoring and review of resources utilised to facilitate the Inquiry.</li> <li>Existing employee support mechanisms through HR.</li> <li>Existing health and social care support services for service users.</li> </ul>  | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | December 202  |

| odate                        |  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
| r 2020 - No Change to Score. |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
| r 2020 - No Change to Score. |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |
|                              |  |

|     | Social Care Risk Register                  |  |                |              |            |             |               |   |              |            |             |               |               |
|-----|--|--|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref | Title                                      | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner     |              |            | ial Ris     | sk Level      | Control Actions   | (            |            | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update |
|     |  |  |                | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 546 | Disruption to HSCP<br>services             | RISK: Failure of, or disruption to, facilities,<br>infrastructure or staff affecting delivery of<br>mainstream and out-of-hours services.<br>CAUSE: Exceptional, one-off and unexpected<br>events leading to loss of staff, loss of building,<br>loss of access to building, loss of supplier or loss<br>of infrastructure.<br>EFFECT: service users across the city don't<br>receive a service for a period of time that leads to<br>reputational, financial, legal and/or public<br>protection impact.   | Susanne Millar | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Industrial Relations Strategy in place</li> <li>Monthly meetings at Director level with senior Trade Union officials</li> <li>Business Continuity Reps identified in each service area</li> <li>The quarterly Business Continuity Working Group chaired by the service Business Continuity Champion is on hiatus. BCP is currently being overseen by the HSCP EU Exit Readiness Group</li> <li>Review of Council ICT Disaster Recovery priorities currently being undertaken by GCC SIT (SWS has fed into this process).</li> <li>2019 Business Continuity lifecycle is being actioned by the Heads of Planning</li> <li>Business Impact Analyses have been reviewed and completed across the HSCP</li> <li>Business Continuity Plans for localities have been reviewed and completed across the HSCP</li> <li>Executive Group has assumed role of SIMT and has increased frequency since March 2020 in response to the covid-19 pandemic and the impact on services.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational</li> </ul> | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | December 202  |
| 569 | Unsuitability or failure<br>of ICT systems | RISK: ICT systems used by SWS, and in<br>particular ex-Cordia systems, for the delivery of<br>statutory duties are not fit for purpose or are<br>bespoke and not maintained<br>CAUSE:Glasgow City Council arrangements with<br>CGI for the provision of ICT don't meet the<br>specific needs of Social Work Services, ex-Cordia<br>IT staff now in CGI are moved to non-Cordia<br>system work or leave the organisation resulting in<br>loss of expertise and system knowledge.<br>EFFECT: impact on delivery of statutory duties,<br>service users/public/vulnerable people come to<br>harm, significant reputational, financial and<br>operational harm to the organisation, efficiency<br>savings become more difficult to achieve. |                | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>The Strategic Innovation and Technology Team (SIT) has been established by GCC to oversee the contract with CGI.</li> <li>An HSCP Business Partner to SIT has been appointed.</li> <li>The contract is based on Output Based Specifications (OBSs) and there are OBSs for key systems (including careFirst, iWorld and LS/CMI) and all other ICT provision.</li> <li>There is a transformation programme to significantly improve a number of technologies. The Governance structures for ICT and Digital are being reviewed in light of the change in ICT contract and HSCP requirements.</li> <li>An interim business case has been approved for a replacement for careFirst which has systems for Home Care in scope, these being prioritised for implementation.</li> </ul>  |              | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | December 202  |

#### APPENDIX A

020 - No Change to Score.

|     | Social Care Risk Register   |   |                   |              |            |             |                    |   |              |            |             |               |               |
|-----|---|---|-------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref | Title   | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner        |              | Init       | tial Risl   | <pre>k Level</pre> | Control Actions   | 0            | Curr       | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update |
|     |   |   |                   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level      |   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 552 | Failure to deliver<br>Budget & Service Plan<br>and service reform<br>outcomes | RISK: the Department's service reform and<br>Budget and Service Plan programmes fail to<br>deliver the required outcomes in terms of delivery<br>of statutory duties; service modernisation and<br>financial savings.<br>CAUSE:<br>EFFECT: necessitating potential drastic and<br>unplanned cuts in order to realise the savings<br>requirements thereby leaving services and service<br>users vulnerable.  | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High       | <ul> <li>Fortnightly Integration Transformation Board meetings</li> <li>Weekly Executive Group meetings to approve critical progress issues</li> <li>CSWO led SMT's in both Adult and Children and family Services review and progress</li> <li>Performance Management Framework incorporating Citywide, local and care group performance reporting</li> <li>Regular planned and structured liaison with providers re: changes</li> <li>Service User engagement</li> <li>Trade Union liaison at strategic and local levels</li> </ul>   | 4            | 4          | 16          | High          | December 202  |
| 568 | Workforce<br>planning/reduction   | RISK: that reduced staffing levels and loss of<br>skilled staff might compromise the Service's ability<br>to deliver services and carry out its statutory<br>duties, including: - Services to LA and LAAC<br>children; - MHO duties; - Duties under S22 of the<br>Children Scotland Act 1995; - Provision of<br>children's hearings reports and reports to Court; -<br>Duties in relation to Adults with Incapacity<br>legislation; - Duties in relation to S12 of the Social<br>Work Scotland Act 1968.<br>CAUSE: number of vacant posts. turnover of staff,<br>length of time taken to recruit staff across both<br>GCC and NHS GGC<br>EFFECT: service users not receiving services<br>they're entitled to, and which leaves them at<br>increased risk. |                   | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High       | <ul> <li>Trade Union liaison at strategic and local levels.</li> <li>HSCP Workforce Planning Sub-group and Board chaired by<br/>Chief Officer (Finance &amp; Resources) which feeds directly into<br/>the Executive Group and Leadership Team. It comprises Chief<br/>Officer (Planning, Strategy &amp; Commissioning/CSWO), Chief<br/>Officer (Operations) and HR reps from SWS and NHS. Chief<br/>Officer (Planning, Strategy &amp; Commissioning/CSWO) advises<br/>group of any potential risks in relation to staffing reductions.</li> <li>Local performance management and supervision systems in<br/>place.</li> <li>Workforce planning arrnagements for care groups being<br/>finalised.</li> <li>Training and development programme for MHOs in place.</li> <li>New AWI protocols agreed at HSCP and SWS Governance<br/>Groups</li> <li>Regular updated workforce planning monitoring reports (by<br/>Locality) for all care groups in place.</li> </ul> | 4            | 4          | 16          | High          | December 202  |
| 566 | Loss of access to<br>VISOR  | RISK: Service loses access to Visor<br>CAUSE: changes to the vetting requirements for<br>new and existing VISOR users at a national level<br>which are incompatible with current recruitment<br>and employment policies<br>EFFECT: the service is less able to manage<br>offenders who pose high risk of serious harm to<br>the public, with subsequent legal and reputational<br>on the service.   | Susanne Millar    | 4            | 4          | 16          | High               | <ul> <li>Issue highlighted to Glasgow's Public Protection Chief<br/>Officers Group</li> <li>Impact report completed by Social Work Scotland and further<br/>national work under consideration</li> <li>Legal advice taken by HR advising no change to recruitment<br/>or employment policies</li> <li>Sufficient staff currently vetted and able to make use of<br/>system in collaboration with MAPPA partners and responsible<br/>authorities</li> </ul>  | 4            | 4          | 16          | High          | December 202  |

| te                        |  |
|---------------------------|--|
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
| 020 No Change to Secre    |  |
| 020 - No Change to Score. |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
| 020 - No Change to Score. |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
| 020 - No Change to Score. |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |
|                           |  |

|     |  |   |                      |              |            |             |               | Social Care Risk Register   |              |            |             |               |               |
|-----|--|---|----------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner           |              | Init       | tial Risl   | k Level       | Control Actions   |              | Curr       | rent Ris    | k Level       | Latest Update |
|     |  |   |                      | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 590 | Increased Care<br>Services absence<br>levels | RISK: If staff absence rates increase beyond<br>target levels then staffing levels will become<br>critical<br>CAUSE: Staff absence levels. Risk is enhanced<br>due to ageing profile of workforce, the equal pay<br>settlement and the impact of covid-19 including<br>staff required to shield or self-isolate.<br>EFFECT: Impact on capacity to deliver services,<br>impact to financial budgets to achieve acceptable<br>levels of service delivery. | Frances<br>McMeeking | 4            |            |             | High          | <ul> <li>Attendance Managment team established within HR. This team details all aspects of absence management, including the control and recording of all data in relation to absence.</li> <li>Management Information Systems detail reports to cover all aspects of absence management process. In addition, case reviews are held regularly.</li> <li>Management of Absence Action Plan plots progress in developments in this area and is reviewed annually.</li> <li>Heads of Service have established an attendance management group to review strategy and recommend updates and improvments with target for action plan</li> <li>Full briefing on new absence policy has been delivered via Toolbox Talks with supervisory and management staff.</li> <li>Data cleansing of attendance levels has been carried out</li> <li>Equal Pay project has reducing absence as an objective and is included in the group's action plan.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each care group has established Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings with their senior</li> </ul> | 4            |            | 16          | High          | December 202  |
| 553 | Failure of MAPPA<br>arrangements             | RISK: Glasgow MAPPA arrangements fail<br>CAUSE: Procedures not followed; staff not<br>appropriately trained; information security breach<br>EFFECT: risk of harm to Glasgow citizens from<br>registered sex offenders;<br>reputational/legal/financial impact to organisation.  | Susanne Millar       | 5            | 4          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>City-wide Criminal Justice SMT continues to meet regularly to oversee CJ practice.</li> <li>MAPPA Strategic Oversight Group meets every 3 months</li> <li>MAPPA Operational Group meets every 6 weeks</li> <li>MAPPA national guidance</li> <li>Multi agency Risk Register in place and standing item on the agenda of both meeting structures</li> <li>NASSO meeting every quarter with RSL providers</li> <li>Memorandum of Understanding in place between statutory agencies and reviewed annually</li> <li>Large scale Hampden event Feb 2020 with key partners sharing practice Additional training now rolled out</li> </ul>   | 5            | 3          | 15          | High          | December 202  |

#### APPENDIX A

ite

2020 - No Change to Score.

|     | Social Care Risk Register                 |   |                |              |            |                    |               |  |              |            |             |               |               |
|-----|---|---|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref | Title                                     | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner     |              | Initi      | ial Risk           | Level         | Control Actions  |              | Curr       | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update |
|     |   |   |                | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk<br>Level |  | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 554 | Failure of Child<br>Protection procedures | RISK: failure in the implementation of Child<br>Protection procedures and arrangements<br>CAUSE: Procedures are ineffective; procedures<br>are not followed; staff not appropriately trained;<br>information security breach; lack of/ineffective<br>governance<br>EFFECT: increased and/or avoidable risk/harm to<br>children and/or young people                                | Susanne Millar | 5            | 4          | 20                 | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Child Protection Committee and sub groups meet regularly</li> <li>Local area CP forums in place</li> <li>Quarterly meeting of Chief Officers group</li> <li>Management information produced and reviewed monthly at<br/>CP Quality Assurance Sub-group</li> <li>1/2 yearly LMR process overseen and coordinated by CP<br/>team</li> <li>ASM structure providing QA, monitoring and objectivity to<br/>local practice</li> <li>Robust single agency and multi agency training programme<br/>in place</li> <li>Weekly contingency planning arrangements with Heads of<br/>Service</li> <li>Home visit guidance issued</li> <li>Weekly data collation illustrating demands/trends</li> </ul>   | 5            | 3          | 15          | High          | December 2020 |
| 555 | Failure of Adult<br>Protection procedures | RISK: failure in the implementation of Adult<br>Protection procedures and arrangements<br>CAUSE: Procedures are ineffective; procedures<br>are not followed; staff not appropriately trained;<br>information security breach; lack of/ineffective<br>governance<br>EFFECT: increased or avoidable risk/harm to<br>vulnerable adults; reputational/legal/financial<br>implications | Susanne Millar | 5            | 4          | 20                 | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Adult Protection Committee and sub groups in place</li> <li>Local Area Adult Protection Forums and multi-agency Local<br/>Management Reviews embedded</li> <li>Quarterly meeting of Chief Officers Group</li> <li>ASP management information produced and reviewed<br/>quarterly at Adult Services Core leadership and Older People's<br/>clinical and care governance meetings</li> <li>ASM structure and multi-agency traiing programme in place</li> <li>Quality Assurance sub group of Adult Support and Protection<br/>Committee now in place, which monitors the work plan and<br/>highlights areas for further consideration</li> <li>Home visit guidance issued</li> <li>Weekly data collation illustrating demands/trends</li> </ul> |              | 3          | 15          | High          | December 2020 |

## APPENDIX A

| 4 |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| L | c |  |
|   |   |  |

2020 - No Change to Score.

|     |  |  |            |              |            |             |                       | Social Care Risk Register  |      |            |             |               |  |
|-----|--|--|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner |              | Init       | ial Risk    | <pre>&lt; Level</pre> | Control Actions  | C    | urre       | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update  |
|     |  |  |            | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level         |  | Ices | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  |
| 565 | Financial challenges<br>for external providers | RISK: The financial challenges faced by some<br>provider organisations (in particular those<br>providing sleepovers and those delivering care at<br>a low historical rate - at or below £15.20) and the<br>requirement for them to provide the Scottish Living<br>Wage has the potential to render them financially<br>unviable and result in them exiting the market.<br>CAUSE: Increasing costs on providers due to<br>increasing pension, NLW and SLW and sleepover<br>liabilities coupled with diminishing social care<br>budgets available from contracting authorities.<br>Increased costs due to the COVID-19 pandemic.<br>EFFECT: If providers exited the marker service<br>users would be impacted due to enforced change<br>of service provider – potentially with little or no<br>notice. There may not be sufficient availability<br>across other providers and whether they can take<br>the work on at relatively short notice due to the<br>recruitment and retention issues in social care.<br>Where accommodation are support are linked this<br>could result in the service user losing both their<br>home and familiar support. There may be an<br>increased financial cost to the partnership as a<br>result of this market change, there will also be a<br>need for increased care management and<br>commissioning activity. A further potential<br>resource impact is that there will be a need for<br>additional Care Management and Commissioning<br>resources to complete necessary assessment and<br>service reconfiguration tasks. Reputational Impact<br>will depend on the reasons given for the failure<br>and whether any relate to the conduct of the |            | 5            | 3          | 15          | High                  | <ul> <li>We are working closely with provider organisations to<br/>monitor impact and ensure continuity of services for our<br/>service users.</li> <li>We continue to ensure timeous regular payment to provider<br/>organisations - We have offered 3 SLW increases across all of<br/>the purchased service contracts on condition of payment of the<br/>SLW including for Sleepovers We continue to ensure that<br/>the payment of the additional funding for the Scottish Living<br/>Wage is made timeously</li> <li>We are developing a transformational change programme on<br/>overnight supports which will seek to offer an expanded range<br/>of options for providing overnight supports and reduce the<br/>reliance on sleepover support.</li> <li>Proof of concept work with providers wil lenable us to ensure<br/>that as far as possible we have lean processs in our dealings<br/>with providers and that we can co-produce new ways of<br/>working to ensure efficiency.</li> <li>Appeal of legal rulings on sleepover currently state it is not<br/>necessary to pay each hour worked at the NLW but the HMRC<br/>guidance has not yet changed.</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care<br/>Home Governance arrangements have been put in place<br/>across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board<br/>wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the<br/>NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home<br/>Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local<br/>Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the<br/>Interim Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from<br/>daily safety huddles that cover both directly provided and<br/>purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers<br/>continue to be engaged with providers and are actively<br/>involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.</li> </ul> | 5    | 3          | 15          | High          | December 2020<br>remain a risk fo<br>exacerbated thi<br>providers during |

#### APPENDIX A

020 - No Change to Score. Provider financial challenges < for the HSCP and the provider market. COVID has this - the HSCP has taken all reasonable actions to support ring this period.

|     |   |   |                |              |            |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |              |            |             |               |               |
|-----|---|---|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref | Title                                     | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner     |              | Initi      |             | k Level       | Control Actions  |              |            |             | k Level       | Latest Update |
|     |   |   |                | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 978 | Failure of Provider(s)<br>due to COVID-19 | RISK - Social Care providers significantly<br>impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and are<br>unable to continue operating.<br>CAUSE- Providers are operating under unique<br>and significantly detrimental conditions including<br>continuity of service being disrupted due to having<br>to focus on priority services only, there are<br>increased infection control measures and<br>associated costs, there is increased staff absence<br>and associated costs, there is reduced availability<br>of back-up staff and maintenance of each service<br>becomes increasingly difficult. In addition the<br>increased reporting pressures are stretching<br>limited resources.<br>EFFECT – Providers may be unable to safely staff<br>services which could lead to risk of harm to<br>service users, and failure of the provider. This<br>could lead to significant financial, legal and<br>reputation harm to the HSCP. |                | 5            |            | 15          | High          | <ul> <li>The HSCP has been working intensively with providers to ensure there is early identification of problems and early intervention</li> <li>The HSCP has committed to multi agency working with Health board and national agencies.</li> <li>The HSCP Executive Group is leading the Partnership response, and enhanced care home governance arrangements that have been put in place across the HSCP and GGC apply to both purchased and directly provided care homes.</li> <li>Ongoing adherence to COSLA guidance for commissioners during this period and financial support being introduced in a measured way.</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the Interim Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from daily safety huddles that cover both directly provided and purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has</li> </ul> | 5            | 3          |             | High          | December 202  |
| 548 | Failure of ICT security                   | RISK: Loss/misuse/breach of health and social<br>care data within our responsibility<br>CAUSE: IT system security failure, human error,<br>hostile actor (internal or external)<br>EFFECT: breach of confidentiality, a fine from the<br>Information Commissioner, reputational damage,<br>and potential harm to service users affecting<br>public and service user confidence  | Allison Eccles | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Information Security Governance via Information Security<br/>Board.</li> <li>Policies and guidance regularly updated and annual<br/>mandatory training provided via GOLD or leaflet.</li> <li>Information sharing protocol with NHSGG&amp;C has been<br/>updated and circulated for sign-off</li> <li>All ICT developments progressed through project<br/>management methodology which includes risk logs and Data<br/>Protection Impact Assessments are undertaken as required.</li> <li>The majority of devices are now encrypted and authorisation<br/>process in place for unencrypted devices.</li> <li>Secure email and Objective Connect available for secure<br/>data sharing</li> <li>Secure email blueprint (including TLS) now implemented</li> <li>Protective Marking to be rolled out in SWS in 2019</li> <li>Site and Information Security Audit programme in place for<br/>SWS establishments and services</li> <li>Containment process in place for accidental email breach</li> <li>Staff briefings on data protection (GDPR) and information<br/>security briefings issued regularly</li> <li>Use of is2a and/or is2b procedure and forms for staff<br/>removing data from offices</li> </ul>   | 4            | 3          | 12          | High          | December 202  |

#### APPENDIX A

ate

2020 - No Change to Score.

|     |  |  |                      |              |            |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |              |            |             |               |              |
|-----|--|--|----------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner           |              | -          | tial Risl   | -             | Control Actions  |              | _          |             | k Level       | Latest Updat |
|     |  |  |                      | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |              |
| 544 | Failure to meet Health<br>& Safety statutory<br>requirements | RISK: Failure to meet statutory Health & Safety<br>requirements<br>CAUSE: Personnel fail to follow procedures;<br>personal are not appropriately trained<br>EFFECT: major loss of service through<br>establishment fire, major catastrophe or infections<br>or singular catastrophic incidents which could<br>result in death or serious injury of service users<br>and/or staff.                | Christina<br>Heuston | 5            | 4          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Service is a member of the Council's Asbestos Strategic<br/>Management Group that montors actions regarding the<br/>management of Asbestos. The Service has appointed a<br/>Health and Safety Co-ordinator who actively monitors the<br/>arrangements for the control of Asbestos, Service Control of<br/>Abestos Management Standard issues June 2014</li> <li>The Service has replaced a number of older buildings<br/>containing Asbestos with new buildings (asbestos banned<br/>from use in new buildings) reducing the possible esxposure.</li> <li>Departmental Health &amp; Safety Policy &amp; manuals</li> <li>Fire safety management system.</li> <li>H&amp;S risk assessment processes, e.g. fire, legionella, alarms<br/>etc.</li> <li>H&amp;S respond to all audit and inspection requirements.</li> <li>Emergency procedures in place for all service user<br/>accommodation</li> <li>Range of H&amp;S training in place e.g. Fire Wardens, Manual<br/>Handling etc.</li> <li>Regular Fire and Alarms Equipment testing with contracts for<br/>maintenance and checks in place.</li> <li>Monitoring of claims.</li> <li>Managing Violence at Work Policy Document and monitoring<br/>of Violent Incident reports, this monitoring has identified the<br/>need to review the Violence training for Fieldwork staff, this<br/>review is currently underway with a target date of 6 weeks</li> <li>Legionella risk managed with the assistance of CGI.</li> </ul> |              | 3          | 12          | High          | December 20  |
| 547 | Impact of failure of<br>third parties and<br>partners        | RISK: contractor/partner arrangements fail<br>CAUSE: political and socio-economic factors;<br>providers' financial position; failure to comply with<br>regulatory/legislative changes<br>EFFECT: failure to deliver services appropriately<br>with a provider or other agencies leading to a<br>failure to care/protect service users; increased<br>demand on resources; financial implications. | Susanne Milla        | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Contract Management Framework.</li> <li>Contractor Risk Ratings Matrix.</li> <li>Procurement activity undertaken in accordance with written agreed procedures.</li> <li>All contractual arrangements over the approved thresholds referred to appropriate committee for approval.</li> <li>Ensuring providers/other agencies have health and safety procedures/arrangements in place</li> <li>Regular meetings with key providers regarding strategic provider related issues</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the Interim Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from daily safety huddles that cover both directly provided and purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.</li> </ul>   | 4            | 3          | 12          | High          | December 20  |

#### APPENDIX A

ate

2020 - No Change to Score.

|      |   |   |            |              |            |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |              |            |             |               |               |
|------|---|---|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ref  | Title   | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner |              | Initi      | ial Risk    | Level         | Control Actions  |              | Curr       | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update |
|      |   |   |            | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |               |
| 1346 | CRT/Locality Review<br>Programme                          | RISK: There is a risk of failure to complete the<br>agreed review programme across the CRT and<br>localities by end of January 2021 due to number<br>of challenging factors.<br>CAUSE: Impact of Covid 19, Staffing levels<br>(secondments, turnover, vacancies), reduced<br>ability to carry out face to face assessments<br>related to COVID pandemic which is a particular<br>issue with more complex cases. The remit of the<br>team was expanded to progress the work around<br>the transformational change agenda in relation to<br>overnight care, which subsequently added to the<br>overall remit, and added to the considerable<br>number of complex assessments the CRT and<br>localities had then to take forward. The<br>transformational change agenda around overnight<br>care has been impacted by the need to progress<br>contractual agreements and has also been<br>impacted by the COVID pandemic related to<br>current provider recruitment challenges.<br>EFFECT: Not being able to conclude the review<br>programme as per the current workplan. Not<br>progressing options for TEC to support care<br>planning as far as anticipated. There are potential<br>financial implications for this workplan which may<br>have an impact on provider sustainability. |            | 4            | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>A revised workplan has been agreed which prioritised providers with the least sustainable rated for review. These reviews are being progressed by locality and CRT staff as part of the review programme. This work is being coordinated and overseen by the CRT Service Manager. Named staff members from each of the localities have been identified to support the CRT review programme.</li> <li>A Performance Management programme is in place to monitor progress and this is regularly reported to the ACO Adult Services and Finance which feeds into the ITB.</li> <li>The Commissioning Team are part of the CRT and there is ongoing interface with the Commissioning Team.</li> <li>A Recovery Plan for the CRT has been put in place and this is under review given lockdown in early 2021.</li> <li>CRT staff (and locality staff) have been carrying out assessments remotely to continue to work to the timescales and face to face where we can taking account of COVID guidelines.</li> </ul> | 4            | 3          | 12          | High          | January 2021  |
| 572  | Failure of Carefirst<br>Disaster Recovery<br>arrangements | RISK: Interim DR solution for Carefirst may not<br>operate as expected<br>CAUSE: Interim DR solution cannot be tested<br>without either extended downtime or considerable<br>cost<br>EFFECT: Major disruption to operations, essential<br>information not available possibly leading to harm<br>for service users, staff or the public and/or failure<br>to carry out statutory duties  |            | 4            | 3          | 12          | High          | <ul> <li>Continuing to liaise with SIT regarding implementation of a more robust and tested solution</li> <li>An interim business case has been approved for a replacement for careFirst which will address DR arrangements</li> </ul>   | 4            | 3          | 12          | High          | December 202  |
| 571  | ,   | RISK: Service user(s) community alarms do not<br>function as required due to telephone line being<br>switched from analogue to digital CAUSE:<br>Telephone providers such as BT/Virgin switching<br>customer line from analogue to digital, Provider<br>not having information about which customers<br>have community alarms that rely on telephone line<br>being analogue EFFECT: service user unable to<br>activate alarm, service user comes to serious<br>harm or fatality, significant reputational, legal and<br>financial harm to the organisation, loss of trust<br>from public on the effectiveness of community<br>alarms, may impact on delivery strategic priorities<br>of the organisation.   |            | 5            | 3          | 15          | High          | <ul> <li>Service has shared telephone numbers of all community alarm service users with the telephone providers (BT, Virgin etc) to ensure their records are correct and up to date.</li> <li>Service has written to all Community Alarm service users to advise that if they are changing their telephone provider they must let them know that there is an alarm dependant on the line and it cannot be converted to a digital line otherwise their alarm will not function properly</li> </ul>  | 5            | 2          | 10          | High          | December 202  |

| ate                        |  |
|----------------------------|--|
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
| 1 - New risk added         |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
| 2020 - No Change to Score. |  |
| .020 - NO Change to Scole. |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
| 2020 - No Change to Score. |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |
|                            |  |

|      | 1  |   |                    | _            |            |             |               | ct of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (23 December 2020)   |              |            |             |            |             |
|------|--|---|--------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
|      |  |   |                    |              | -          | ial Risk    | c Level       |   |              |            |             | Risk Level |             |
| Ref  | Title  | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner         | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level | Control Actions   | Consequences | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk Level | Latest Upda |
| 867  | Patient Flow   | The process of patient assessment, care<br>management and ultimate movement to<br>community based services is slowed due to<br>lack of available community resources,<br>leading to blockage.<br>The ability to move patients between<br>medium, low and community services and<br>within acute and rehab functions, coupled<br>with the continues pressure to admit from<br>TSH in relation to the appeals procedure,<br>serverely impacts on our ability to respond to<br>needs from prisons and other health boards<br>within WOS. This is compounded by the<br>WOS financial model which in itself brings its<br>own challenges. Now having to use OOATS<br>to manage the increasing demand for beds. |                    | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | 21/12/20 - Patient transfers/assessments heavily impacted by<br>COVID-19 pandemic throughout 2020. Patients subject to<br>CPA particularly affected in terms of guidance around<br>supported accommodation. OOA placements still a feature of<br>transition/transfer processes. Risk and Litigation Manager in<br>weekly contact with Bed Manager regarding transfer updates. | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very High  | December 2  |
| 2800 | Waiting list<br>pressures                              | Gender, SCASS, Sexual Problem Service,<br>Abortion Care & Urgent Care. We are the<br>regional provider for deep implant services<br>and demand for LARC remains high. COVID<br>19 overall reduced capacity within the<br>service. This has led to issues with access<br>for all patients. Significant pressure on the<br>vasectomy service due to a back log of ops.<br>All of the above will add to and adverse<br>patient experience, delayed diagnosis and<br>treatment, staff stress, risk of complaints and<br>reputational damage.  | Jennifer Schofield | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | 23/12/20 - Waiting list pressures remain in the Gender<br>service and Counselling service. The impact of COVID has<br>significantly increased patient waits. A plan to look at a<br>strategy for both of these services is needed to see how to<br>overcome the waiting time challenges.  | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very High  | December 2  |
| 2801 | First Aid at Work<br>Certification for<br>Page Holders | Pageholders First Aid at Work course is<br>currently not available due to Covid and<br>pageholders across Leverndale Hospital are<br>due refresher training.  | Colin MacDonald    | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very High  | December 2  |
| 2803 | Business Critical                                      | Areas of concern. Accommodation that is not<br>fit for purpose. Reduced staffing levels.<br>Issues with IT provision and infrastructure.<br>Loss of domestic services. Loss of the<br>telephone service. Pharmacy supply issues.<br>Loss of lab. COVID 19 Concerns regarding<br>infection control.  | Jennifer Schofield | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | 23/12/20 - Sandyford Central accommodation is on the<br>HSCP agenda as priority. Staffing levels are monitored and<br>clinics planned accordingly based on the accommodation<br>available to us. More of a challenge currently due to social<br>distancing for COVID. Use of our external sites in Woodside,<br>Parkhead, Paisley and Clydebank are key to this.              | 5            | 5          | 25          | Very High  | December 2  |
| 2456 | Psychological<br>Therapies                             | Risk of deterioration of clients health due to lack of psychology services  | Katrina Phillips   | 5            | 4          | 20          | Very<br>High  | 23/12/20 - Risk unchanged   | 5            | 4          | 20          | Very High  | December 2  |

| date                           |
|--------------------------------|
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |
|                                |
|                                |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
|                                |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |
|                                |
|                                |

|      |   |  |                  |   |   |    |              | ct of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (23 December 2020)   |   |   |    |            |             |
|------|---|--|------------------|---|---|----|--------------|---|---|---|----|------------|-------------|
|      |   |  |                  |   | - |    | sk Level     |   |   | - |    | Risk Level |             |
| 2413 | Supply of<br>Continence<br>Products                         | Ontex have the contract for the continence<br>containment products, and we are aware of<br>difficulties they are having with the contract –<br>around financial viability.<br>Also in January 2019 there was an issue with<br>care homes not getting their orders and<br>delivery drivers saying that the warehouses<br>were empty – the products come over from<br>Belgium – and there had to be some last<br>minute deliveries over the weekend to<br>patients.<br>The lack of products have an impact on<br>patient care in the community and in Care<br>Homes. | Anne Mitchell    | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very High  | December 20 |
| 1416 | Shortage of<br>Staff  | Shortage of staff in homeless families team leading to inability to meet service demands.  | Karin O'Hagan    | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - NTL appointed,start date 11/01/20.<br>1 x B6 Physical Health Nurse and 3 x B5 CPN have been<br>advertised and currently being shortlisted. All of those posts<br>with the exception of 1 CPN are additional resources; that<br>includes the full time NTL post which was previously 0.5 wte.<br>The team is currently adequately staffed with Bank Nurse<br>resource; this will remain until all of the new posts are in<br>place. | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very High  | December 20 |
| 1428 | Prescribing costs   | Prescribing costs exceeding the allocated budget threatening HSCP services   | Richard Groden   | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 4 | 4 | 16 | High       | December 20 |
| 1709 | Specialist<br>Sexual Health<br>Services                     | There is a risk that there is not enough<br>medical and nursing cover for specialist<br>sexual health services and gender identity<br>services and no ability to fill the gap leading<br>to restrictions of service which would  | Rhoda MacLeod    | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - Plan is to recruit a permanent GU consultant post.<br>Staffing levels in SHS have improved. Staffing levels in<br>gender identity remain a challenge resulting in excessive<br>waiting times for patients. The plan for early 2021 is to look a<br>service development options for gender and highlight the<br>need for a national strategy.   |   | 4 | 16 | High       | December 20 |
| 1511 | GP practices  | Glasgow City HSCP may experience local<br>GMS practice unable to fulfil its contractual<br>obligations requiring intervention and support<br>sometimes at short notice   | Richard Groden   | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 3 | 4 | 12 | High       | December 20 |
| 1708 | Winter Planning<br>Primary Care                             |  | Richard Groden   | 4 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 3 | 4 | 12 | High       | December 20 |
| 2672 | Severe service<br>disruption due to<br>Covid-19<br>pandemic | Due to nationwide restrictions in force<br>regarding restriction of contact with others<br>patients may not receive requested<br>intervention in a timeous fashion. Staffing<br>levels will also be impacted by self-isolation<br>restrictions in force.   | Colin MacDonald  | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 3 | 4 | 12 | High       | December 20 |
| 2072 | Severe service<br>disruption due to<br>Covid-19<br>pandemic | Due to nationwide restrictions in force<br>regarding restriction of contact with others<br>patients may not receive requested<br>intervention in a timeous fashion. Staffing<br>levels will also be impacted by self-isolation<br>restrictions in force.   | Colin MacDonald  | 5 | 4 | 20 | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 3 | 4 | 12 | High       | December 20 |
| 1048 | Psychological<br>Therapies                                  | Risk of targets not continuing to be met because of increase in workoad.   | Katrina Phillips | 4 | 4 | 16 | High         | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 4 | 4 | 16 | High       | December 20 |
| 2457 | Adult<br>Admissions   | Risk of demand exceeding capacity for adult admission beds   | Katrina Phillips | 4 | 4 | 16 | High         | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 4 | 4 | 16 | High       | December 20 |

| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
|                                |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
| 2020 - no change to the risk   |  |
| r 2020 - no change to the risk |  |
|                                |  |

|        |                        |  |                    |   |      |         | Extra        | ct of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (23 December 2020)   |   |   |        |            |            |
|--------|------------------------|--|--------------------|---|------|---------|--------------|---|---|---|--------|------------|------------|
|        |                        |  |                    |   | Init | ial Ris | k Level      |   |   | C | urrent | Risk Level |            |
| ·)g(\/ |                        | Likely to affect other areas of service in<br>HSCP   | Jennifer Schofield | 4 | 4    | 16      | High         | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 4 | 4 | 16     | High       | December 2 |
| 1423   |                        | Critical failure of care leading to harm to<br>service user (including suicide, child<br>protection, adult support and<br>protection,clinical standards and inspections)                             | Julia Egan         | 3 | 5    | 15      | High         | 23/12/20 - No update. For discussion at Chief Nurses' meeting with Risk & Litigation Manager on 12/01/21.   | 3 | 4 | 12     | High       | December 2 |
| 1708   |                        | Seasonal difficulties for GP practices which<br>may occur due to severe weather conditions,<br>staff shortages and increased demands   | Richard Groden     | 4 | 4    | 16      | High         | 23/12/20 - No further update made to this risk  | 3 | 4 | 12     | High       | December 2 |
|        |                        | Shortage of staff - team leader -<br>compromising ability to deliver service   | Lisa Ross          | 5 | 4    | 20      | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - NTL (F/T) appointed, due to start 11/01/21. Risk reduced - MODERATE.   | 3 | 3 | 9      | Medium     | December 2 |
| 1670   |                        | There is a risk that there is not enough<br>medical or nursing cover for Sexual Assault<br>Examinations provided by Archway and that<br>contracted forensic Physicians are unable to<br>fill the gap | Rhoda MacLeod      | 5 | 4    | 20      | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - Staffing levels are improving with recruitment of more medical and nursing staff as we develop the agreed regional service model. Risk reduced from High to Moderate.  | 3 | 3 | 9      | Medium     | December 2 |
|        | Pressures on telephone | Phone access to the service is a priority provision. Inability to access appointments,   | Rhoda Macleod      | 5 | 4    | 20      | Very<br>High | 23/12/20 - Switchboard staffing a priority within the admin<br>service. The telephone service is supported by the Board<br>wide contract. Online appointments have been increased<br>and are well used by patients. The plan will be to increase<br>further post COVID. Risk reduced. | 3 | 3 | 9      | Medium     | December 2 |

| r | 2020 | - no | change | to | the | risk |  |
|---|------|------|--------|----|-----|------|--|

er 2020 - no change to the risk

er 2020 - no change to the risk

er 2020 - Risk score has been reduced from high to moderate

per 2020 - Risk score has been reduced from high to moderate

per 2020 - Risk score has been reduced from high to moderate