

**Glasgow City
Integration Joint Board
Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee**

Report By: Sharon Wearing, Chief Officer Finance & Resources

Contact: Allison Eccles, Head of Business Development

Phone: 0141 287 6724

Risk Management Quarterly Update (Q3 2021/22)

Purpose of Report:

The purpose of this report is to provide an update to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee on the status of the risk registers being maintained within the Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership.

This report covers the review carried out in respect of changes to risk in Quarter 3 (Q3) (1 October 2021 to 31 December 2021).

Background/Engagement:

The risk registers maintained within the Partnership are required to be regularly reviewed and updated by the relevant risk owners and risk managers and reported to this Committee on a quarterly basis.

Governance Route:

The matters contained within this paper have been previously considered by the following group(s) as part of its development.

HSCP Senior Management Team ☒

Council Corporate Management Team ☐

Health Board Corporate Management Team ☐

Council Committee ☐

Update requested by IJB ☐

Other ☐ (please note below)

Not Applicable ☐

Recommendations:

The IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:

a) note this report, and

b) note the highest risks on the Integration Joint Board, Social Care and Health Risk Registers at the end of Quarter 3 2021/22.

OFFICIAL

Relevance to Integration Joint Board Strategic Plan:

Risks to the delivery of the Strategic Plan are identified in the IJB Risk Register

Implications for Health and Social Care Partnership:

Reference to National Health & Wellbeing Outcome:	
--	--

	The maintenance of a risk management framework within the Partnership aligns with Outcome 9 (Resources are used effectively and efficiently in the provision of health and social care services).
--	---

Personnel:	
-------------------	--

	Risks with a potential impact on staff are identified in the risk registers.
--	--

Carers:	
----------------	--

	N/A
--	-----

Provider Organisations:	
--------------------------------	--

	Risks in relation to Provider Organisations are identified in the risk registers.
--	---

Equalities:	
--------------------	--

	N/A
--	-----

Fairer Scotland Compliance:	
------------------------------------	--

	N/A
--	-----

Financial:	
-------------------	--

	Risks with a potential financial impact are identified in the risk registers.
--	---

Legal:	
---------------	--

	Risks with a potential legal impact are identified in the risk registers.
--	---

Economic Impact:	
-------------------------	--

	N/A
--	-----

Sustainability:	
------------------------	--

	N/A
--	-----

Sustainable Procurement and Article 19:	
--	--

	N/A
--	-----

Risk Implications:	
---------------------------	--

	Risks to the delivery of the Strategic Plan are identified in the IJB Risk Register
--	---

Implications for Glasgow City Council:	
---	--

	Risk implications to Glasgow City Council are detailed in the Social Care risk register
--	---

Implications for NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde:	
--	--

	Risk implications to Glasgow City Council are detailed in the Social Care risk register
--	---

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

1. Purpose

- 1.1. The purpose of this report is to provide an update to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee on the status of the risk registers being maintained within the Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership.
- 1.2. This report covers the review carried out in respect of changes to risk in Quarter 3 (Q3) (1 October 2021 to 31 December 2021).

2. Integration Joint Board Risk Register

- 2.1. The Integration Joint Board Risk Register is maintained, updated, and reported in line with the Glasgow City IJB Risk Management Policy.
- 2.2. There were no existing risks where the current risk level increased or decreased during Q3.
- 2.3. There was 1 risk added to the register during Q3:
 - *Ref 1731: Delivery of 2021-22 Savings Targets*. Risk has been created to replace risk 0943. This risk is for the financial year of 2021-22.
- 2.4. There was 1 risk removed from this risk register during Q3:
 - *Ref 0943: Delivery of 2020-21 Savings Targets*. This risk has now been closed as it relates to the financial year of 2020-21.
- 2.5. At the conclusion of the January 2022 review there were **10** live risks on the register, with **2** risks having a current risk level of 'Very High', **5** risks with a risk level of 'High', **2** risk with a risk level of 'Medium' and **1** risk with a risk level of 'Low'.
- 2.6. All risks with a current risk level of 'Very High' or 'High' are reviewed every quarter, and are shown in Appendix A.
- 2.7. Risks with a current risk level of 'Medium' or 'Low' can be reviewed less regularly in line with the risk management policy. All of these were reviewed this quarter.
- 2.8. The final quarterly review of the IJB Risk Register in 2021/22 is scheduled to be carried out in April 2022.

3. Social Care Risk Register

- 3.1. The Social Care Risk Register is maintained, updated, and reported in line with the Glasgow City Council Risk Management Policy and Guidance. It should be noted that the Council uses different criteria for risk scoring, therefore risks assessed as Very High by the Council may not be by the IJB and NHS GGC.
- 3.2. There was 1 new risk added to the register in Q3.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

- 3.3. There were no risks removed from this register during Q3.
- 3.4. No risks on this register were increased during Q3.
- 3.5. There were 2 risks on the register where the residual probability score was decreased.
- *Ref 0569: Unsuitability or failure of ICT systems.* Residual probability has decreased from 5 (almost certain) to 4 (likely) due to having the Programme Board in place.
 - *Ref 0546: Disruption to HSCP services.* The residual probability score was reduced at the end of September from 5 (almost certain) to 4 (likely). This risk was reduced due to the Business Continuity planning activity that has taken place in 2021.
- 3.6. At the conclusion of the Q3 review there were **32** 'live' risks on the register, with **14** risks having a current risk level of 'Very High, **9** risks with a risk level of High, **6** with a risk level of Medium and **3** risks with a risk level of 'Low'.
- 3.7. All risks with a current risk level of 'Very High' or 'High' are reviewed every quarter, and displayed in Appendix A
- 3.8. Items with a current risk level of 'Medium' or 'Low' can be reviewed less regularly in line with the risk management policy. All of these items were reviewed this quarter.
- 3.9. The final quarterly review of the Social Care Risk Register in 2021/22 is scheduled to be carried out in April 2022.

4. Health Risk Register

- 4.1. The Glasgow City Health Risk Register is currently maintained, updated, and reported in line with the NHS GGC Risk Management Policy, and collates the most significant risks as identified in locality and service risk registers. This report details the outcome of the review carried out at the end of Q3.
- 4.2. There were 2 risks added to the Health Risk Register during Q3:
- *Ref 3084: Serious Adverse Event Reviews (SAERs).* Risk has been created due to lack of capacity to complete SAERs within timescales. This risk is 'Very High'.
 - *Ref 3143: Inpatients – Medical Staffing.* This risk has been created due to recruitment challenges in medical staffing which is impacting on capacity to manage beds across two sites. This risk is 'Very High'.
- 4.3. There were no risks where the current risk level increased or decreased during Q3.
- 4.4. The risks on the Health Risk Register that were 'Very High' and 'High' after the Q3 review are shown in Appendix A.
- 4.5. The next quarterly review of the Health Risk Register is scheduled to be carried out in April 2022.

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

5. Recommendations

5.1. The IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:

- a) note this report; and
- b) note the highest risks on the Integration Joint Board, Social Care and Health Risk Registers at the end of Quarter 3 2021/22.

IJB Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
524	Level of savings required in 2019/20 and beyond	RISK: Inability to deliver appropriate level of essential services due to required level of savings CAUSE: Required level of savings in the Budget Service Plan in 2019/20 and beyond EFFECT: Unable to meet demand for services, failing to ensure safety and prevent harm to service user, failing to meet statutory requirements, failing to deliver part or all of the Strategic Plan	Sharon Wearing	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, ITB, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB Transformation Programme for the HSCP in place, with a range of programmes identified to support delivery of Strategic Plan within allocated budgets HSCP will actively engage with Partner Bodies in budget planning process for 2019-20 and beyond identifying dependencies and risks associated with any proposals. Impact of responding to COVID-19 on plans for savings and delivery of transformation programmes will continue to be monitored. Medium Term financial forecasting also undertaken to enable requirements for savings to be assessed over the medium term and to inform planning assumptions. A financial tracker on covid-19 related expenditure (as part of the Local Mobilisation Plan) is updated on a 4 weekly basis, and submitted to the Scottish Government every 4 weeks. 	4	5	20	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk score. Additional text added to mitigation and control " Medium Term financial forecasting also undertaken to enable requirements for savings to be assessed over the medium term and to inform planning assumptions".
1731	Delivery of 2021-22 Savings Targets	RISK: Unable to deliver 2021-22 savings targets CAUSE: Due to key resources being diverted to responding to COVID-19 and the impact COVID-19 is also having on demand, areas targeted for delivery of savings in 2021-22 are now at risk EFFECT: Savings targets will not be deliverable resulting in overspends occurring in 2021-22 and beyond	Sharon Wearing	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, ITB, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB Delivery of savings will continue to be tracked and monitored by the Transformation Programme Board HSCP will actively engage with the Scottish Government on the impact of responding to COVID-19 Impact of responding to COVID-19 on plans for savings and delivery of transformation programmes will continue to be monitored 	4	5	20	Very High	January 2022 - New risk. This has been raised for the financial year 2021-22

IJB Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
512	Delivery of Strategic Plan within budget	RISK: The IJB is unable to budget within allocated resources CAUSE: Cost of delivery is higher than budgeted resources made available EFFECT: The IJB is unable to deliver on the Strategic Plan	Sharon Wearing	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Integration Scheme details the actions to be taken in the event of this and furthermore the contingency arrangements should parent bodies be unable/unwilling to provide additional funding• Transformation Programme for the HSCP in place, with a range of programmes identified to support delivery of Strategic Plan within allocated budgets• Governance / reporting mechanisms for Transformation Programmes are in place• Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB• A Medium Term Financial Outlook is also completed which assesses the financial resources required to deliver the strategic plan whilst delivering financial balance for the IJB• The impact of responding to COVID-19 on delivery of the Strategic Plan will continue to be assessed.• As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each care group has established	4	4	16	High	January 2022 - No change to risk score. Additional text added to mitigation and control " A Medium Term Financial Outlook is also completed which assesses the financial resources required to deliver the strategic plan whilst delivering financial balance for the IJB".

IJB Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
513	Resources required for integration	RISK: The organisation cannot support the volume of resource required to establish effective integrated arrangements CAUSE: Staff and key individuals with existing operational roles and responsibilities are diverted to integration activities. Further to this, there is a challenge to recruit staff EFFECT: Existing organisational priorities and delivery are delayed or compromised, resulting in not delivering Strategic Plan	Sharon Wearing	4	4	16	High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Workload and resource monitoring continues to be undertaken across the partnership (for example, through one-to-one supervision)• Ongoing review of support (including work undertaken and resources being used) required for integrated arrangements• Workforce Planning sub-group meets fortnightly to review workforce planning matters (including requests to fill vacancies)• Implications of the need to re-divert resources to respond to COVID-19 on the ability to continue progress on integration of services will continue to be monitored.• As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each care group has established Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings with their senior staff which meet regularly. All additional emergency governance arrangements that have been put in place are line with, and engage with, emergency command and control structures that have been established in NHS GGC and	4	4	16	High	January 2022 - No change to risk
934	Deliverability of Primary Care Improvement Plan (PCIP)	RISK: Failure to deliver transformation of Primary Care services as specified in the Primary Care Improvement Plan (PCIP) CAUSE: Affordability, shortage of resources (qualified staff, suitable accommodation), lack of appropriate digital solution to support plan, unable to maintain sustainability, unable to quantify evidence of impact EFFECT: Impact on the delivery of the IJB's Strategic Plan and priorities resulting in negative impact on service users and patients and possible reputational or financial impact to the IJB.	Susanne Millar	4	4	16	High	A number of measures being taken to mitigate the lack of qualified staff include: Phasing recruitment Making local vacancy approval processes more efficient Developing alternative skill mix models Recruiting into trainee posts and supporting less experienced staff to obtain necessary experience.	4	4	16	High	January 2022 - No change to risk

IJB Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
942	Financial Implications of Responding to COVID-19	RISK: The organisation does not receive sufficient funding to fully meet the costs of responding to COVID-19 CAUSE: If Scottish Government funding is not received at the IJB's assessed required level. EFFECT: If full funding is not received this will impact on the funding available to deliver on the IJB's Strategic Plan and the delivery of core services to service users.	Sharon Wearing	4	4	16	High	•All costs associated with responding to COVID-19 are being tracked •IJB is actively engaging with Scottish Government and providing regular updates on the associated costs •Governance arrangements are in place re approval and monitoring of costs •IJB is actively engaging with third and independent sector in relation to their associated costs. •A financial tracker on covid-19 related expenditure (as part of the Local Mobilisation Plan) is updated on a 4 weekly basis, and submitted to the Scottish Government every 4 weeks. •Scottish Government Covid funding received for 2020/21	3	4	12	High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
1596	Mental Health Officer shortage	RISK: Pressure on MHO activity due to on-going vacancies and staff turnover CAUSE: Issue due to recruitment authorisation process/PVG/reference checks and is under constant review. EFFECT: Ongoing challenges in meeting our statutory demands under the Adult with Incapacity and Mental Health Act in relations to MHO responsibilities and ongoing operational and governance monitoring of the situation to ensure current deployment of the MHO resource is as efficient as possible.	Jackie Kerr	5	5	25	Very High	• MHO pressures in Social Work, this is due to increased demand and ability to recruit • We are encouraging existing staff to undertake MHO training and attempting to recruit additional staff and reviewing our duty system. We have made good progress this year in encouraging staff to undertake training and have both recruited and trained additional MHOs which is having a positive impact however the pressures and risks remain. Ongoing a recruitment and training drive and a commitment through SG monies and winter pressure monies to increase the MHO complement into 2022.	5	5	25	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk score. Update made to mitigation and control to detail training and recruitment.
559	Impact of Welfare Reform on demand for services	RISK: There is an increased demand for social work services due to Welfare Reform affecting working age adults, COVID19 related financial hardship and the roll-out of new Scottish Benefits. CAUSE: Implementation of welfare reforms including benefit cap and universal credit. Financial hardship related to COVID19. Knowledge deficit around new Scottish Benefits EFFECT: Increased deprivation for citizens, reduced ability to meet demands on our services	Susanne Millar	5	5	25	Very High	• Contribution to the corporate welfare reform group and benefit cap working group. • Effective communications with service users and other stakeholders • Welfare Rights advice and support given to 437 referrals from those who were shielding because of COVID19. • Process of engaging with service users who have Social Security tribunals enhanced to prepare and support them with the COVID19 move to video and telephone hearings. • Welfare Reform training delivered to HSCP staff and 3rd sector and provided via Microsoft Teams. • Training on Social Security system and referral pathways given to Glasgow Helps staff supporting those struggling financially due to COVID19. • Monthly briefings on Universal Credit sent to Welfare Rights Officers/Money Advisors. • Training widely provided on changes impacting EEA Nationals and scope and timetable of roll-out of new Scottish Benefits	4	5	20	Very High	January 2022 - Risk description updated to reflect that pension age adults have not been as affected by welfare reforms as anticipated.

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
567	Impact of National Abuse Inquiry	RISK: that the Scottish Child Abuse Inquiry could result in adverse legal, financial, reputational and operational impacts to the Service. CAUSE: These could arise from: - being unable to provide historical information requested by the Inquiry being perceived as the Service being ineffective or deliberately obstructive - the level of resources required to provide an appropriate response to the Inquiry's initial information request not being available/sustainable without impact on business as usual activity - an increase in claims for compensation being made due to increased media coverage of the Inquiry's processes - staff and service users required to provide evidence experiencing an adverse emotional impact as a result of recalling experiences which were, or perceived to be, traumatic. EFFECT: Reputational damage, financial/cost implications	Susanne Millar	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Internal team established to manage our input to the Inquiry. This team will liaise with the PR office accordingly.Internal team includes legal representatives in order that we manage any claims.Ongoing monitoring and review of resources utilised to facilitate the Inquiry.Existing employee support mechanisms through HR.Existing health and social care support services for service users.	4	5	20	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
546	Disruption to HSCP services	RISK: Failure of, or disruption to, facilities, infrastructure or staff affecting delivery of mainstream and out-of-hours services. CAUSE: Exceptional, one-off and unexpected events leading to loss of staff, loss of building, loss of access to building, loss of supplier or loss of infrastructure. EFFECT: service users across the city don't receive a service for a period of time that leads to reputational, financial, legal and/or public protection impact.	Susanne Millar	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Industrial Relations Strategy in placeMonthly meetings at Director level with senior Trade Union officialsBusiness Continuity Reps identified in each service areaThe HSCP Business Continuity Forum is chaired by the Council's BCC Champion (Head of Business Development) and is attended by representatives from all HSCP services. The Forum is currently meeting monthly in advance of COP26 scheduled for November 2020.Business Impact Analyses have been reviewed and completed across the HSCPBusiness Continuity Plans for localities have been reviewed and completed across the HSCPExecutive Group has assumed role of SIMT and has increased frequency since March 2020 in response to the covid-19 pandemic and the impact on services.As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staff side. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and Staff side representation. Each care group has established	4	4	16	Very High	January 2022 - Residual score was reduced in late September from 5 (almost certain) to 4 (likely). The reduction is due to Business Continuity planning taking place in 2021.
552	Failure to deliver Budget & Service Plan and service reform outcomes	RISK: the Department's service reform and Budget and Service Plan programmes fail to deliver the required outcomes in terms of delivery of statutory duties; service modernisation and financial savings. CAUSE: EFFECT: necessitating potential drastic and unplanned cuts in order to realise the savings requirements thereby leaving services and service users vulnerable.	Sharon Wearing	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Fortnightly Integration Transformation Board meetingsWeekly Executive Group meetings to approve critical progress issuesCSWO led SMT's in both Adult and Children and family Services review and progressPerformance Management Framework incorporating City-wide, local and care group performance reportingRegular planned and structured liaison with providers re: changesService User engagementTrade Union liaison at strategic and local levels	4	4	16	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
568	Workforce planning/reduction	RISK: that reduced staffing levels and loss of skilled staff might compromise the Service's ability to deliver services and carry out its statutory duties, including: - Services to LA and LAAC children; - MHO duties; - Duties under S22 of the Children Scotland Act 1995; - Provision of children's hearings reports and reports to Court; - Duties in relation to Adults with Incapacity legislation; - Duties in relation to S12 of the Social Work Scotland Act 1968. CAUSE: number of vacant posts. turnover of staff, length of time taken to recruit staff across both GCC and NHS GGC EFFECT: service users not receiving services they're entitled to, and which leaves them at increased risk.	Susanne Millar	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Trade Union liaison at strategic and local levels.• HSCP Workforce Planning Sub-group and Board chaired by Chief Officer (Finance & Resources) which feeds directly into the Executive Group and Leadership Team. It comprises Chief Officer (Planning, Strategy & Commissioning/CSWO), Chief Officer (Operations) and HR reps from SWS and NHS. Chief Officer (Planning, Strategy & Commissioning/CSWO) advises group of any potential risks in relation to staffing reductions.• Local performance management and supervision systems in place.• Workforce planning arrangements for care groups being finalised.• Training and development programme for MHOs in place.• New AWI protocols agreed at HSCP and SWS Governance Groups• Regular updated workforce planning monitoring reports (by Locality) for all care groups in place.	4	4	16	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk
566	Loss of access to VISOR	RISK: Service loses access to Visor CAUSE: changes to the vetting requirements for new and existing VISOR users at a national level which are incompatible with current recruitment and employment policies EFFECT: the service is less able to manage offenders who pose high risk of serious harm to the public, with subsequent legal and reputational on the service.	Susanne Millar	4	4	16	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Issue highlighted to Glasgow's Public Protection Chief Officers Group• Impact report completed by Social Work Scotland and further national work under consideration• Legal advice taken by HR advising no change to recruitment or employment policies• Sufficient staff currently vetted and able to make use of system in collaboration with MAPPA partners and responsible authorities	4	4	16	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
590	Increased Care Services absence levels	RISK: If staff absence rates increase beyond target levels then staffing levels will become critical CAUSE: Staff absence levels. Risk is enhanced due to ageing profile of workforce, the equal pay settlement and the impact of covid-19 including staff required to shield or self-isolate. EFFECT: Impact on capacity to deliver services, impact to financial budgets to achieve acceptable levels of service delivery.	Frances McMeeking	4	4	16	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Attendance Management team established within HR. This team details all aspects of absence management, including the control and recording of all data in relation to absence.Management Information Systems detail reports to cover all aspects of absence management process. In addition, case reviews are held regularly.Management of Absence Action Plan plots progress in developments in this area and is reviewed annually.Heads of Service have established an attendance management group to review strategy and recommend updates and improvements with target for action planFull briefing on new absence policy has been delivered via Toolbox Talks with supervisory and management staff.Data cleansing of attendance levels has been carried outEqual Pay project has reducing absence as an objective and is included in the group's action plan.As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staff side. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and again has Trade Union and Staff side representation. Each care group has established	4	4	16	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk
553	Failure of MAPPA arrangements	RISK: Glasgow MAPPA arrangements fail CAUSE: Procedures not followed; staff not appropriately trained; information security breach EFFECT: risk of harm to Glasgow citizens from registered sex offenders; reputational/legal/financial impact to organisation.	Susanne Millar	5	4	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">City-wide Criminal Justice SMT continues to meet regularly to oversee CJ practice.MAPPA Strategic Oversight Group meets every 3 monthsMAPPA Operational Group meets every 6 weeksMAPPA national guidanceMulti agency Risk Register in place and standing item on the agenda of both meeting structuresNASSO meeting every quarter with RSL providersMemorandum of Understanding in place between statutory agencies and reviewed annuallyLarge scale Hampden event Feb 2020 with key partners sharing practice Additional training now rolled out	5	3	15	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
554	Failure of Child Protection procedures	RISK: failure in the implementation of Child Protection procedures and arrangements CAUSE: Procedures are ineffective; procedures are not followed; staff not appropriately trained; information security breach; lack of/ineffective governance EFFECT: increased and/or avoidable risk/harm to children and/or young people	Susanne Millar	5	4	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Child Protection Committee and sub groups meet regularly Local area CP forums in place Quarterly meeting of Chief Officers group Management information produced and reviewed monthly at CP Quality Assurance Sub-group 1/2 yearly LMR process overseen and coordinated by CP team ASM structure providing QA, monitoring and objectivity to local practice Robust single agency and multi agency training programme in place Weekly contingency planning arrangements with Heads of Service Home visit guidance issued Weekly data collation illustrating demands/trends 	5	3	15	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk
555	Failure of Adult Protection procedures	RISK: failure in the implementation of Adult Protection procedures and arrangements CAUSE: Procedures are ineffective; procedures are not followed; staff not appropriately trained; information security breach; lack of/ineffective governance EFFECT: increased or avoidable risk/harm to vulnerable adults; reputational/legal/financial implications	Susanne Millar	5	4	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adult Protection Committee and sub groups in place Local Area Adult Protection Forums and multi-agency Local Management Reviews embedded Quarterly meeting of Chief Officers Group ASP management information produced and reviewed quarterly at Adult Services Core leadership and Older People's clinical and care governance meetings ASM structure and multi-agency training programme in place Quality Assurance sub group of Adult Support and Protection Committee now in place, which monitors the work plan and highlights areas for further consideration Home visit guidance issued Weekly data collation illustrating demands/trends 	5	3	15	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
565	Financial challenges for external providers	<p>RISK: The financial challenges faced by some provider organisations (in particular those providing sleepovers and those delivering care at a low historical rate - at or below £15.20) and the requirement for them to provide the Scottish Living Wage has the potential to render them financially unviable and result in them exiting the market.</p> <p>CAUSE: Increasing costs on providers due to increasing pension, NLW and SLW and sleepover liabilities coupled with diminishing social care budgets available from contracting authorities. Increased costs due to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>EFFECT: If providers exited the marker service users would be impacted due to enforced change of service provider – potentially with little or no notice. There may not be sufficient availability across other providers and whether they can take the work on at relatively short notice due to the recruitment and retention issues in social care. Where accommodation are support are linked this could result in the service user losing both their home and familiar support. There may be an increased financial cost to the partnership as a result of this market change, there will also be a need for increased care management and commissioning activity. A further potential resource impact is that there will be a need for additional Care Management and Commissioning resources to complete necessary assessment</p>	Allison Eccles	5	3	15	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•We are working closely with provider organisations to monitor impact and ensure continuity of services for our service users.•We continue to ensure timeous regular payment to provider organisations - We have offered 3 SLW increases across all of the purchased service contracts on condition of payment of the SLW including for Sleepovers. - We continue to ensure that the payment of the additional funding for the Scottish Living Wage is made timeously•Work is continuing on the rollout of the transformational change programme on overnight supports to support the reduction of the reliance on sleepover support.• outcome of the Appeal of legal rulings on sleepover will be considered by legal and the with any necessary actions undertaken.• As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow & Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from safety huddles that cover both directly provided and purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements	5	3	15	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
978	Failure of Provider(s) due to COVID-19	<p>RISK - Social Care providers significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and are unable to continue operating.</p> <p>CAUSE- Providers are operating under unique and significantly detrimental conditions including continuity of service being disrupted due to having to focus on priority services only, there are increased infection control measures and associated costs, there is increased staff absence and associated costs, there is reduced availability of back-up staff and maintenance of each service becomes increasingly difficult. In addition the increased reporting pressures are stretching limited resources.</p> <p>EFFECT – Providers may be unable to safely staff services which could lead to risk of harm to service users, and failure of the provider. This could lead to significant financial, legal and reputation harm to the HSCP.</p>	Susanne Millar	5	3	15	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•The HSCP Executive Group is leading the Partnership response, and enhanced governance arrangements have been put in place across the HSCP and GGC apply to both purchased and directly provided care homes.• Ongoing adherence to COSLA guidance for commissioners during this period and financial support being introduced in a measured way.• As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow & Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group.in Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the Chief Officer, this monitors and reviews information from various sources. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.• As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP firstly established additional governance arrangements for ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. A Local Resilience Management Team was initially established at the beginning of lockdown this has moved to an Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and has Trade Union and Staff side representation. Each care group has established Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings with their senior staff which meet regularly. All additional emergency governance arrangements that have been put in place are line with, and engage with, emergency command and control structures that have been established in NHS GGC and Glasgow City Council.	5	3	15	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
1614	EquipU supply and stock issues	RISK: There is a risk of delays and disruption to the EquipU supply chains. CAUSES: Impact of COVID-19 and Brexit has led to freight costs increasing significantly, shortages of raw materials and shortage of HGV drivers. EFFECTS: Increased costs, prolonged periods where certain types of equipment are unavailable which could impact on high risk service users in the community and also impact on timey discharges from hospital.	Sharon Wearing	3	5	15	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">EquipU store service meeting regularly to review the situation.Store has increased stock levels on some items where possible and the EquipU Project Team are researching and sourcing alternative products where possibleStore and Council's CPU are regularly engaged with suppliers to establish supply chain stability and contingency plans, as well as in relation to price increase justificationsEquipU partners are updated regularly on issues associated with delays and disruption in supply chains.Additional resources have been put into maximising recycled equipment from uplifts and fast-tracking equipment back into available stockEquipU partners are updated regularly on issues associated with delays and disruption in supply chains.EquipU partners have been request to consider prioritisation of affected products when undertaking assessments so service users with most critical needs are met, and also to minimise bulk orders where possible.	3	5	15	Very High	January 2022 - No change to risk
548	Failure of ICT security	RISK: Loss/misuse/breach of health and social care data within our responsibility CAUSE: IT system security failure, human error, hostile actor (internal or external) EFFECT: breach of confidentiality, a fine from the Information Commissioner, reputational damage, and potential harm to service users affecting public and service user confidence	Allison Eccles	5	5	25	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Information Security Governance via Information Security Board.Policies and guidance regularly updated and annual mandatory training provided via GOLD or leaflet.Information sharing protocol with NHSGG&C has been updated and circulated for sign-offAll ICT developments progressed through project management methodology which includes risk logs and Data Protection Impact Assessments are undertaken as required.The majority of devices are now encrypted and authorisation process in place for unencrypted devices.Secure email and Objective Connect available for secure data sharingSecure email blueprint (including TLS) now implementedProtective Marking to be rolled out in SWS in 2019Site and Information Security Audit programme in place for SWS establishments and servicesContainment process in place for accidental email breachStaff briefings on data protection (GDPR) and information security briefings issued regularlyUse of is2a and/or is2b procedure and forms for staff removing data from officesTemporary security policies put in place due to the impact of COVID-19 are reviewed on a 4 weekly basis by the Information Security Board	4	3	12	High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level			Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update	
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		
544	Failure to meet Health & Safety statutory requirements	RISK: Failure to meet statutory Health & Safety requirements CAUSE: Personnel fail to follow procedures; personal are not appropriately trained EFFECT: major loss of service through establishment fire, major catastrophe or infections; or singular catastrophic incidents which could result in death or serious injury of service users and/or staff.	Christina Heuston	5	4	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Service is a member of the Council's Asbestos Strategic Management Group that monitors actions regarding the management of Asbestos. The Service has appointed a Health and Safety Co-ordinator who actively monitors the arrangements for the control of Asbestos, Service Control of Asbestos Management Standard issues June 2014• The Service has replaced a number of older buildings containing Asbestos with new buildings (asbestos banned from use in new buildings) reducing the possible exposure.• Departmental Health & Safety Policy & manuals• Fire safety management system.• H&S risk assessment processes, e.g. fire, legionella, alarms etc.• H&S respond to all audit and inspection requirements.• Emergency procedures in place for all service user accommodation• Range of H&S training in place e.g. Fire Wardens, Manual Handling etc.• Regular Fire and Alarms Equipment testing with contracts for maintenance and checks in place.• Monitoring of claims.• Managing Violence at Work Policy Document and monitoring of Violent Incident reports, this monitoring has identified the need to review the Violence training for Fieldwork staff, this review is currently underway with a target date of 6 weeks• Legionella risk managed with the assistance of CGI.	4	3	12	High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Social Care Risk Register (as at 31 December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Control Actions	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
547	Impact of failure of third parties and partners	RISK: contractor/partner arrangements fail CAUSE: political and socio-economic factors; providers' financial position; failure to comply with regulatory/legislative changes EFFECT: failure to deliver services appropriately with a provider or other agencies leading to a failure to care/protect service users; increased demand on resources; financial implications.	Susanne Millar	4	5	20	Very High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Contract Management Framework.Contractor Risk Ratings Matrix.Procurement activity undertaken in accordance with written agreed procedures.All contractual arrangements over the approved thresholds referred to appropriate committee for approval.Ensuring providers/other agencies have health and safety procedures/arrangements in placeRegular meetings with key providers regarding strategic provider related issuesAs directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow & Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the Interim Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from daily safety huddles that cover both directly provided and purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.	4	3	12	High	January 2022 - No change to risk

Extract of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (December 2021)													
Ref	Title	Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Initial Risk Level				Controls	Current Risk Level				Latest Update
				Consequences	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level		Consequences	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Risk Level	
2460	Mental Health Officer (MHO) Shortage	Pressure on MHO activity due to on-going vacancies and staff turnover. 17/09/19 - Issue due to recruitment authorisation process/PVG/reference checks and is under constant review. Workforce data shows high turnover is impacted by number of staff having MHO status who can retire at 55 and are opting to do so. This is likely to continue to impact over next 2 years when all staff with MHO pension status will have gone. Universities only have one intake a year so availability of newly qualified staff is more limited RE: MHO pressures in Social Work, this is due to increased demand and ability to recruit. We are encouraging existing staff to undertake MHO training and attempting to recruit additional staff and reviewing our duty system	Paterson, Isobel	5	3	15	High	Workload prioritisation 06/01/20 - Situation remains unchanged despite recent recruitment drive – on going staff retirements and increased workload continues to result in significant pressure in system and ability to respond timeously to demand. 06/04/20 - workload prioritisation due to COVID-19 and staff shortages due to requirement to limit attendance at work means this risk remains high 06/10/20 - Recruitment process has concluded (ongoing with new recruitment). Capacity is better but situation remains difficult at times due to ongoing Covid-19 restrictions. Risk reduced to medium.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
2889	Recruitment and retention of registered nurses Band 5	Shortage of Band 5 nurses across GGC inpatient and community. Staff retention is poor with quick and high turnover of staff. This is a national issue.	Cribbin, Lorraine	5	5	25	Very High	Use of Bank staff and Agency staff. 29/09/21 - x100 NQN Band 5 nurses taking up employment across services Sept-Oct 2021. Centralised external recruitment campaigns ongoing for recruitment of Band 5s for Mental Health inpatients and community services.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
2890	Nursing Bank Fill rates	High demand from Mental Health inpatient sites on Nurse bank to backfill vacancies, absence and clinical risk, there can be a poor bank fill rate which then escalates to Agency. This is a national issue.	Cribbin, Lorraine	5	5	25	Very High	Staff asked to do additional hours with enhanced rate. Opened up to other staff groups i.e. Allied Health Professionals; Occupational Therapists/Social Care to help alleviate burden on ward.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
2901 & 3005 & 3006	Staff shortages - Psychotherapy	Recruiting right skill mix is an issue.	MacDonald, Colin (South) Paterson, Isobel (NE) McCormack, Colin (NW)	5	5	25	Very High	Psychotherapy - reviewing skill mix; redesigning roles, offering training opportunities and looking at using different grades of staff.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.

Extract of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (December 2021)													
				Initial Risk Level					Current Risk Level				
2902	Staff shortages - (Alcohol and Drug Recovery Services) ADRS	Staff shortages of Band 5 /6 psychiatric nurses.	Gaffney, Kelda	5	5	25	Very High	29/03/21 - Use of bank staff. 02/07/21 - Trying to fill vacancies timeously citywide Support from Chief Nurse to advertise “block booking ” B5 bank staff (3 months) and to advertise additional hours to those working in other services. Discussions ongoing via senior managers re alternative sources of support to mitigate risks of staff unavailability. Support from ADRS PDNs to provide short notice ADRS induction to bank staff with limited experience. Utilising GCC and NHS absence management policies to support return to work where appropriate. **NE ADRS specific - NTLs assertively contact previous ADRS employees to offer bank shifts.** 13/09/2021 - Agency social care staff being recruited for ten week period; additional driver capacity secured to support prescription deliveries. 22/12/21 - Agency staff in place. Social care staff recruitment complete/take up posts from Jan 22. RAG status and response being reviewed. HCSW posts being recruited.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
3004	Staffing Shortages - Adult MH Psychiatry & Old Age Psychiatry	Staff shortages and recruiting people to post is an issue.	McCormack, Colin	5	5	25	Very High	Use of locums for Old Age Psychiatry.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
3007	Inpatient Estate - buildings	Poor accommodation and admitting capacity limited due to the estate being out of date and insufficient accommodation available.	McCormack, Colin	5	5	25	Very High	Issue has been escalated. Capital required to resolve.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
3008	Inpatient Estate - fixture and fittings	Risk of harm to patients - ligature risks from fixture and fittings. Risk to organisation - potential fines from Health & Safety Executive. Highlighted in recommendations from Mental Welfare Commission Local Visits.	McCormack, Colin	5	5	25	Very High	Local mitigation measures in place to review ligature points.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.
3011	Disengagement / lack of contact with service users	There is risk of disengagement or lack of contact with service users due to Covid. There is lack of contact and face to face appointments. Risk of professions responding differently and this impacting upon other professions.	Paterson, Isobel	5	5	25	Very High	Use of RAG (Red-Amber-Green) to prioritise which patients should be seen.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.

Extract of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (December 2021)														
				Initial Risk Level					Current Risk Level					
3084	Serious Adverse Event Reviews (SAER)	Risk - lack of capacity to complete SAER's within timescales. Cause - lack of staff to undertake reviews/high volume of SAER's in system, including existing backlog. Effect - impact on outcomes for families affected; impact on system wide learning; and also meeting policy requirements.	Hayes, Janet	5	5	25	Very High	NEW RISK. Communications issued to consultants reminding them that consultants are not required to be lead reviewer but can be on review team; this will allow capacity from other professions to support the process. Psychology have offered assistance to lead reviews. Report being prepared to enhance admin support and to conduct an external review of process Board wide. Additional external reviewers being recruited to complete reviews. New SAER Clinical Support Lead post in place and will also support review teams with clearing backlog.	5	5	25	Very High	December 2021 - New risk added to the register.	
3143	Inpatients - Medical staffing	Recruitment challenges in medical staffing is impacting on capacity to manage beds across two sites. Despite large waiting lists (approx. 100), there are occasions when ward cannot accept admissions due to a lack of medical cover.	Gaffney, Kelda	5	4	20	Very High	NEW RISK. Ongoing consideration of single site option for addition inpatients, which would address the gaps in medical staffing. ANP being recruited in absence of Specialist Doctor.	5	4	20	Very High	December 2021 - New risk added to the register.	
2456	Psychological Therapies	Risk of deterioration of clients' health due to lack of Psychology services.	MacDonald, Colin	5	4	20	Very High	clients are seen by CMHT / Crisis Team , but may result in admission. 06/04/20 - capacity of psychology staff diminished and activity restricted to non face to face contacts means this risk remains high as result of COVID- 19 contingency. 30/07/20 - Risk & Controls unchanged. clients are seen by CMHT / Crisis Team , but may result in admission. 06/10/20 - Capacity of psychology staff continues to be diminished and activity remains restricted to non face to face contacts. This risk remains v. high as result of COVID- 19 contingency. Risk & Controls unchanged.	5	4	20	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.	

Extract of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (December 2021)													
				Initial Risk Level					Current Risk Level				
3082	Auchinlea Consultant Psychiatrist Shortage	<p>Due to 3 substantive consultants leaving for other posts,1 MAT leave and an outstanding vacancy Auchinlea Community Mental Health Team is in a critical situation for medical cover in the coming months.</p> <p>The pressures on the service and associated risks are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Capacity to undertake detention for acutely unwell patients-Capacity to undertake CTO reviews-Capacity to respond to emergency medical assessments and tasks- Duty medic cover-Increased wait for routine assessments-Review of patients with high risk medication-Capacity to provide trainee and junior doctor supervision-Increased clinical activity within MDT-Staff work related stress and burn out, resulting in rise of absence- Risk of other staff leaving-Increased complaints and abuse to staff-Poor quality of care, increasing risk to patient safety-Add pressures to other services of the whole system	Paterson, Isobel	5	3	15	High	<p>-RAG approach to create capacity for those with greatest need and risk.</p> <p>-Identify capacity across NE CMHT medical service.</p> <p>-Review processes and task, Identify risks and plan for mitigation.</p>	5	4	20	Very High	December 2021 - No change to risk.